FIXED POINTS FOR FUZZY MAPPINGS IN QUASI-METRIC SPACES

Zhu Shunrong

Department of Applied Mathematics Nanjing University of Science & Technology, Nanjing, 210014, People's Republic of China.

Abstract: In this paper, we obtain fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings in Smyth-complete and left K-complete quasi-metric spaces, respectively. The results have improved the fixed theorems of Valentín Gregori and Salvador Romaguera et al.

Keywords: Topology; Fuzzy mapping; Fixed point; Quasi-metric; Smyth-complete; Left K-complete.

1. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper the letter N will denote the set of positive integers. If A is subset of a topological space (X,τ) , we will denote by $cl_{\tau}A$ the closure of A in (X,τ) .

A quasi-metric on a (nonempty) set X is a non-negative real-valued function d on $X \times X$ such that, for all x, y, $z \in X$: (i)d(x,y) = d(y,x) = 0 implies x = y; (ii) $d(x,y) \le d(x,z) + d(z,y)$. A quasi-metric space is a pair (X,d) such that X is a (nonempty) set and d is a quasi-metric on X.

Each quasi-metric d on X induces a topology $\tau(d)$ on X which has as a base the family of d-balls $\{B_d(x,r):x\in X,r>0\}$, where $B_d(x,r)=\{y\in X:d(x,y)< r\}$. Each quasi-metric d on X also induces a conjugate quasi-metric d^{-1} , defined by $d^{-1}(x,y)=d(y,x)$.

By d^s we denote the metric $d \vee d^{-1}$ (i.e. $d^s(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(y, x)\}$, for all $x, y \in X$).

Definition 1. A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in a quasi-metric space (X,d) is called left K-Cauchy if for each $\varepsilon>0$ there is an $n_{\varepsilon}\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n,x_m)<\varepsilon$ for all $n,m\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $n_{\varepsilon}\leq n\leq m$.

The quasi-metric space (X,d) is left K-complete[3], provided that every left K-Cauchy sequence in (X,d) is convergent with respect to the topology $\tau(d)$. (X,d) is Smyth-complete provided that every left K-Cauchy sequence in (X,d) is convergent in the metric space (X,d^s) , (See[5,6]). Clearly, every Smyth-complete quasi-metric space is left K-complete.

A fuzzy set in the quasi-metric space (X,d) is a function from X into the unit interval [0,1]. If A is a fuzzy set in X, then, for each $x \in X$, the number A(x) is called the grade of membership of x in A. The r-level of A, denoted by A_r , is defined by $A_r = \{x \in X : A(x) \ge r\}$ if $r \in (0,1]$, and $A_0 = \{x \in X : A(x) > 0\}$, where the closure is

taken in (X, d^s) .

Definition 2. A fuzzy set A in the quasi-metric space (X,d) will be called an approximate quantity if for each $r \in [0,1]$, A_r is compact in (X,d^s) and $\sup_{x \in Y} A(x) = 1$.

By W(X) we will denote the collection of all approximate quantities in the quasi-metric space (X,d).

Definition 3. Let (X,d) is a quasi-metric space, $A, B \in W(X)$, $r \in [0,1]$. Then we define

$$P_r(A,B) = \inf\{d(x,y) : x \in A_r, y \in B_r\}, P(A,B) = \sup\{P_r(A,B) : r \in [0,1]\}$$

 $D_r(A, B) = H_d(A_r, B_r)$, where H is the Hausdorf distance;

$$D(A,B) = \sup \{D_r(A,B) : r \in [0,1]\},\$$

We will use the following lemmas due [1] and proposition due[2].

Lemma 1. Let (X,d) be a quasi-metric space. Then, for each $A \in W(X)$ there exists $p \in X$ such that A(p) = 1.

Lemma 2. Let (X,d) be a quasi-metric space, $A, B \in W(X)$ and $x \in A_1$ (such an x exists by Lemma 1). Then there is $y \in B_1$ such that $d(x,y) \le D_1(A,B)$.

Lemma 3. Let (X,d) be a quasi-metric space and let $A, B \in W(X)$. Then, $p(A,B) = p_1(A,B)$.

Lemma 4. Let (X,d) be a quasi-metric space, $A \in W(X)$ and $y \in A_1$. Then, for each $x \in X$, $p(x,A) \le d(x,y)$.

