

SOME RESULTS ON FIXED POINTS

Zhu Shunrong

(Department of Applied Mathematics Nanjing University of Science
and Technology Nanjing, 210094, people's Republic of China)

Abstract: Recently, Kada et^[1] introduced the concept of w - distance on a metric space. In this paper, we prove fixed point theorems in complete metric space introduced the concept of a w - distance. These theorems extended Fisher's fixed point theorem^[2], Jeong - sheok ume fixed point theorem^[3].

KEY WORDS: Fixed point, complete metric spaces, distance

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 47H10.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper we denote by N the set of all positive integers.

DEFINITION 1. 1. ^[1] Let X be a metric space with d . Then a function $p: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a w - distance on X if the following are satisfied;

- (1) $p(x, z) \leq p(x, y) + p(y, z)$ for any $x, y, z \in X$;
- (2) for any $x \in X, p(x, \cdot): X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is lower semicontinuous;
- (3) for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $p(z, x) \leq \delta$ and $p(z, y) \leq \delta$ imply $d(x, y) \leq \epsilon$.

LEMMA 1. 2 ^[1] Let X be metric space with d and let p be a w - distance on X . Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be sequences in X , Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ be sequences in $[0, \infty)$ converging to 0, and let $x, y, z \in X$.

Then the following hold;

- (i) If $p(x_n, y) \leq \alpha_n$ and $p(x_n, z) \leq \beta_n$ for any $n \in N$, the $y = z$. In particular, if $p(x, y) = 0$ and $p(x, z) = 0$, then $y = z$;
- (ii) If $p(x_n, y_n) \leq \alpha_n$ and $p(x_n, z) \leq \beta_n$ for any $n \in N$, then $\{y_n\}$ converges to z ;
- (iii) If $p(x_n, x_m) \leq \alpha_n$, for any $n, m \in N$ with $m > n$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence;
- (iv) If $p(y, x_n) \leq \alpha_n$ for any $n \in N$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d) and (Y, ρ) be complete metric space. Let p_1 be a w - distance on X , p_2 be a w - distance on Y . If T is a continuous mapping of X into Y and S is a mapping of Y into X Satisfying the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(STx, STx') &\leq C \max\{p_1(x, x'), p_1(x, STx), p_1(x', STx'), p_2(Tx, Tx')\} \\ p_2(TSy, TSy') &\leq C \max\{p_2(y, y'), p_2(y, TSy), p_2(y', TSy'), p_1(Sy, Sy')\} \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

for all x, x' in X and y, y' in Y , where $0 < C < 1$, then ST has a unique fixed Point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

PROOF. Let x be an arbitrary in X . Define sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X and Y respectively by

$$(ST)^n x = x_n, T(ST)^{n-1} x = y_n$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= p_1(STx_{n-1}, STx_n) \leq C \max\{p_1(x_{n-1}, x_n), p_1(x_{n-1}, x_n), p_1(x_n, x_{n+1}), p_2(y_n, y_{n+1})\} \\ &= C \max\{p_1(x_{n-1}, x_n), p_2(y_n, y_{n+1})\} \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

and similarly

$$p_2(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq C \max\{p_2(y_{n-1}, y_n), p_1(x_{n-1}, x_n)\} \quad (1.3)$$

It now follows easily by induction that

$$p_1(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq C^n \max\{p_1(x, x_1), p_2(y_1, y_2)\} \quad (1.4)$$

$$p_2(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq C^{n-1} \max\{p_1(x, x_1), p_2(y_1, y_2)\}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. If $n < m$, then by (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(x_n, x_m) &\leq p_1(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p_1(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + p_1(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq (C^n + C^{n+1} + \dots + C^{m-1}) \max\{p_1(x, x_1), p_2(y_1, y_2)\} \\ &= \frac{C^n}{1-C} \max\{p_1(x, x_1), p_2(y_1, y_2)\} \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < C < 1$, from lemma 1.2 $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence, with a limit z in X .

Similarly, $\{y_n\}$ is cauchy sequence with a limit w in Y .

