

¹Fuzzy Congruence on Rings

Zhang Chengyi¹, Su Jinlin²

¹Dep. of Math. And Comp.Sci., Hainan Normal University, Hainan, Haikou, 571158, P.R.China.

²Dep. of Math., Northwest Minorities University Lanzhou, 730030, P.R. China.

1. Introduction.

N.Kuroki[1,2,3] discussed the concept of a fuzzy congruence relation on a semigroup. F.A.AL-thukair discussed the fuzzy congruence pairs of inverse semigroups. It is natural to define the fuzzy congruence relation on a ring and study a ring R with the fuzzy congruence relation (abbr. FCR)

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the concept of fuzzy congruence, give some homomorphic properties of a ring with fuzzy congruence relation. We obtain that if R is a ring with FCR, then the kernel of FCR is a fuzzy ideal of R . We also prove some homomorphic theorems of a ring R with FCR. Moreover, some applications of the result of this paper are given.

2. Preliminaries.

Let R be a ring. A function α from $R \times R$ to the unit interval $[0,1]$ is called a fuzzy relation on R . Let α and β be two fuzzy relations on S , the product $\alpha \circ \beta$ of α and β is defined by

$$(\alpha \circ \beta)(a, b) = \sup_{x \in R} [\min\{\alpha(a, x), \beta(x, b)\}]$$

for all $a, b \in R$, and $\alpha \leq \beta$ is defined by $\alpha(x) \leq \beta(x)$ for all $x \in R$.

A fuzzy set of a set X is a function μ from X to the unit interval $[0,1]$, and all fuzzy sets of X denote by $F(X)$. As is well-known, $\mu_\lambda = \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) \geq \lambda\}$ is a λ -cut set of μ and

$\mu_{(\lambda)} = \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) > \lambda\}$ is a strong λ -cut set of μ .

A fuzzy relation μ on R is called fuzzy equivalence relation on R if

$$(E.1) \quad \mu(a, a) = 1 \text{ for all } a \in R. \text{ (fuzzy reflexive)}$$

$$(E.2) \quad \mu(a, b) = \mu(b, a) \text{ for all } a, b \in R. \text{ (fuzzy symmetric)}$$

$$(E.3) \quad \mu \circ \mu \subseteq \mu. \text{ (fuzzy transitive).}$$

Definition .2.1 If μ is a fuzzy equivalence relation on a ring R , then μ is called a fuzzy congruence relation on R if

$$(c.1) \quad \mu(a + x, b + x) \geq \mu(a, b);$$

$$(c.2) \quad \mu(ax, bx) \geq \mu(a, b) \text{ and } \mu(xa, xb) \geq \mu(a, b)$$

¹ Project supported by the NSF of Hainan(10101)

for all $a, b \in R$.

We denote by χ_f the characteristic function of a binary relation f on R . We denote $\text{Con}(R)$ and $\text{con}_f(R)$ the set of all congruence and the all fuzzy congruence on R respectively. Then we have the following conclusions.

Proposition 2.1 Let f is a binary relation on a ring R . Then f is an equivalence (a congruence) on R if and only if χ_f is a fuzzy equivalence (a fuzzy congruence) on R .

Proof: It is clear by the Theorem 2.4 in [3].

Let μ be a fuzzy equivalence relation R . For each $a \in R$, we define a fuzzy subset μ_a on R as follows:

$$\mu_a(x) = \mu(a, x) \text{ for all } x \in R.$$

Then we have the following.

Proposition 2.2 Let μ be a fuzzy congruence relation on R , and let θ be a zero element of R .

Then μ_θ is a fuzzy ideal of R .

