

On a-order-convexity of fuzzy syntopogenous spaces

Wang Hong

College of Science, Southwest University of Science and Technology

Mianyang 621000, Sichuan, P.R.China

Abstract:

In this paper, we combine L-fuzzy syntopogenous structure on X with algebraic structure on X. First, the *-increasing and *-decreasing spaces have been studied. Second, we define a-order-convexity on syntopogenous structures (X, S, \leq) . Third, the equivalent description of a-order-convexity have been given. Finally, some important properties of a-order-convexity have been obtained.

Keywords:

Fuzzy topology, Order, Algebra, convexity.

1 Preliminaries

In this paper, $L = \langle L, \wedge, \vee, ' \rangle$ always denotes a completely distributive lattice with order-reversing involution " ' ". Let 0 be the least element and 1 be the greatest one in L. Suppose X is a nonempty (usual) set, an L-fuzzy set in X is a mapping $A: X \rightarrow L$, and L^X will denote the family of all L-fuzzy sets in X. It is clear that $L^X = \langle L^X, \leq, \wedge, \vee, ' \rangle$ is a fuzzy lattice, which has the least element $\underline{0}$ and the greatest one $\underline{1}$, where $\underline{0}(x) = 0, \underline{1}(x) = 1$, for any $x \in X$.

Definition 1.1. A binary relation \ll on L^X is called an L-fuzzy semi-topogenous order if it satisfies the following axiom: (I) $\underline{0} \ll \underline{0}$ and $\underline{1} \ll \underline{1}$; (2) $A \ll B$ implies $A \leq B$; (3) $A_1 \leq A \ll B \leq B_1$ implies $A_1 \ll B_1$. The complement of an L-fuzzy semi-topogenous order \ll is the L-fuzzy semi-topogenous order \ll^c defined by $A \ll^c B$ iff $B' \ll A'$. An L-fuzzy semi-topogenous order \ll is called: (I) symmetrical if $\ll = \ll^c$; (II) topogenous if $A_1 \ll B_1$ and $A_2 \ll B_2$ implies $A_1 \wedge A_2 \ll B_1 \wedge B_2$ and $A_1 \vee A_2 \ll B_1 \vee B_2$; (III) perfect if $A_j \ll B_j, j \in J$ implies $\bigvee A_j \ll \bigvee B_j$; (IV) co-perfect if $A_j \ll B_j, j \in J$ implies $\bigwedge A_j \ll \bigwedge B_j$; (V) biperfect if perfect and co-perfect.

Suppose that \ll_1, \ll_2 are L-fuzzy semi-topogenous order on X, we call \ll_1 is finer than \ll_2 (i.e. \ll_2 is coarser than \ll_1) if for any $A, B \in L^X, A \ll_2 B$ implies $A \ll_1 B$, denoted by $\ll_2 \leq \ll_1$. For a given L-fuzzy semi-topogenous order \ll , we define \ll^p, \ll^1 as follows: $A \ll^p B$ iff there exist $A_i, i \in I$, such that $A = \bigvee A_i$, for any $i \in I, A_i \ll B$; $A \ll^1 B$ iff there exist $B_j, j \in J$ such that $B = \bigwedge B_j$ and $A \ll B_j$, for each $j \in J$.

Definition 1.2. An L-fuzzy syntopogenous structure on X is a nonempty family S of L-fuzzy semi-topogenous order on X having the following two properties: (LFS1) S is directed in the sense that given any two members of S there exist a member of S finer than both; (LFS2) For each \ll in S there exist \ll_1 in S such that $A \ll B$ implies the existence of an L-fuzzy set C with $A \ll_1 C \ll_1 B$.

If S is an L-fuzzy syntopogenous structure on X, then the pair (X, S) is called an L-fuzzy syntopogenous space. An L-fuzzy syntopogenous structure S consisting of a single semi-topogenous order is called a topogenous structure and the pair (X, S) is called an L-fuzzy topogenous space. S is