Lemma 5. Let (X,d) be a quasi-metric space and let $A \in W(X)$. Then, for each $x, y \in X$ and each $r \in [0,1]$, $p_r(x,A) \le d(x,y) + p_r(y,A)$.

Lemma 6. Let (X,d) be a quasi-metric space, $A \in W(X)$ and $x \in A_1$. Then, for each $B \in W(X)$ and each $r \in [0,1]$, $p_r(x,B) \le D_r(A,B)$

Lemma 7. Let (X,d) be a quasi-metric space and $A \in W(X)$. If p(x,A) = 0, then there is $y \in cl_{\tau(d^{-1})}\{x\}$ such that A(y) = 1.

Proposition 1. Let (X, d) be a quasi-pseudo-metric space and let $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) < \infty$. Then $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a left K-Cauchy sequence in (X,d).

Definition 4. A fuzzy mapping on a quasi-metric space (X,d) is a function F defined on X, which satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) $F(x) \in W(X)$ for all $x \in X$.
- (ii) If z and a are points of X such that (F(z))(a) = 1 and p(a, F(a)) = 0, then (F(a))(a) = 1.

Definition 5. We say that a fuzzy mapping F on a quasi-metric space (X,d) has a fixed

point if there exists $a \in X$ such that (F(a))(a) = 1.

2. Main Results

Theorem 1. Let (X,d) be a Smyth-complete quasi-metric space, let F and G be fuzzy mappings from X into W(X). If there exists a constant h, $0 \le h < 1$, such that for each $x, y \in X$.

$$D(F(x),G(y)) \le h \max\{d(x,y), p(x,F(x)), p(y,G(y)), (1)$$

$$(p(x,G(y)) + p(y,F(x)))/2\},$$

Then, F and G each have a fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. By Lemma 1, there exists an $x_1 \in X$ such that $(F(x_0))(x_1) = 1$. By Lemma2 there exists an $x_2 \in X$ such that $(G(x_1))(x_2) = 1$ and $d(x_1, x_2) \leq D_1(F(x_0), G(x_1))$. Again we can find an $x_3 \in X$ such that $(F(x_2))(x_3) = 1$ and $d(x_2, x_3) \leq D_1(G(x_1), F(x_2))$.

Continuing in this way we can produce a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset X$ such that

$$(F(x_{2n}))(x_{2n+1}) = 1, (G(x_{2n+1}))(x_{2n+2}) = 1, n = 0,1,2,\cdots$$

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \le D_1(F(x_{2n}), G(x_{2n+1})), n = 1,2,\cdots$$
(2)

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \le D_1(G(x_{2n-1}), F(x_{2n})), n = 1, 2, \cdots.$$
(3)

We then have,

$$d(x_1, x_2) \le D_1(F(x_0), G(x_1)) \le D(F(x_0), G(x_1))$$

$$\le h \max \{ d(x_0, x_1), P(x_0, F(x_0)), P(x_1, G(x_1)), (P(x_0, G(x_1)) + P(x_1, F(x_0))) / 2 \}.$$
So, by Lemma 4.

$$d(x_1, x_2) \le h \max \left\{ d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, x_2), d(x_0, x_2) / 2 \right\} = h d(x_0, x_1).$$

Similarly, $d(x_2, x_3) \le h d(x_1, x_2)$, so $d(x_2, x_3) \le h^2 d(x_0, x_1)$.

Next we show by induction that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le h^n d(x_0, x_1), \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots$$
(4)

In fact, by the assumptions it is obvious that (4) is true for n=1. Suppose that (4) is true for n=k; we prove that it remains true for n=k+1.

If k is even, then from conditions (2) and (3) we have

$$d(x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}) \leq D_1(F(x_k), G(x_{k+1})) \leq D(F(x_k), G(x_{k+1}))$$

$$\leq k \max \left\{ d(x_k, x_{k+1}), P(x_k, F(x_k)), P(x_{k+1}, G(x_{k+1})), ((P(x_k, G(x_{k+1})) + P(x_{k+1}, F(x_k))) / 2 \right\}$$
By Lemma 4, $P(x_k, F(x_k)) \leq d(x_k, x_{k+1}), P(x_{k+1}, G(x_{k+1})) \leq d(x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}),$

$$P(x_k, G(x_{k+1})) \leq d(x_k, x_{k+2}), P(x_{k+1}, F(x_k)) \leq d(x_{k+1}, x_{k+1}). \text{ Hence,}$$

$$d(x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}) \leq h \max \left\{ d(x_k, x_{k+1}), d(x_k, x_{k+1}), d(x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}), d(x_{k+1$$

If k is odd we can similarly prove that (5) remains true. This completes the proof of (4).