We now have on using the continuity of T

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_{n-1} = Tz = w$$

Further, applying inequality (1.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(STz, x_n) &= p_1(STz, STx_{n-1}) \\ &\leq C \max\{p_1(z, x_{n-1}), p_1(z, STz), p_1(x_{n-1}, x_n), p_2(Tz, y_n)\} \end{aligned}$$

and on letting n tend to infinity we have

$$p_1(STz, z) \leq C \max\{p_1(z, z), p_1(z, STz), p_1(z, z), p_2(Tz, w)\} \quad (1.5)$$

Letting n tend to infinity in inequality (1.2) and (1.3), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(z, z) &\leq C \max\{p_1(z, z), p_2(w, w)\} \\ p_2(w, w) &\leq C \max\{p_2(w, w), p_1(z, z)\} \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$p_1(z, z) = 0, p_2(w, w) = 0.$$

From inequality (1.5), we have and so either $p_1(z, STz) = p_1(STz, z) = 0$

$$\text{or } p_1(STz, z) \leq Cp_1(z, STz).$$

Similarly, applying inequality (1.1) we get

$$p_1(z, STz) \leq Cp_1(STz, z)$$

from which it follows that

$$p_1(z, STz) = p_1(STz, z) = 0.$$

Since $p_1(z, z) = 0$, from lemma 1.2, $STz = z$. Hence we have $STz = Sw = z$.

Now suppose that ST has a second fixed point z' . Then By inequalities (1.1), We have

$$p_1(z, z') = p_1(STz, STz') \leq C \max\{p_1(z, z'), p_1(z, z), p_1(z', z'), p_2(Tz, Tz')\}$$

and so either $p_1(z, z') = 0$

$$\text{or } p_1(z, z') \leq C \max\{p_1(z', z'), p_2(Tz, Tz')\}. \quad (1.6)$$

By inequalities (1.1), We have

$$p_2(Tz', Tz') = p_2(TSTz', TSTz') \leq C \max\{p_2(Tz', Tz'), p_2(Tz', Tz'), p_2(Tz', Tz'), p_1(z', z')\}$$

$$\text{and so either } p_2(Tz', Tz') = 0 \text{ or } p_2(Tz', Tz') \leq C p_1(z', z'). \quad (1.7)$$

Applying inequality (1.1) we have

$$p_1(z', z') = p_1(STz', STz') \leq C \max\{p_1(z', z'), p_1(z', z'), p_1(z', z'), p_2(Tz', Tz')\}$$

$$\text{and so either } p_1(z', z') = 0 \text{ or } p_1(z', z') \leq C p_2(Tz', Tz'). \quad (1.8)$$

By (1.7) and (1.8), it follows that

$$p_1(z', z') \leq C^2 p_1(z', z'), \quad p_2(Tz', Tz') \leq C^2 p_2(Tz', Tz').$$

Which implies that $p_1(z', z') = 0$, $p_2(Tz', Tz') = 0$.

By inequality (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(Tz, Tz') &= p_2(TSTz, TSTz') \\ &\leq C \max\{p_2(Tz, Tz'), p_2(Tz, Tz), p_2(Tz', Tz'), p_1(STz, STz')\} \\ &= C \max\{p_2(Tz, Tz'), p_2(w, w), p_2(Tz', Tz'), p_1(z, z')\} \end{aligned}$$

and so either $p_2(Tz, Tz') = 0$ or $p_2(Tz, Tz') \leq C p_1(z, z')$.

If $p_2(Tz, Tz') = 0$, then $p_1(z, z') = 0$. If $p_2(Tz, Tz') \leq C p_1(z, z')$, since $p_1(z', z') = 0$, from inequality (1.6), we have $p_1(z, z') \leq C^2 p_1(z, z')$, which implies that $p_1(z, z') = 0$.

Since $p_1(z, z) = 0$, from lemma (1.2), We have $z = z'$.

Similarly, w is the unique fixed point of TS . This complete the poof of the theorem.