called perfect (resp. biperfect) if each member of S is perfect (resp. biperfect). An L-fuzzy syntopogenous structure S_1 is called finer than another one S_2 , if for each \ll in S_2 there exist a member of S_1 finer than \ll . In this case we also say that S_2 is coarser than S_1 , denoted by $S_2 \leq S_1$. If S_1 is finer than S_2 and S_2 is finer than S_1 , then S_1, S_2 are called equivalent, denoted by $S_1 \sim S_2$. To every L-fuzzy syntopogenous structure corresponds an L-fuzzy topology $\tau(S)$ given by the interior operator $\mu^0 = \sup \{ \rho : \rho \ll \mu \text{ for some } \ll \in S \}$. If $\{ \ll_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda \}$ is a family of L-fuzzy semi-topogenous order on X then $\ll = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \ll_\alpha$ is the L-fuzzy semi-topogenous order defined by $\mu \ll \rho$ iff $\mu \ll_\alpha \rho$ for some $\alpha \in \Lambda$. If S is a L-fuzzy syntopogenous structure, then it is easy to see that $\ll_s = \bigvee \{ \ll : \ll \in S \}$ is an L-fuzzy topogenous order and $\{ \ll_s \}$ is an L-fuzzy topogenous structure. Moreover, $\mu \in \tau(S)$ iff $\mu \ll_s \mu$. To every fuzzy topology τ on X corresponds a perfect L-fuzzy topogenous structure $S_\tau = \{ \ll \}$, where $\mu \ll \rho$ iff there exists $\sigma \in \tau$ with $\mu \leq \sigma \leq \rho$. Moreover, $\tau = \tau(S)$. Conversely, to every perfect L-fuzzy topogenous structure $S = \{ \ll \}$ corresponds the L-fuzzy topology $\tau = \tau(S)$, where $\mu \in \tau$ iff $\mu \ll \mu$. To two different L-fuzzy topologies correspond different perfect L-fuzzy topogenous structure [1][2].

2. *-increasing and *-decreasing spaces

A preorder on X is a binary relation " \leq " on X which is reflexive and transitive. preorder on X which is also anti-symmetric is called a partial order or simply an order. By a preordered (resp. an ordered) set we mean a set with a preorder (resp. a partial order) on it

Definition 2.1. (Katsaras [4]) Let (X, \leq) be a preorder set, $A \in L^X$ is called: (i) *-increasing if $x \leq y$ implies $A(x) \leq A(y)$; (ii) *-decreasing, if $x \leq y$ implies $A(y) \leq A(x)$; (iii) order-convex, if $y \leq x \leq z$ implies $A(y) \wedge A(z) \leq A(x)$.

Definition 2.2. Let (X, \leq) be a preorder set, define mappings $p, a, c: L^X \rightarrow L^X$ as follows: for any $A \in L^X$, $x \in X$, $p(A)(x) = \bigvee \{ A(y) : y \leq x \}$; $a(A)(x) = \bigwedge \{ A(y) : x \leq y \}$; $c(A) = p(A) \wedge a(A)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, S) be an L-fuzzy syntopogenous space, define a binary relation \leq_s on X as follows: for any $x, y \in X$, $x \leq_s y$ iff for $A \in L^X, \ll \in S, \lambda \in L, \lambda \neq 0$ and $x_\lambda \ll A$ implies $y_\lambda \leq A$, then " \leq_s " is a preorder on X , it is called the preorder generated by S on X .

proof. (1) (Reflexivity) We can get immediately from $x_\lambda \ll A$ implies $x_\lambda \leq A$.

(2) (Transitivity) Suppose $x \leq_s y, y \leq_s z$ and for $A \in L^X, \ll \in S, \lambda \in L, \lambda \neq 0, x_\lambda \ll A$. By (LFS_2) there exist $\ll_1 \in S, B \in L^X$, such that $x_\lambda \ll_1 B \ll_1 A$. Since $x \leq_s y$, thus $y_\lambda \leq B \ll_1 A$, so $y_\lambda \ll_1 A$. Also because $y \leq_s z$, hence $z_\lambda \leq A$, i.e. $x \leq_s z$.

Definition 2.3. Let (X, \leq) be a preorder set, S be an L-fuzzy syntopogenous structure on X , then (X, S, \leq_s) is called *-increasing (*-decreasing) if for $x, y \in X$, $x \leq_s y$ implies $x \leq_s y$ ($y \leq_s x$).

Proposition 2.2. (1) Let $S_1, S_2 \in S(X)$ and if $S_2 \leq S_1$, then for $x, y \in X$, $x \leq_{S_1} y$ implies $x \leq_{S_2} y$. And if $S_1 \sim S_2$, then $\leq_{S_1} = \leq_{S_2}$. (2) If (X, S_1, \leq_s) is *-increasing (*-decreasing) and $S_2 \leq S_1$, then (X, S_2, \leq_s) is *-increasing (*-decreasing).

Theorem 2.3. If \leq is a preorder on X , $S \in S(X)$, then (1) (X, S, \leq_s) is *-increasing; (2) (X, S, \leq_s) is

*-increasing. (3) (X, S, \leq) is *-increasing iff $S \leq S_{\leftarrow}$.

