Fuzzy Linear Space Based on Fuzzy Subfield Zhang Chengyi

Dep. of Math. Zhumadian Education College, Henan 463000, China Dang Pingan

Dep. of Math. Zhumadian Teacher's College, Henan 463000, China

Abstract In this paper, the fuzzy linear space based on a fuzzy subfield is defined by the nested sets, it's pointwise characterization and some properties of the linear relations of fuzzy vectors are discussed.

Keyword Fuzzy linear space, Fuzzy subfield, Fuzzy linear dependent, Fuzzy base.

S. Nanda^[1]defined the fuzzy fields and fuzzy linear space. R. Biswas^[2]showed the mistakes in [1] and redefined the concepts of fuzzy fields and fuzzy linear space. Gu wenxiang^[3]gave one example, not only showed some propositions are fale in [1], but also maintained that the definitions in [2]does not remove errors in [1], Therefore redefined the concepts. Li Kelin [4] supplemented one condition for the definition in [3] and gave some results. On this paper we discussed fuzzy linear space on fuzzy subfield by nested sets, its pointwise characterization and some properties of the linear relations of fuzzy vectors are discussed.

1. Introduction

Definition 1.1 ^[5]Let X be an universe and P(X) be power set of $X, \Gamma \subseteq \{0, 1\}$, If the mapping $H: \Gamma \rightarrow P(X)$

$$\mu \rightarrow H(\mu)$$

Satisfies:

$$\lambda < \mu \Leftrightarrow H(\lambda) \supseteq H(\mu)$$

then H is called a nested set of X, and we denote $H_{\Gamma} = \{H(\lambda) | \lambda \in \Gamma\}$.

 H_{Γ} can be reduced or refined according the convention in [7]. If H_{Γ} satisfies the condition: When $\lambda \neq \mu$ ($\lambda, \mu \in \Gamma$), $H(\lambda) \neq H(\mu)$ (for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Gamma$), then H is called irreducible nested set.

Two nested sets in X have the relation R if and only if they have the same irreducible nested set about the same index set Γ , then the relation R is an equivalent relation.

Using relation R we can classify the all nested set in X and the class containing H_{Γ} is denoted \overline{H}_{Γ} which is called a fuzzy subset in X, and denoted H. The set of the all fuzzy subsets on X is denoted $\mathscr{F}(X)$. We characterized fuzzy subfield and fuzzy linear space by irreducible nested sets.

[&]quot; This work was supported by the MSF of China and Henan (69974006,994052300)

Definition 1. 2 [6] Let E be a field and $F \in \mathscr{F}(E)$, Fr be the irreducible nested sets of F. If $\forall \lambda \in \Gamma$, F_{λ} is a subfield of E, then F_{α} is called a fuzzy subfield of E.

About the fuzzy subfield we have following conclutions: [6]

(1) If F is a fuzzy subfield of E, then $F(1) = F(0) \geqslant F(x)$, $\forall x \in E$;

(2) F is a fuzzy subfield of E iff
$$\forall x,y \in E$$
, (a) $F(x), \forall x \in E$;
 $(-x) \geqslant F(x), (c) F(xy) \geqslant F(x) \land F(y), (d) F(x^{-1} \geqslant F(x), (x \neq 0);$
Definition 1.3 Let $\triangle B \in \mathscr{C}(E)$

Definition 1.3 Let $A, B \in \mathcal{F}(E)$, then the product $A \circ B$ is defined as follows:

$$A \circ B(x) = \begin{cases} V & A(y) \land B(z) \\ yz = x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 for $y, z \in E$,

An x_{λ} is called a fuzzy point in E iff $x_{\lambda}(y) = 0$ for $y \neq x$ and $x_{\lambda}(x) = \lambda \neq 0, x_{\lambda}$. $y_{\mu} = (xy)_{\lambda \wedge \mu}$ is a fuzzy point too. If $A \in \mathcal{F}(E)$, $A(x) = \lambda$, $x_{A(x)}$ is called a principal element of A.