It follows from proposition 1, that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is left K-Cauchy sequence in (X,d). Hence, there exists a unique point $z \in X$ such that $d^s(z,x_n) \to 0$ $(n \to \infty)$.

Applying inequality (1) we get

$$D(F(x_{2n}), G(z)) \le h \max \{ d(x_{2n}, z), P(x_{2n}, F(x_{2n})), P(z, G(z)), (P(x_{2n}, G(z)) + P(z, F(x_{2n}))) / 2 \}.$$

Now, by Lemma 5, we have

$$P_1(z,G(z)) \le d(z,x_{2n+1}) + P_1(x_{2n+1},G(z))$$
 for all $n \in N$.

So, by Lemma 3 and 6,

$$P(z,G(z)) \le d(z,x_{2n+1}) + D_1(F(x_{2n}),G(z)) \le d(z,x_{2n+1}) + D(F(x_{2n}),G(z))$$
(6)

Moreover, by Lemma 4, $P(x_{2n}, F(x_{2n})) \le d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$,

$$P(z, F(x_{2n})) \le d(z, x_{2n+1})$$
, because $x_{2n+1} \in (F(x_{2n}))_1$.

By Lemma 3, 5 and 6,

$$P(x_{2n},G(z)) \le d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}) + P(x_{2n+1},G(z)) \le d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}) + D(F(x_{2n}),G(z))$$

from which it follows that

$$D(F(x_{2n}),G(z)) \leq h \max \{d(x_{2n},z),d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}),d(z,x_{2n+1}) + D(F(x_{2n}),G(z)),d(z,x_{2n+1})\}$$

$$(d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})+D(F(x_{2n}),G(z))+d(z,x_{2n+1}))/2\}=h\Phi(F,G),$$

where,
$$\Phi(F,G) = \max\{d(x_{2n},z),d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}),d(z,x_{2n+1}) + D(F(x_{2n}),G(z)),$$

$$(d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})+D(F(x_{2n}),G(z))+d(z,x_{2n+1}))/2$$

Now there are several cases:

Case 1. For each $n \in N$, $\Phi(F,G) = d(x_{2n},z)$.

Case 2. For each $n \in N$, $\Phi(F,G) = d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$

Case 3. For each $n \in N$, $\Phi(F,G) = d(z,x_{2n+1}) + D(F(x_{2n}),G(z))$.

Case 4. For each $n \in N$.

$$\Phi(F,G) = (d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}) + D(F(x_{2n}),G(z)) + d(z,x_{2n+1}))/2.$$

In case 1, from $d^s(z,x_n) \to 0$, it follows that

$$D(F(x_{2n}), G(z)) \to 0$$
. By (6), $P(z, G(z)) = 0$.

In case 2, since $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is left K-Cauchy sequence, we obtain that $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \to 0$. So,

 $D(F(x_{2n}),G(z)) \to 0$. From (6) and the fact that $d^s(z,x_n) \to 0$, we deduce that P(z,G(z))=0.

In case3, we obtain that $(1-h)D(F(x_{2n}),G(z)) \leq hd(z,x_{2n+1})$. Hence, $D(F(x_{2n}),G(z)) \to 0 (n \to \infty)$. Again by (6), P(z,G(z))=0.

Finally, in case4, we obtain

$$(2-h)D(F(x_{2n}),G(z)) \le h(d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})+d(z,x_{2n+1}))$$

Hence,
$$D(F(x_{2n}), G(z)) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty)$$
. By (6), $P(z, G(z)) = 0$

Therefore, by Lemma 7 there exists $a_1 \in cl_{r(d^{-1})}\{z\}$ such that $(G(z))(a_1) = 1$. We shall prove that a_1 is a fixed point of F.

Indeed, since $(G(z))(a_1) = 1, P(a_1, G(z)) = 0$.

We also have that $d(z,a_1) = 0$, and P(z,G(z)) = 0, as we have proved.