Proof. (1) Obvious.

(2) If $x \leq y$, $S_{\leftarrow} = \{ \ll \}$ [6], for $A \in L^X$, $\lambda \in L$, $\lambda \neq 0$ and $x_{\lambda} \ll A$ implies $x_{\lambda}(x) \leq A(y)$, i.e. $y_{\lambda} \leq A$. thus $x \leq y$, by Definition 2.3 $(X, S_{\leftarrow}, \leq)$ is *-increasing.

(3) " \Rightarrow " If $S \leq S_{\leftarrow}$, by (2) $(X, S_{\leftarrow}, \leq)$ is *-increasing, from Proposition 2.2(2) then (X, S, \leq) is *-increasing.

" \Leftarrow " Suppos $\ll_1 \in S$, $S_{\leftarrow} = \{ \ll \}$, $A \ll_1 B$ and $x \leq y$, if $A(x) = 0$, easily $A(x) \leq A(y)$. If $A(x) \neq 0$, because $x_{A(x)} \leq A \ll_1 B$, then $x_{A(x)} \ll_1 B$, as (X, S, \leq) is *-increasing, so $y_{A(x)} \leq B$, i.e. $A(x) \leq B(y)$, thus $A \ll B$, $S \leq S_{\leftarrow}$.

Corollary 2.4. Let (X, \leq) be a preorder set, $H_i = \{ E \in L^X : E \text{ is increasing on } (X, \leq) \}$, define binary relation \ll_{H_i} as follows: $A \ll_{H_i} B$ iff there exists $E \in H_i$ such that $A \leq E \leq B$. Then $S \in S(x)$, (X, S, \leq) is *-increasing iff $S \leq \{ \ll_{H_i} \}$.

proof. Easily by Theorems 3.8[6] and 2.3.

Theorem 2.5. The supremum of any number of *-increasing (*-decreasing) L-fuzzy syntopogenous structures on X is also *-increasing (*-decreasing)

The proof is omitted.

Corollary 2.6. S^u (S^1) is the finest one of all *-increasing (*-decreasing) L-fuzzy syntopogenous structures which is coarser than S on S(X). Where $S^u = \bigvee \{ S' \in S(X) : (X, S', \leq) \text{ *-increasing, } S' \leq S \}$; $S^1 = \bigvee \{ S' \in S(X) : (X, S', \leq) \text{ *-decreasing, } S' \leq S \}$. And (1) $S_1 \leq S$ implies $S_1^u \leq S^u$, $S_1^1 \leq S^1$; (2) $S_1 \sim S$ implies $S_1^u \sim S^u$, $S_1^1 \sim S^1$.

Proposition 2.7. (1) If $f: (X, \leq) \rightarrow (Y, S, \leq')$ is an increasing mapping, (Y, S, \leq') is *-increasing (*-decreasing), then $(X, f^1(S), \leq)$ is *-increasing (*-decreasing); (2) If f is a decreasing mapping, (Y, S, \leq') is *-increasing (*-decreasing), then $(X, f^1(S), \leq)$ is *-decreasing (*-increasing).

Theorem 2.8. If $\{ (X_{\lambda}, S_{\lambda}, \leq_{\lambda}) : \lambda \in \Lambda \}$ is a family of *-increasing (*-decreasing) L-fuzzy syntopogenous space, then the product $(\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda}, \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{\lambda}, \leq)$ is *-increasing (*-decreasing), where $\{ x_{\lambda} \} \leq \{ y_{\lambda} \}$ iff for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $x_{\lambda} \leq_{\lambda} y_{\lambda}$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.6 (1) and Def. 7.1 ([4]).

3. a-order-convexity

Definition 3.1. Let S be an L-fuzzy syntopogenous structure on (X, \leq) , (X, S, \leq) will be said to be a-order-convex iff $S \sim (S^u \vee S^1)^a$, for $a \in \{i, p, b\}$ where i is identity.

Proposition 3.1 If (X, S, \leq) is a-order-convex, then $S \sim S^a$.

Proof. If (X, S, \leq) is a-order-convex, then $S^a \sim (S^u \vee S^1)^{aa} = (S^u \vee S^1)^a \sim S$, so $S \sim S^a$.

Theorem 3.2. (X, S, \leq) is a-order-convex iff $S \sim (S_1 \vee S_2)^a$, where (X, S_1, \leq) ((X, S_2, \leq)) is *-decreasing (*-increasing).