THEOREM 2. 2. Let (X, d) and (Y, ρ) be complete metric spaces. Let p_1 be a w - distance on X , p_2 be a w - distance on Y . If T be a mapping of X into Y and S is a mapping of Y into X satisfying the inequalities

$$p_1(Sy, Sy') p_1(STx, STx') \leq C \max\{p_1(Sy, Sy') p_2(Tx, Tx'), [p_1(Sy, x')]^2, p_1(x, x') p_1(Sy, Sy'), p_1(Sy, STx) p_1(Sy', STx')\} \quad (1.9)$$

$$p_2(Tx, Tx') p_2(TSy, TSy') \leq C \max\{p_1(Sy, Sy') p_2(Tx, Tx'), [p_2(Tx, y')]^2, p_2(y, y') p_2(Tx, Tx'), p_2(Tx, TSy) p_2(Tx', TSy')\} \quad (1.10)$$

for all x, x' in X and y, y' in Y , where $0 < C < 1$. Then

(1) For each $x \in X$, $\{(ST)^n x = x_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence with a limit z in X and $\{T(ST)^{n-1} x = y_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence with a limit w in Y .

$$(2) p_1(z, z) = 0 \text{ and } p_2(w, w) = 0$$

(3) If either T or S is continuous then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

PROOF. Let x be an arbitrary point in X . We define the sequences $\{x_n\}$ in X and $\{y_n\}$ in Y by

$$(ST)^n x = x_n, T(ST)^{n-1} x = y_n$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

We will assume tha $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ and $y_n \neq y_{n+1}$ for all n , otherwise, if $x_n = x_{n+1}$ and $y_n = y_{n+1}$ for some n , We could put $x_n = z$ and $y_n = w$.

Applying inequality (1.9) we get

$$p_1(x_{n-1}, x_n) p_1(x_n, x_{n+1}) = p_1(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n) p_1(STx_{n-1}, STx_n) \leq C \max\{p_1(x_{n-1}, x_n) p_2(y_n, y_{n+1}), [p_1(x_{n-1}, x_n)]^2, p_1(x_{n-1}, x_n) p_1(x_{n-1}, x_n), p_1(x_{n-1}, x_n) p_1(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$$

Since $0 < C < 1$ and $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ $y_n \neq y_{n+1}$, from which it follows that

$$p_1(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq C \max\{p_2(y_n, y_{n+1}), p_1(x_{n-1}, x_n)\}$$

Applying inequality (1.10) we get

$$p_2(y_{n-1}, y_n) p_2(y_n, y_{n+1}) = p_2(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}) p_2(TSy_{n-1}, TSy_n) \leq C \max\{p_1(x_{n-1}, x_n) p_2(y_{n-1}, y_n), [p_2(y_{n-1}, y_n)]^2, [p_2(y_{n-1}, y_n)]^2, p_2(y_{n-1}, y_n) p_2(y_n, y_{n+1})\}$$

Since $0 < C < 1$ and $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$, $y_n \neq y_{n+1}$, from which it follws that

$$p_2(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq C \max\{p_1(x_{n-1}, x_n), p_2(y_{n-1}, y_n)\}$$

It now follows easily by induction that

$$p_1(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq C^n \max\{p_1(x, x_1), p_2(y_1, y_2)\}$$

$$p_2(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq C^{n-1} \max\{p_1(x, x_1), p_2(y_1, y_2)\}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. If $n < m$, then

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(x_n, x_m) &\leq p_1(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p_1(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + p_1(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq (C^n + C^{n+1} + \dots + C^{m-1}) \max\{p_1(x, x_1), p_2(y_1, y_2)\} \\ &\leq \frac{C^n}{1-C} \max\{p_1(x, x_1), p_2(y_1, y_2)\} \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(y_n, y_m) &\leq p_2(y_n, y_{n+1}) + p_2(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + p_2(y_{m-1}, y_m) \\ &\leq (C^{n-1} + C^n + \dots + C^{m-2}) \max\{p_1(x, x_1), p_2(y_1, y_2)\} \\ &\leq \frac{C^{n-1}}{1-C} \max\{p_1(x, x_1), p_2(y_1, y_2)\} \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < C < 1$, from lemma 1.2, $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences with limits z in X with w in Y .