(3) F is a fuzzy subfield iff $\forall x_{\lambda}, y_{\mu} \in F$, $x_{\lambda} \oplus y_{\mu} \in F$, $-(x_{\lambda}) \in F$, $x_{\lambda} \circ y_{\mu} \in F$, $x_{\lambda}^{-1}(x\neq 0)\in F.$

2. Fuzzy Linear Space

Let F be a fuzzy subfield and V be a Linear space on E, \neq W \in $\mathscr{F}(V)$, W_r be the irreducible nested sets of W. If $\forall \lambda \in \Gamma$, W_{λ} is a linear space on F_{λ} , then W is called a fuzzy linear space on F. When F = E, W is called the fuzzy linear space on E.

Example 2.2 Let $F \in \mathcal{F}(C)$ (C is the complex field), F_r is defined by

$$\Gamma_{:}$$
 0 < 0.1 < 0.5 < 0.8

$$F_{\Gamma}: C \geqslant R \geqslant Q(\sqrt{2}) \geqslant Q,$$

then F is a fuzzy subfield of C. As we knowen, $C_n[x] = \{f(x) | f(x) \in C[x], deg (f(x)) \}$ (x) (x) (x) (x) is a linear space on (x). Let (x) (x

$$\Gamma_{:}$$
 0 <0.1 < 0.5 <0.8

$$W_{\Gamma}: C_{n}[x] \supseteq R_{n}[x] \supseteq Q(\sqrt{2})_{n}[x] \supseteq Q_{n}[x],$$

then W is a fuzzy linear space on the fuzzy subfield F of E.

Theorem 2. 3 Let V be a linear space on a field E and F be a fuzzy subfield

of E, $W \in \mathcal{F}(V)$. W is a fuzzy linear space on F iff

- (1) $\forall \alpha, \beta \in V, \underset{\sim}{W}(\alpha \beta) \geqslant \underset{\sim}{W}(\alpha) \land \underset{\sim}{W}(\beta);$
- $(2)\forall \alpha \in V, \forall k \in E, \underset{\sim}{W}(k\alpha) \geqslant \underset{\sim}{W}(\alpha) \wedge \underset{\sim}{F}(k).$

Proof: (only proving Sufficiency) Suppose $W \in \mathscr{F}(V)$, W_{Γ} is the irreducible nested sets of W. $\forall \lambda \in \Gamma, \forall \alpha, \beta \in W_{\lambda}, \forall k \in F_{\lambda}$, then $W(x) \geqslant \lambda, W(\beta) \geqslant \lambda, F(k) \geqslant \lambda$, therefore $W(\alpha - \beta) \geqslant \lambda, \alpha - \beta \in W_{\lambda}, W(kx) \geqslant \lambda, kx \in W_{\lambda}, W_{\lambda}$ is a linear space on F_{λ} , thus W is a fuzzy linear space on F.

Theorem 2.4 Let V be a linear space on a field E and F be a fuzzy subfield of E. $W \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ is a fuzzy linear space on F iff $\forall \alpha, \beta \in V, \forall k, l \in E$.

 $\underset{\sim}{W(k\alpha+l\beta)} \geqslant (\underset{\sim}{W(\alpha)} \wedge \underset{\sim}{W(\beta)}) \wedge (\underset{\sim}{F(k)} \wedge \underset{\sim}{F(l)}).$

Suppose that α_{λ} , $\beta_{\mu} \in W$, k_{ι} , $l_{s} \in F$, defining $k_{\iota} \circ \alpha_{\lambda} = (k\alpha)_{\iota_{\Lambda\lambda}}$, then We have following the pointwise characterization on fuzzy linear space.