Proof. The necessity is obvious. Conversely, if $S \sim (S_1 \vee S_2)^a$, then $S_i \leq (S_1 \vee S_2) \leq (S_1 \vee S_2)^a \leq S$, ($i=1,2$) $S_1 \leq S^u$, $S_2 \leq S^1$, therefore $S \sim (S_1 \vee S_2)^a \leq (S^u \vee S^1)^a \leq S^a$, but $S^a \sim (S_1 \vee S_2)^{aa} = (S_1 \vee S_2)^a \sim S$, so that $(S^u \vee S^1)^a \sim S$.

Proposition 3.3. If (X, S, \leq) is a -order-convex, $a' \in \{i, p, b\}$ is an elementary operation such that aa' is also an elementary operation, then $(X, S^{aa'}, \leq)$ is aa' -order-convex.

Proof. If $S \sim (S^u \vee S^l)^a$, then $S^{aa'} \sim (S^u \vee S^l)^{aa'a}$. From Theorem 3.2 have $(X, S^{aa'}, \leq)$ is aa' -order-convex.

Theorem 3.4. If (X, S, \leq) is a -order-convex, then (X, S^{aa}, \leq) is also a -order-convex.

Proof. If (X, S, \leq) is a -order-convex, then $S^{aa} \sim (S^u \vee S^l)^{aa} = (S^u \vee S^l)^{aa} \sim (S^u \vee S^l)^a \sim (S^{aa} \vee S^{ll})^a$, by Theorem 3.2 (X, S^{aa}, \leq) is a -order-convex.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\{S_i : i \in I \neq \emptyset\}$ be a family of a -order-convex L -fuzzy syntopogenous structure on the preorder set (X, \leq) , then $(\bigvee_{i \in I} S_i)^a$ is also a -order-convex on (X, \leq) .

Proof. Put $S = (\bigvee_{i \in I} S_i)^a$, $S_1 = \bigvee_{i \in I} S_i^u$ and $S_2 = \bigvee_{i \in I} S_i^l$, then S_1 is $*$ -increasing, S_2 is $*$ -decreasing on (X, \leq) . As $S_i \sim (S_i^u \vee S_i^l)^a$, then $(\bigvee_{i \in I} S_i)^a \sim (\bigvee_{i \in I} (S_i^u \vee S_i^l)^a)^a \sim ((\bigvee_{i \in I} S_i^u) \vee (\bigvee_{i \in I} S_i^l))^a \sim (S_1 \vee S_2)^a$, $S \sim (S_1 \vee S_2)^a$, by Theorem 3.2 $(\bigvee_{i \in I} S_i)^a$ is also a -order-convex on (X, \leq) .

Theorem 3.6. Let (X, \leq) , (X', \leq') be preordered set, f is a preorder preserving mapping from X to X' . If (X', S', \leq') is a -order-convex, then $(X, f^1(S'), \leq)$ is also a -order-convex.

Proof. If (X', S', \leq') is a -order-convex, then $f^1(S') \sim f^1((S^u \vee S^l)^a) = f^1(S^u \vee S^l)^a = (f^1(S^u) \vee f^1(S^l))^a$, by Proposition 2.7, $f^1(S^u)$ is $*$ -increasing, $f^1(S^l)$ is $*$ -decreasing on (X, \leq) . Also by Theorem 3.2 then $(X, f^1(S'), \leq)$ is also a -order-convex.

Acknowledgements

This research has been supported by National Science Foundation of China (No:69803007)

References

- [1] C.L.Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 24(1968)182-190.
- [2] A.K.Katsaras, Ordered fuzzy topological space, J.Math. Anal. Appl. 84 (1981)44-58.
- [3] A.K.Katsaras, On fuzzy syntopogenous structures, Rev. Roumaine Math. PureAppl. 30 (1985)419-431.
- [4] A.K.Katsaras, C.G. Petalas, On fuzzy syntopogenous structures, J.Math. Anal. Appl. 99(1984)219-236.
- [5] Mo Zhi Wen, Su Lan, Syntopogenous structure on completely distributive lattice and its connectedness, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 72 (1995)365-371.
- [6] Mo Zhi Wen, Su Lan, On fuzzy Syntopogenous structure and preorder(I), Fuzzy Sets and Systems 90 (1997)355-359.
- [7] Pu Baoming, Liu Ying-ming Fuzzy topology (I), J.Math. Anal. Appl. 76(1980)571-599
- [8] Wang Hong, The refinement of syntopogenous structure on completely distributive lattice, BUSEFAL 74(1997)26-30.
- [9] Wang Hong, On fuzzy Syntopogenous structure and algebraic structure, The journal of fuzzy math. 1(2001)245-250.
- [10] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Set, Inform. and Control 8 (1965) 338-353.