(2) Applying inequality (1.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(x_n, x_n) p_1(x_n, x_n) &= p_1(Sy_n, Sy_n) p_1(STx_{n-1}, STx_{n-1}) \\ &\leq C \max\{p_1(x_n, x_n) p_2(y_n, y_n), [p_1(x_n, x_{n-1})]^2, p_1(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) p_1(x_n, x_n), p_1(x_n, x_n) p_1(x_n, x_n)\} \end{aligned}$$

Letting n tend to infinity, we have

$$[p_1(z, z)]^2 \leq C \max\{p_1(z, z) p_2(w, w), [p_1(z, z)]^2\}$$

and so either $p_1(z, z) = 0$ or

$$p_1(z, z) \leq C p_2(w, w) \quad (1.11)$$

Applying inequality (1.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(y_n, y_n) p_2(y_n, y_n) &= p_2(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) p_2(TSy_{n-1}, TSy_{n-1}) \\ &\leq C \max\{p_1(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) p_2(y_n, y_n), [p_2(y_n, y_{n-1})]^2, p_2(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) p_2(y_n, y_n), [p_2(y_n, y_n)]^2\} \end{aligned}$$

Letting n tend to infinity, we have

$$[p_2(w, w)]^2 \leq C \max\{p_1(z, z) p_2(w, w), [p_2(w, w)]^2\}$$

and so either $p_2(w, w) = 0$ or

$$p_2(w, w) \leq C p_1(z, z) \quad (1.12)$$

From inequality (1.11) and (1.12), we get

$$p_1(z, z) \leq C^2 p_1(z, z), \quad p_2(w, w) \leq C^2 p_2(w, w)$$

from which it follows that $p_1(z, z) = 0$, $p_2(w, w) = 0$.

(3) Applying inequality (1.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(x_n, Sw) p_1(x_{n+1}, STz) &= p_1(Sy_n, Sw) p_1(STx_n, STz) \\ &\leq C \max\{p_1(x_n, Sw) p_2(y_{n+1}, Tz), [p_1(x_n, z)]^2, p_1(x_n, z) p_1(x_n, Sw), p_1(x_n, x_{n+1}) p_1(Sw, STz)\} \end{aligned}$$

Letting n tend to infinity, we have

$$p_1(z, Sw) p_1(z, STz) \leq C p_1(z, Sw) p_2(w, Tz)$$

and so either $p_1(z, Sw) = 0$ (1.13)

or $p_1(z, STz) \leq C p_2(w, Tz)$ (1.14)

Applying inequality (1.10) we have

$$p_2(y_{n+1}, Tz)p_2(y_{n+1}, TSw) = p_2(Tx_n, Tz)p_2(Tsy_n, TSw) \\ \leq C \max\{p_1(x_n, Sw)p_2(y_{n+1}, Tz), [p_2(y_{n+1}, w)]^2, p_2(y_n, w)p_2(y_{n+1}, Tz), p_2(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1})p_2(Tz, TSw)\}$$

Letting n tend to infinity, we have

$$p_2(w, Tz)p_2(w, TSw) \leq C p_1(z, Sw)p_2(w, Tz)$$

and so either $p_2(w, Tz) = 0$ (1.15)

or $p_2(w, TSw) \leq C p_1(z, Sw)$ (1.16)

(i) If T is continuous, then

$$w = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = Tz.$$

(a) If equation (1.13) holds, since $p_1(z, z) = 0$, by lemma 1.2 we have $Sw = z$. We then have $TSw = Tz = w$ and $STz = Sw = z$.

(ii) If inequality (1.14) holds, since $p_2(w, Tz) = p_2(w, w) = 0$, hence $p_1(z, STz) = 0$. Also since $p_1(z, z) = 0$, from lemma 1.2 we have $STz = z$ and $Sw = z$, $TSw = Tz = w$.