Theorem 2.5 Let V be a linear space on a field E and F be a fuzzy subfield of E. $W \in \mathscr{F}(V)$ is a fuzzy linear space on F iff $\forall \alpha_{\lambda}, \beta_{\mu} \in W, k_{\iota}, l_{s} \in F, k_{\iota} \circ \alpha_{\lambda} \oplus l_{s} \circ \beta_{\mu} \in F$. (where " \oplus ", " \circ " are the operation introduced by the operation "+", " \circ " in E.)

Theorem 2.6

- (1) If W_1, W_2 are fuzzy linear spaces on a fuzzy subfield F, then $W_1 \cap W_2$ so is;
- (2) If W_1 , W_2 are fuzzy linear spaces on a fuzzy subfield F, then $W_1 \bigoplus W_2$ so is;
- (3) If W is fuzzy linear space on F, then $\forall \alpha \in V, W(\alpha) \leq W(0) \leq F(0) = F(0)$;
- (4) If W is fuzzy linear space on F, $W_{\underline{w}(0)} = \{\alpha \in V \mid \underline{W}(\alpha) = \underline{W}(0)\} \neq \{0\}$, then $W_{\underline{w}(0)}$ containes the minimal nonzero subspace of V.

3. Fuzzy vector and Fuzzy linear relation

We call the elements in fuzzy linear space \widetilde{W} as the fuzzy vectors and the elements in fuzzy subfield \widetilde{F} as the fuzzy numbers. Specially the fuzzy vector O_{λ} is called a λ -zero vector and the fuzzy number 0_{μ} is called a μ -zero number.

Definition 3.1 Let $\overset{\mathbf{W}}{\sim}$ be a fuzzy linear space on $\overset{\mathbf{F}}{\sim}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, \cdots , $\alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)} \in \overset{\mathbf{W}}{\sim}$. If

existing a group of fuzzy numbers $a_{\mu_1}^{(1)}$, $a_{\mu_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots a_{\mu_m}^{(m)}$ which are not all zero in $\overset{\frown}{F}$, such that

$$a_{\mu_1}^{(1)} \alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)} \bigoplus a_{\mu_2}^{(2)} \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} \bigoplus \cdots \bigoplus a_{\mu_m}^{(m)} \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)} = O_{\lambda},$$

(where $\lambda = \Lambda \{\lambda_i, \mu_j | i, j=1, 2, \dots m\} > 0$) then we call fuzzy vectors $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \dots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ are λ —linear dependent, conversely, we call they are λ —linear independent.

Note $1:\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)},\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)},\cdots\alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ are λ —linear dependent means the degree of linear dependent of vectors $\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)},\cdots,\alpha^{(m)}$ in V is λ_{\circ}

Note 2: Though fuzzy vectors $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ are λ -linear independent, but they may be μ -linear dependent $(\mu > \lambda)$.

Example 3. 2 Let $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ be the 3-dimension real linear space, F be a fuzzy subfield of R and W be a fuzzy linear space on F, F and W are defined as following:

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0.8, x \in \mathbf{Q} \\ 0.5, x \in \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \setminus \mathbf{Q} \\ 0.1, x \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{W}(\alpha) = \begin{cases}
0.9, \alpha = (\mathbf{r}_1, 0, 0) \\
0.4, \alpha = (\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, 0) & \mathbf{r}_2 \neq 0 \\
0.1, \alpha = (\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3) & \mathbf{r}_3 \neq 0
\end{cases}$$