Applying inequality (1) we get

$$D(F(a_1),G(z)) \le h \max \{d(a_1,z),P(a_1,F(a_1)),P(z,G(z)), (P(a_1,G(z))+P(z,F(a_1)))/2\}.$$

It follows, from the preceding relations, that

$$D(F(a_1), G(z)) \le h \max\{P(a_1, F(a_1)), P(z, F(a_1))/2\}. \tag{7}$$

By Lemma 1 and 2, there exists $c \in X$ such that

$$(F(a_1))(c) = 1$$
 and $d(a_1,c) \le D_1(F(a_1),G(z)) \le D(F(a_1),G(z))$.

Thus, by Lemma 4, $P(a_1, F(a_1)) \le d(a_1, c) \le D(F(a_1), G(z))$

and
$$P(z, F(a_1)) \le d(z, c)$$
, because $(F(a_1))(c) = 1$.

So,
$$P(z, F(a_1)) \le d(z, a_1) + d(a_1, c) \le D(F(a_1), G(z))$$
.

Then, by hypothesis (7), we have

 $D(F(a_1),G(z)) \le hD(F(a_1),G(z))$. We conclude that $D(F(a_1),G(z))=0$ and, consequently, $d(a_1,c)=0$. Thus, $a_1=c$, and $(F(a_1))(a_1)=1$. By Definition 4, a_1 is a fixed point of F. Similarly, we can shown G has a fixed point. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Let (X,d) be a left K-complete quasi-metric space and F and G be fuzzy mappings from X into W(X) satisfying

$$D(F(x),G(y)) \le h[P(x,F(x))P(y,G(y))]^{1/2}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $0 < h \le 1$. Then, F and G each have a fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. As in the first part of the proof of Theorem 1, we can construct a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in X, such that

$$(F(x_{2n}))(x_{2n+1}) = 1$$
, $(G(x_{2n+1}))(x_{2n+2}) = 1$, $n = 0,1,2,\cdots$

and $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \le D_1(F(x_{2n}), G(x_{2n+1})), n = 1, 2, \dots,$

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \le D_1(G(x_{2n-1}), F(x_{2n})), n = 1, 2, \dots$$

By Lemma 2, we have

$$d(x_1, x_2) \le D_1(F(x_0), G(x_1)) \le D(F(x_0), G(x_1))$$

$$\le \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} D(F(x_0), G(x_1)) \le \sqrt{h} [P(x_0, F(x_0)) P(x_1, G(x_1))]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By Lemma 4, we have

$$d(x_1,x_2) \le \sqrt{h} [d(x_0,x_1)d(x_1,x_2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

So, $d(x_1, x_2) \le hd(x_0, x_1)$.

Similarly, we can find $x_3 \in X$ such that $(F(x_2))(x_3) = 1$ and $d(x_2, x_3) \le D_1(G(x_1), F(x_2)) \le D(G(x_1), F(x_2))$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} D(G(x_1), F(x_2)) \leq \sqrt{h} [P(x_1, G(x_1)) P(x_2, F(x_2))]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By Lemma 4, we have

$$d(x_2, x_3) \le \sqrt{h} [d(x_1, x_2)d(x_2, x_3)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
. So, $d(x_2, x_3) \le hd(x_1, x_2) \le h^2 d(x_0, x_1)$.

In general, $d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \le hd(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for $n = 0, 1, 2 \cdots$, where $(F(x_{2n-2}))(x_{2n-1}) = 1$, $(G(x_{2n-1}))(x_{2n}) = 1$ are such that $d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} D(F(x_{2n-2}), G(x_{2n-1}))$ and consequently we obtain $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le h^n d(x_0, x_1)$ for all $n \in N$.

It follows from proposition 1, that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a left K-Cauchy sequence in (X,d).

Hence, there exists a point $z \in X$, such that $d(z, x_n) \to 0$.

By Lemma 3, 5 and 6 it follows, similar to the proof of Theorem 1, that

$$P(z, F(z)) \le d(z, x_{2n}) + P_1(x_{2n}, F(z)) \le d(z, x_{2n}) + D_1(G(x_{2n-1}), F(z))$$

$$\le d(z, x_{2n}) + D(G(x_{2n-1}), F(z))$$
(8)

for all $n \in N$. But by Lemma 4 get

 $D(G(x_{2n-1}), F(z)) \le h[p(x_{2n-1}, G(x_{2n-1}))P(z, F(z))]^{\frac{1}{2}} \le h[d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})P(z, F(z))]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$ From (8) we get

$$P(z,F(z)) \leq d(z,x_{2n}) + h[d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})P(z,F(z))]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

When $n \to \infty$, we have $P(z, F(z)) \le 0$, which give P(z, F(z)) = 0.