(2) If S is continuous, then

$$z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = Sw.$$

(a) If equation (1.15) holds, since $p_2(w, w) = 0$, by lemma 1.2 we have $w = Tz$. We then have $Sw = STz = z$ and $TSw = Tz = w$.

(b) If inequality (1.16) holds, since $p_1(z, Sw) = p_1(z, z) = 0$, hence $p_2(w, TSw) = 0$. Also since $p_2(w, w) = 0$, from lemma 1.2 We have $w = TSw = Tz$ and $STz = Sw = z$.

To prove uniqueness, suppose that ST has a second fixed point z' and TS has a second fixed point w' .

Then applying inequality (1.9) we have

$$[p_1(z, z')]^2 = p_1(STz, STz')p_1(STz, STz') \\ \leq C \max\{p_1(z, z')p_2(Tz, Tz'), [p_1(z, z')]^2, p_1(z, z')p_1(z, z'), p_1(z, z)p_1(z', z')\} \\ = C \max\{p_1(z, z')p_2(Tz, Tz'), [p_1(z, z')]^2\}$$

and so either $p_1(z, z') = 0$ (1.17)

or $p_1(z, z') \leq C p_2(Tz, Tz')$ (1.18)

Further applying inequality (1.10) we have

$$[p_2(Tz, Tz')]^2 = p_2(Tz, Tz')p_2(TSTz, TSTz') \\ \leq C \max\{p_1(z, z')p_2(Tz, Tz'), [p_2(Tz, Tz')]^2, [p_2(Tz, Tz')]^2, p_2(Tz, Tz)p_2(Tz', Tz')\}$$

and so either $p_2(Tz, Tz') = 0$ (1.19)

$$p_2(Tz, Tz') \leq C p_1(z, z') \quad (1.20)$$

If equation (1.17) holds, since $p_1(z, z) = 0$, from lemma 1.2, we have $z = z'$.

If inequality (1.18) holds, then

(a) If equality (1.19) hold, then $p(z, z') = 0$. We then have $z = z'$.

(b) If inequality (1.20) hold, then $p_1(z, z') \leq C p_2(Tz, Tz') \leq C^2 p_1(z, z')$

and so $p_1(z, z') = 0$ Since $0 < C < 1$. Since $p_1(z, z) = 0$, from lemma 1.2, we have $z = z'$, proving the uniqueness of the fixed point z of ST .

Now $TSw' = w'$ implies $STSw' = Sw'$ and so $Sw' = z$. Thus

$$w = TSw = Tz = TSw' = w'$$

proving that w is the unique fixed point of TS . This completes the proof of the theorem.

COROLLARY 2.3. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and p be a w - distance on X . If T be a mapping of X into X Satisfying the inequality

$$p(Ty, Ty')p(T^2x, T^2x') \leq C \max\{p(Ty, Ty')p(Tx, Tx'), [p(Ty, x')]^2, p(x, x')p(Ty, Ty'), p(Ty, T^2x)p(Ty', T^2x')\}$$

for all x, x', y, y' in X . Where $0 < C < 1$, Then T has a unique fixed point z in X .

PROOF. It follows from theorem with $(X, d) = (Y, \rho)$ and $S = T$, $p_1 = p_2$ that T^2 has a unique fixed point z . Then $T^2(Tz) = T(T^2z) = Tz$ and so we see that Tz is also a fixed point of T^2 . Since the fixed point is unique, we must have $Tz = z$.

References

- [1] Kada O, Suzuki T, and Takahshi W. Nonconvex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces. *Math. Japonica* 1996, 44:381 - 391.
- [2] FHSHER, B, Related fixed points on two metric spaces, *Math. Sem. Notes, Kobe Univ.* 1982, 10:17 - 26.
- [3] Ume Jeong - sheok. Fixed point theorems related to C'iric''s contraction principle. *J. Math. Anal and Appl* 1998, 225:630 - 640.