Let $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)} = (1,0,0)_{0.9}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} = (1,1,0)_{0.4}, \alpha_{\lambda_3}^{(3)} = (1,2,0)_{0.4}$, then exists fuzzy number $\sqrt{2}_{0.5}, (-2\sqrt{2})_{0.5}, (\sqrt{2})_{0.5}$, such that

$$\sqrt{2}_{0.5} \circ (1,0,0)_{0.9} \oplus (-2\sqrt{2})_{0.5} \circ (1,1,0)_{0.4} \oplus \sqrt{2}_{0.5} \circ (1,2,0)_{0.4}$$

$$= (\sqrt{2},0,0)_{0.5} \oplus (-2\sqrt{2},-2\sqrt{2},0)_{0.4} \oplus (\sqrt{2},2\sqrt{2},0)_{0.4}$$

$$= (0,0,0)_{0.4}$$

Thus $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_3}^{(3)}$ are 0.4—linear dependent. But there exist no any group of fuzzy numbers $a_{\mu_1}^{(1)}$, $a_{\mu_2}^{(2)}$, $a_{\mu_3}^{(3)}$ which are not all zero such that $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_3}^{(3)}$ are 0.5—linear dependent.

Note 3 When $\lambda = W(0)$, we call fuzzy vectors $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, \cdots $\alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ are linear dependent. In this case, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_m = W(0)$.

Note 4 In a fuzzy linear space, We can define vector subtraction: $\alpha_{\lambda} \bigcirc \beta_{\mu} = \alpha_{\lambda}$

 $\bigoplus (-\beta)_{\mu}$. But, it is different from classical linear space that the operation " \bigoplus " doesn't satisfy additive eliminate law. Therefore, $\alpha_{\lambda} \bigoplus \beta_{\mu} = r_{t}$ doesn't imply $\alpha_{\mu} = r_{t}$ $\bigoplus \beta_{\mu}$.

Theorem 3. 3 Let W is a fuzzy linear space on a fuzzy subfield F and $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ be fuzzy vectors in W, $\lambda = \min\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\}$. $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ are λ —linear dependent iff $\alpha^{(1)}$, $\alpha^{(2)}$, \cdots , $\alpha^{(m)}$ are linear dependent in W_{λ_0}

Proof: (Neccesary) Suppose that $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ are λ -linear dependent, then exist a group of fuzzy numbers $a_{\mu_1}^{(1)}, a_{\mu_2}^{(2)}, \cdots a_{\mu_m}^{(m)}$ which are not all zero $(\mu_i \geqslant \lambda, i = 1, 2, \cdots m)$ satisfied:

$$a_{\mu_1}^{(1)} \alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)} \oplus a_{\mu_2}^{(2)} \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} \oplus \cdots \oplus a_{\mu_m}^{(m)} \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)} = O_{\lambda}$$
,

Since $\lambda = \min\{\lambda_i, \mu_j | i, j = 1, 2, \cdots m\}$, then $a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \cdots a^{(m)} \in F_{\lambda}, \alpha^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}, \cdots \alpha^{(m)} \in W_{\lambda}$, and in W_{λ} we have $a^{(1)}\alpha^{(1)} + a^{(2)}\alpha^{(2)} + \cdots + a^{(m)}\alpha^{(m)} = 0$ (*)

i. e , $\alpha^{(1)}$, $\alpha^{(2)}$, $\cdots \alpha^{(m)}$ are linear dependent in \mathbf{W}_{λ} .

(Sufficiency) If $\alpha^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}, \dots, \alpha^{(m)}$ are linear dependent in W_{λ} , the there exist a group of numbers $a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots a^{(m)} \in F_{\lambda}$, such that (*) is truth, Since $F(a^{(i)}) \geqslant \lambda$, $(i=1,2,\dots m)$ So we can choose a group of fuzzy numbers $a_{\lambda}^{(1)}, a_{\lambda}^{(2)}, \dots a_{m}^{(m)} \in F_{\lambda}$, which are not all zero, such that

$$a_{\lambda}^{(1)} \alpha_{\lambda_{i}}^{(1)} \bigoplus a_{\lambda}^{(2)} \alpha_{\lambda_{2}}^{(2)} \bigoplus \cdots a_{\lambda}^{(m)} \alpha_{\lambda_{m}}^{(m)} = O_{\lambda}.$$

Corollary 3. 4 Let $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ be fuzzy vectors in fuzzy linear space W. If $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ are λ —linear dependent, then they must be μ —linear dependent $(\mu \leq \lambda)$.