Proof: Let μ be a fuzzy congruence relation on R , and for all $x, y \in R$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\theta(x - y) &= \mu(\theta, x - y) = \mu(y - y, x - y) \geq \mu(y, x) = \mu(x, y) \\ &\geq (\mu \circ \mu)(x, y) = \sup_{z \in R} [\min\{\mu(x, z), \mu(z, y)\}] \\ &\geq \min\{\mu(x, \theta), \mu(\theta, y)\} = \min\{\mu_\theta(x), \mu_\theta(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

and for all $r \in R$,

$$\mu_\theta(rx) = \mu(\theta, rx) = \mu(r\theta, rx) \geq \mu(\theta, x) = \mu_\theta(x)$$

Similarly, $\mu_\theta(rx) \geq \mu_\theta(r)$, then we have $\mu_\theta(rx) \geq \max\{\mu_\theta(r), \mu_\theta(x)\}$, Thus, μ_θ is a fuzzy ideal of R .

Proposition 2.3. Let μ be a fuzzy congruence relation on R , and $a \in R$. Then. for all

$$x \in R, \quad \mu_a(x) = \mu_\theta(x - a).$$

Proof: we only prove $\mu(a, x) = \mu(\theta, x - a)$ for all $x \in R$.

$$\mu(\theta, x - a) = \mu(a - a, x - a)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \mu(a, x) \geq (\mu \circ \mu)(a, x) \\
&= \sup_{y \in R} [\min\{\mu(a, y), \mu(y, x)\}] \\
&\geq \min\{\mu(a, a), \mu(a, x)\} \\
&= \mu(a, x) = \mu(a, x - a + a) \\
&\geq \mu(\theta, x - a),
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mu_a(x) = \mu_\theta(x - a)$ for all $x \in R$.

Proposition 2.4 Let μ be a fuzzy congruence relation on R , then for each $a, b \in R$, $\mu_a = \mu_b$ if and only if $\mu_\theta(a - b) = 1$.

Equivalent: $\mu_a = \mu_b$ if and only if $\mu(a, b) = 1$.

Proof: Suppose that $\mu_a = \mu_b$, then for all $x \in R$, $\mu(a, x) = \mu(b, x)$, it implies that $\mu_\theta(a - b) = \mu(a - b, \theta) = \mu(a, b) = \mu(b, a) = \mu(a, a) = 1$;

Conversely, if $\mu_\theta(a - b) = \mu(\theta, a - b) = 1$, then for all $x \in R$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_a(x) &= \mu(a, x) \geq \mu(\theta, x + a) \geq (\mu \circ \mu)(\theta, x - a) \\
&= \sup_{y \in R} [\min\{\mu(\theta, y), \mu(y, x - a)\}] \\
&\geq \min\{\mu(\theta, b - a), \mu(b - a, x - a)\} = \mu(b - a, x - a) \\
&\geq \mu(b, x) = \mu_b(x).
\end{aligned}$$

And so $\mu_b \supseteq \mu_a$. By symmetry, we have $\mu_a \subseteq \mu_b$, Thus we obtain that $\mu_a = \mu_b$.

Let μ be a fuzzy congruence relation on R . For all $a, b \in R$, the addition $\mu_a \oplus \mu_b$ and product $\mu_a \circ \mu_b$ of μ_a and μ_b are defined respectively by

$$\mu_a \oplus \mu_b(x) = \begin{cases} \sup_{x=y+z} [\min\{\mu_a(y), \mu_b(z)\}], & \text{if } x = y + z, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is not expressible as } x = y + z, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mu_a \circ \mu_b(x) = \begin{cases} \sup_{x=yz} [\min\{\mu_a(y), \mu_b(z)\}], & \text{if } x = yz \\ 0 & \text{other wise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.5[Proposition in[]] Let μ_θ be a fuzzy additive subgroup of R , then for all

$y, z \in R$,if $\mu_\theta(y) \neq \mu_\theta(z)$,then $\mu_\theta(y+z) = \min\{\mu_\theta(y), \mu_\theta(z)\}$.

Proposition 2.6 Let μ be a fuzzy congruence relation on R . Then, $\mu_a \oplus \mu_b = \mu_{a+b}$.