By Lemma 7, there exists $a_1 \in cl_{\tau(d^{-1})}\{z\}$ such that $(F(z))(a_1) = 1$.

Similarly

$$P(z,G(z)) \le d(z,x_{2n+1}) + P_1(x_{2n+1},G(z)) \le d(z,x_{2n+1}) + D_1(F(x_{2n}),G(z))$$

$$\le d(z,x_{2n+1}) + D(F(x_{2n}),G(z)) \tag{9}$$

for all $n \in N$. But by Lemma 4 get

 $D(F(x_{2n}),G(z)) \le h[P(x_{2n},F(x_{2n}))P(z,G(z))]^{\frac{1}{2}} \le h[d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})P(z,G(z))]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$ From (9) we get

$$P(z,G(z)) \le d(z,x_{2n+1}) + h[d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})P(z,G(z))]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

When $n \to \infty$, we have $P(z, G(z)) \le 0$, which give

$$P(z,G(z)) = 0 (10)$$

By Lemma 7, there exists $a_2 \in cl_{\tau(d^{-1})}\{z\}$ such that $(G(z))(a_2) = 1$.

We shall prove that a_2 is a fixed point of F and a_1 is a fixed Point of G.

Indeed, since

$$D(F(z), G(a_1)) \le h[P(z, F(z))P(a_1, G(a_1))]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
, it follows that

$$D(F(z), G(a_1)) = 0$$
, because $p(z, F(z)) = 0$.

On the other hand, by Lemma 1 and 2, there exists $b \in X$ such that $(G(a_1))(b) = 1$

and
$$d(a_1,b) \le D_1(F(z),G(a_1)) \le D(F(z),G(a_1))$$
.

Therefore,
$$d(a_1, b) = 0$$
. Thus, $b = a_1$, and $(G(a_1))(a_1) = 1$.

By Definition 4. a_1 is a fixed point of G.

Similarly, we can shown a_2 is a fixed point of F. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

If (X,d) is a quasi-metric space and $A, B \in W(X)$, we define, as in the metric case,

$$\delta(A,B) = \sup_{r \in [0,1]} \delta_r(A_r,B_r), \text{ where } \delta_r(A_r,B_r) = \sup \left\{ d(a,b) : a \in A_r \text{ and } b \in B_r \right\}.$$

Clearly, $D(A, B) \le \delta(A, B)$ for all $A, B \in W(X)$.

Hence, we immediately deduce from Theorem 2 the following:

Corollary. Let (X,d) be a left K-complete quasi-metric space and F and G be fuzzy mappings from X into W(X) satisfying the following condition: For any x,y in X

$$\delta(F(x), G(y)) \le h[P(x, F(x))P(y, G(y))]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
, where $0 < h < 1$.

Then, F and G each have a fixed point.

References

- [1] Valentín Gregori, Salvador Romaguera, Fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings in quasi-metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Sytems. 115 (2000) 477-483.
- [2] H. P. A. KÜnzi, M. Mrsevic, I. L. Reilly, M. K. Vamanamurthy, Convergence, precompactness and symmetry in quasi-uniform spaces, Math, Japonica 38(1993) 239-253.
- [3] I. L. Reilly, P. V. Subrahmanyam and M. K. Vamanamurthy, Cauchy sequences in quasi-pseudo-metric spaces, Monatsh. Math. 93(1982) 127-140.
- [4] Y. P. Jong, U. J. Jae, Fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 87 (1997) 111-116.
- [5] H. P. A. Künzi, Nonsymmetric topology, Bolyai Soc. Math. Studies 4, Topology, Szekszárd, 1993, Hungary, Budapest, 1995, pp.303-338.
- [6] M. Schellekens, Complexity spaces revisited, Extended Abstract, 8th Prague Topological Symp, 1996, pp.337-348.
- [7] R. K. Bose, D. Sahani, Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorems, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 21 (1987) 53-58.
 - [8] S. Heilpern, Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorem, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 83(1981) 566-569.

E-mail: zhushunrong@hotmail.com