Corollary 3. 5 If $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ are λ —linear independent, then they must be μ —linear independent $(\mu \geqslant \lambda)$.

Theorem 3.6 (1) If there is μ -zero vector in the group of fuzzy vectors $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$, then $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ must be are λ -linear dependent, (λ =min $\{\lambda_i\}$) and there is a part of fuzzy vectors are μ -linear dependent.

(2) If $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \dots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ are μ -linear independent, the It's any non-empty part-group of fuzzy vectors are λ -linear independent.

Definition 3. 7 Let W be a fuzzy linear space on \widetilde{F} , $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$, $\beta_{\lambda} \in W$. If there exist $a_{\mu_1}^{(1)}$, $a_{\mu_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots a_{\mu_m}^{(m)} \in \widetilde{F}$, such that $\beta_{\lambda} = a_{\mu_1}^{(1)} \alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)} \oplus a_{\mu_2}^{(2)} \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} \oplus \cdots \oplus a_{\mu_m}^{(m)} \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$,

we call fuzzy vector β_{λ} can be λ —linear represented by $\alpha_{\lambda_{1}}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_{2}}^{(2)}$, $\cdots \alpha_{\lambda_{m}}^{(m)}$ (This means the degree which β can be linear represented by $\alpha_{\lambda_{1}}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_{2}}^{(2)}$, \cdots , $\alpha_{\lambda_{m}}^{(m)}$ is λ .)

Theorem 3.8 $W, \alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}, \beta_{\lambda}$ are above, then β_{λ} can be λ —linear represented by $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ iff β can be linear represented by $\alpha^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}, \cdots, \alpha^{(m)}$ in W_{λ} .

Definition 3. 9 $\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{W}}, \alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}, \beta_{\lambda} \text{ are above, if } \beta_{\lambda} = a_{\lambda_1}^{(1)} \alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)} \oplus a_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} \oplus \cdots \oplus a_{\lambda_m}^{(m)} \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)},$

then we call β_{λ} is just λ —linear represented by $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$. In this case, β_{λ} is called a fuzzy linear combination of $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$.

Note 5 In classical linear space, We have a proposition:

If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots \alpha_m$ are linear independent and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots \alpha_m, \beta$ are linear dependent, then β must be linear represented by $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots \alpha_m$. In fuzzy linear space, this proposition is not truth.

For example: Let V, W, F are above in example 3.2, Let $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)} = (1,0,0)_{0.6}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} = (1,1,0)_{0.5}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_3}^{(3)} = (1,1,1)_{0.4}$, $\beta_{\lambda} = (1,2,0)_{0.5} \in \mathbb{W}$; then $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_3}^{(3)}$ λ —linear independent. ($\lambda \in [0,1]$) and $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_3}^{(3)}$, β_{λ} are 0.4—linear dependent, but β_{λ} can not be fuzzy linear represented by $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_3}^{(3)}$.

Definition 3.10 Let W be a fuzzy linear space on F, $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ and $\beta_{\mu_1}^{(1)}$, $\beta_{\mu_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots \beta_{\mu_n}^{(n)}$ be two groups of fuzzy vectors in W. If \forall i (i = 1, 2, \cdots m), $\alpha_{\lambda_i}^{(i)}$ can be fuzzy linear represented by $\beta_{\mu_1}^{(1)}$, $\beta_{\mu_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots \beta_{\mu_n}^{(n)}$, then we call the fuzzy vectors group of $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ can be fuzzy linear represented by the fuzzy vectors group of $\beta_{\mu_1}^{(1)}$, $\beta_{\mu_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots \beta_{\mu_n}^{(n)}$.

If two fuzzy vectors groups can be fuzzy linear represented for each other, then we call these two fuzzy vector groups equivalent.