Proof: First, we prove the binary operations \oplus are well-defined. Assume that $\mu_a = \mu_b$ and $\mu_c = \mu_d$, then by Proposition 2.4, we have $\mu(a, b) = \mu(c, d) = 1$. Thus

$$\mu(a+c, b+d) = \mu_\theta((a-b) + (c-d)) \geq \mu_\theta(a-b) \wedge \mu_\theta(c-d) = \mu(a, b) \wedge \mu(c, d) = 1$$

So, we have $\mu_a \oplus \mu_c = \mu_b \oplus \mu_d$.

For each $y, z \in R$ satisfies $x = y + z$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{a+b}(x) &= \mu(a+b, x) = \mu_\theta[x - (a+b)] \\ &= \mu_\theta[y + z - (a+b)] \\ &\geq \mu_\theta(y-a) \wedge \mu_\theta(z-b) = \mu(a, y) \wedge \mu(b, z) = \mu_a(y) \wedge \mu_b(z) \end{aligned}$$

thus, $\mu_{a+b}(x) \geq \sup_{x=y+z} [\min\{\mu_a(y), \mu_b(z)\}]$, so we have $\mu_{a+b} \geq \mu_a \oplus \mu_b$.

Conversely, for all $x \in R$, if x can be expressible as $x = y + z, (y, z \in R)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_a \oplus \mu_b(x) &= \max_{x=y+z} [\min\{\mu(a, y), \mu(b, z)\}] \\ &\geq \max_{\substack{x=y+z \\ \mu(a, y) \neq \mu(b, z)}} [\min\{\mu(a, y), \mu(b, z)\}] \\ &= \max_{\substack{x=y+z \\ \mu(a, y) \neq \mu(b, z)}} [\min\{\mu_\theta(y-a), \mu_\theta(z-b)\}] \\ &= \max_{\substack{x=y+z \\ \mu(a, y) \neq \mu(b, z)}} [\mu_\theta(y+z) - (a+b)] \\ &= \mu(a+b, y+z) = \mu(a+b, x). \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mu_a \oplus \mu_b = \mu_{a+b}$.

Similarly, we have the following

Proposition 2.7 Let μ be a fuzzy congruence relation on R . Then, $\mu_a \circ \mu_b \subseteq \mu_{ab}$.

Therefore, we define the binary operation $*$ on R/μ as follows:

$$\mu_a * \mu_b = \mu_{ab}$$

By Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 2.7 we have the following:

Theorem 2.8 Let μ be a fuzzy congruence relation on a ring R . Then $(R/\mu, \oplus, *)$ is a ring.

Proposition 2.9: Let μ be a fuzzy congruence relation on R . Then

$\mu^{-1}(1) = \{(a, b) \mid \mu(a, b) = 1, a, b \in R\}$ is a congruence relation on R .

Proof: By Lemma 2.4 in [1], $\mu^{-1}(1)$ is a fuzzy equivalence relation on R and

$$(ax, bx) \in \mu^{-1}(1), (xa, xb) \in \mu^{-1}(1).$$

Moreover, for $x \in R$, $\mu(a+x, b+x) \geq \mu(a, b) = 1$, which implies that

$\mu(a+x, b+x) = 1$, that is $(a+x, b+x) \in \mu^{-1}(1)$. Thus $\mu^{-1}(1)$ is a congruence relation on R .

3. Homomorphism Theorems

Let R and \bar{R} be two rings and f a homomorphism of R to \bar{R} . Then, the relation.

$$\ker(f) = \{(a, b) \mid f(a) = f(b), a, b \in R\}$$

is a congruence relation on R . Then, the characteristic function $\chi_{\ker(f)}$ is a fuzzy congruence relation on R . and

$$\chi_{\ker(f)}(a, b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f(a) = f(b) \\ 0 & \text{if } f(a) \neq f(b) \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.1 Let μ be a fuzzy congruence relation on R . and

Let $(R/\mu, \oplus, *)$ be a ring. The mapping $\mu^\# : R \rightarrow R/\mu$ defined by,

for $a \in R$, $\mu^\#(a) = \mu_a$.