Theorem 3.11 The two fuzzy vector groups are above, then they are equivelent iff there exists $t \in [0,1]$, such that vector group of $\alpha^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}, \dots, \alpha^{(m)}$ and vector group of $\beta^{(1)}, \beta^{(2)}, \dots, \beta^{(m)}$ are equivalent in W_1 .

Theorem 3. 12 The two fuzzy vector groups are above. These two vector groups are equivalent iff $(1)\alpha^{(1)}, \cdots \alpha^{(m)}$ and $\beta^{(1)}, \cdots \beta^{(m)}$ are equivalent; $(2)\lambda_i = \mu_j = t$ for $i = 1, 2 \cdots m, j = 1, 2 \cdots n$.

Definition 3.13 Let W be a fuzzy linear space on F, $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)} \in W$. If $(1)\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots \alpha_{\lambda_k}^{(k)}$ ($k \leq m$) are λ —linear independent,

(2) $\alpha_{\lambda_i}^{(j)}$ (j=1,2···m) can be λ -linear represented by $\alpha_{\lambda_i}^{(1)},\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)},\cdots \alpha_{\lambda_k}^{(k)}$

Then $\{\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)},\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)},\cdots\alpha_{\lambda_k}^{(k)}\}$ is called a $\lambda-$ maximal linear independent group of

 $\{\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)},\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)},\cdots\alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}\}$ and k is called the $\lambda-$ rank of fuzzy vector group of $\{\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)},\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)},\cdots\alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}\}$.

Theorem 3. 14 Let W be a fuzzy linear space on F, $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)} \in W$. Then $\{\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_k}^{(k)}\}$ is a λ -maximal linear independent group of $\{\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}\}$ iff

 $(1)\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)},\cdots,\alpha^{(k)}\}$ is maximal linear independent group of $\{\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)},\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)},\cdots\alpha^{(m)}\}$ in W_{λ} ;

$$(2)\lambda_{j}(j=k+1,\cdots m)=\min\{\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\cdots \lambda_{k}\}$$

4. Fuzzy Bases and Fuzzy dimensions

If

Definition 4.1 Let $\overset{\cdot}{W}$ be a fuzzy linear space on $\overset{\cdot}{F}$ and $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)} \in \overset{\cdot}{W}$.

 $(1)\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)},\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)},\cdots\alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ are λ —linear independent;

(2) $\forall \beta_{\mu}(\mu \geqslant \lambda) \in \underset{\sim}{W}, \beta_{\mu} \text{ can be } \lambda-\text{linear represented by } \alpha_{\lambda_{1}}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_{2}}^{(2)}, \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_{m}}^{(m)};$

then $\{\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}\}$ is called a λ -fuzzy base of W and (m, λ) is called the λ -dimension of W. Denote $\dim(W(\lambda)) = (m, \lambda)$.

Example 4.2 Let W, F be above in example 3.2, then $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)} = (1,0,0)_{0.4}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} = (0,1,0)_{0.4}$ is a 0.4-base of W. $\forall \beta_{\mu} = (a,b,o)_{\mu} (\mu \geqslant 0.4) \in W$,

then
$$a_{\mu}\alpha_{\lambda_{1}}^{(1)} \bigoplus b_{\mu}\alpha_{\lambda_{2}}^{(2)} \bigoplus 1_{0.4}\beta_{\mu} = 0_{0.4}$$

 $\dim(\mathbf{W}(0.4)) = (2,0.4)$

By this example, We know that fuzzy linear space is not simple simulator of classical linear space, it contains richer connotation.

Theorem 4.3 Let $W, \alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ be above, then $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ is a λ -base of W iff $\alpha^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}, \cdots, \alpha^{(m)}$ is a base of W_{λ_0}

Theorem 4.4 Let W be a fuzzy linear space on F, and $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ be a λ -base of W, then $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ can be extended as a μ -base of W($\mu \leq \lambda$). i. e. $\dim(W(\lambda)) \leq \dim(W(\mu))$ (when $\mu \leq \lambda$)

Theorem 4.5 Let W be a fuzzy linear space on F, $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ be a λ —base of W. If β_{λ} be just linear represented by $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$, then the represent way is unique.

Proof: Suppose that $\beta_{\lambda} = a_{\lambda_1}^{(1)} \alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)} \bigoplus a_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} \bigoplus \cdots \bigoplus a_{\lambda_m}^{(m)} \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$, and $\beta_{\lambda} = b_{\lambda_1}^{(1)} \alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)} \bigoplus b_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} \bigoplus \cdots \bigoplus b_{\lambda_m}^{(m)} \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$,

then $(a_{\lambda_{l}}^{(1)}\bigcirc b_{\lambda_{l}}^{(1)})\alpha_{\lambda_{m}}^{(1)}\bigoplus\cdots\bigoplus (a_{\lambda_{m}}^{(m)}\bigcirc b_{\lambda_{m}}^{(m)})\alpha_{\lambda_{m}}^{(m)}=0_{\lambda}$

since $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, \cdots $\alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ are λ -linear independent, so $\alpha^{(1)}$, $\alpha^{(2)}$, \cdots , $\alpha^{(m)}$ are linear independent in W_{λ} , thus, In W_{λ} we have $a^{(i)}-b^{(i)}=0$ $(i=1,2,\cdots m)$, i. e. $a^{(i)}=b^{(i)}$, $a^{(i)}_{\lambda}=b^{(i)}_{\lambda}$, $(i=1,2\cdots m)$.

Definition 4.6 Let W be a fuzzy linear space on F and $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ be a λ — base of W. If β_{λ} be just linear represented by $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$, i. e.

 $\beta_{\lambda}\!=\!a_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}\;\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}\!\oplus\;a_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}\;\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}\!\oplus\!\cdots\!\oplus\!a_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}\;\alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}\,,$

then $(a_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, a_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \cdots a_{\lambda_m}^{(m)})$ is called the λ -coordinate of β_{λ} about $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}, \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$.

Theorem 4. 7 Let $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ be a λ -base of W, and $\lambda = \min \{\lambda_i \mid i=1,2,\dots,m\}$ If $a_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $a_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots a_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$ is the λ -coordinate of β_{λ} about $\alpha_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}$, $\cdots \alpha_{\lambda_m}^{(m)}$, then

(1) $\forall \mu \leqslant \lambda$, $(a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots, a^{(m)})$ is the coordinate of β in W_{μ} ;

(2) $F(a^{i}) = W(\alpha^{(i)})$ (i=1,2···m).

References

- [1]S. Nanda, Fuzzy fields and fuzzy linear space, F. S. S. 19(1986)89-94.
- [2]R. Biswas, Fuzzy field and fuzzy linear space redefined, F. S. S. 33(1989), 257-259.
- [3]Gu wenxiang and Lu Tu, Fuzzy linear space, Fuzzy systems and Mathematics (in chinese) 6(1992), 152-154.
- [4]Li Kelin and Zhou Rengeng, Fuzzy fields and their characteristics, Journal of Lan zhou university (Fuzzy Mathematics and Systems Supp.) 32(1996), 195-200.
- [5] Zhang Chengyi, Fuzzy Prime ideals and prime fuzzy ideals, F. S. S. 94 (1998)247-251.
- [6]Zhang Chengyi, Fuzzy Subfield and Its pointwise Characterization, Jounal of Northwest Minorities University, 18(1997) No. 1, 4-7.
- [7]Zhang Chengyi The quotient ring and direct summation of a fuzzy subring, Fuzzy Systems and Math. 7(1993)93-100(in Chinese).
- [8]P-M. Pu and Y-M, Liu, Fuzzy topology I, Neighborhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moor-Smith convergence, J. Math. Anal. Appl, 76(1980), 571-579.