Then $\mu^\#$ is a homomorphism.

Proof: It is dear.

Theorem 3.2 Let R and \bar{R} be two rings and $f : R \rightarrow \bar{R}$ a homomorphism,

Then the fuzzy kernel $\chi_{\ker(f)}$ is a fuzzy congruence on R , and there is a homomorphism

$$g : R/\chi_{\ker(f)} \rightarrow \bar{R} \text{ such that } f = g \circ (\chi_{\ker(f)})^\#.$$

Proof: Let $a, b \in R$, By the definition $\mu^\#$, we have

$$\mu^\#(a+b) = \mu_{a+b} = \mu_a \oplus \mu_b = \mu^\#(a) \oplus \mu^\#(b)$$

and $\mu^\#(ab) = \mu_{ab} = \mu_a * \mu_b = \mu^\#(a) * \mu^\#(b)$.

Now we define $g : R/\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)} \rightarrow \bar{R}$ by $g((\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)})_a) = f(a)$ for all $a \in R$.

If for all $a, b \in R$, $(\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)})_a = (\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)})_b$, then $\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)}(a, b) = 1$.

So $(a, b) \in \ker(f)$. Thus we have

$$g((\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)})_a) = f(a) = f(b) = g((\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)})_b),$$

This means that g is well-defined.

If $f(a) = f(b)$, then $(a, b) \in \ker(f)$, $\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)}(a, b) = 1$. It implies that

$(\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)})_a = (\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)})_b$, g is one-to-one. Let $a, b \in R$,

$$g((\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)})_a \oplus (\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)})_b) = g((\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)})_{a+b})$$

$$= f(a+b) = f(a) + f(b)$$

$$= g((\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)})_a) + g((\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)})_b)$$

$$= g((\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)})_a * (\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)})_b) = g((\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)})_{ab})$$

$$= f(ab) = f(a)f(b) = g((\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)})_a) \cdot g((\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)})_b)$$

Then g is a homomorphism.

Let $a \in R$, $g((\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)})^\#(a)) = g((\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)})_a) = f(a)$.

So we obtain that

$$g \circ (\mathcal{X}_{\ker(f)})^\# = f.$$

Theorem 3.3 Let μ and ν be fuzzy congruence relations on R . such that $\mu \subseteq \nu$. Then there is on unique homomorphism

$$g : R/\mu \rightarrow R/\nu \quad \text{such that} \quad g \circ \mu^\# = \nu^\#.$$

and $R/\mu / \mathcal{X}_{\ker(g)}$ is isomorphic to R/ν .

Proof: Define $g : R/\mu \rightarrow R/\nu$ by setting

$$g(\mu_a) = \nu_a \quad \text{for all } a \in R.$$

Assume that $\mu_a = \mu_b$, then, $1 = \mu(a, b) \leq \nu(a, b)$.

So $\nu(a, b) = 1$, that is, $\nu_a = \nu_b$, then g is well-defined.

The remainder of the proof is clear.

References

- [1] N. Kuroki, Fuzzy congruences and fuzzy normal subgroups. *Inform.Sci.* 1992, 60:247-259.
- [2] N. Kuroki, Fuzzy congruences on inverse semigroups. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 1997, 87:335-340.
- [3] N. Kuroki, Fuzzy ideals and bi-ideals in semigroups, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 1981, 5:203-215.
- [4] F. AL-Thukair, Fuzzy congruence pairs of inverse semigroups, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 1993, 56:117-122.
- [5] Zhang Chengyi etc, On the Equal-height Elements of the Fuzzy Subgroups, *Chinese Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 2001, 16(2):82-85.