

Monotonic fuzzy implications *

Michał Baczyński, Józef Drewniak

Department of Mathematics, Silesian University, Katowice, Poland

1 Introduction

Many valued logic initiated by Łukasiewicz [11] uses many-valued connectives from $[0, 1]^2$ to $[0, 1]$. Only truth-functional connectives are used in fuzzy set theory as a base of fuzzy logic (cf. Baldwin, Pilsworth [1]). In particular diverse generalizations of implication are represented by fuzzy relations in $[0, 1]$. Recently one can find a long list of formulas representing fuzzy implications (cf. Kiszka, Kochańska, Śliwińska [10] or Cordon, Herrera, Peregrin [3]). Simultaneously there are published many sets of axioms describing necessary properties of fuzzy implications (c.f. Baldwin, Pilsworth [1], Dubois, Prade [6] or Fodor, Roubens [7]). We use here the simplest set of axioms presented by Fodor and Roubens [7].

Definition 1. Any function $I: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called *fuzzy implication* if it fulfils the following conditions:

- I1. $\forall_{x,y,z \in [0,1]} (x \leq z \Rightarrow I(x, y) \geq I(z, y)),$
- I2. $\forall_{x,y,z \in [0,1]} (y \leq z \Rightarrow I(x, y) \leq I(x, z)),$
- I3. $\forall_{y \in [0,1]} I(0, y) = 1,$
- I4. $\forall_{x \in [0,1]} I(x, 1) = 1,$
- I5. $I(1, 0) = 0.$

*Paper entered to volume Fuzzy Systems in Medicine (Eds. P.S.Szczepaniak, P.J.G.Lisboa, S.Tsumoto) in Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing.

Set of all fuzzy implications will be denoted by FI and set of all continuous fuzzy implications is denoted by CFI .

It is evident that a good generalization of the crisp implication must fulfil the binary implication truth table, i.e.

$$I(0,0) = I(0,1) = I(1,1) = 1, \quad I(1,0) = 0. \quad (1)$$

We see that axioms I3-I5 guarantee (1). Conversely, conditions (1) with axioms I1, I2 suffice for validity of axioms I3 - I5. Namely we have

Lemma 1. *Function $I: [0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]$ fulfilling (1) is a fuzzy implication iff it is monotonic with respect to both variables.*

By virtue of this lemma we can use the name "monotonic implications" as the characteristic property of the family FI . Moreover, for verification of axioms I1-I5 it suffice to verify (1) and monotonicity of I .

Example 1. The most frequently used implication functions are usually listed with suitable author's name. We have put here six famous implication functions completed e.g. by Dubois, Prade [6]. All of them fulfil (1) and are monotonic in both variables, so they belong to FI .

1. Łukasiewicz implication ([11])

$$I_{LK}(x,y) = \min(1 - x + y, 1) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x \leq y \\ 1 - x + y & , \text{ if } x > y \end{cases}, \quad x, y \in [0,1]. \quad (2)$$

2. Reichenbach implication ([12])

$$I_{RC}(x,y) = 1 - x + xy, \quad x, y \in [0,1]. \quad (3)$$

3. Gödel implication ([9])

$$I_{GD}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x \leq y \\ y & , \text{ if } x > y \end{cases}, \quad x, y \in [0,1]. \quad (4)$$

4. Dienes implication ([5])

$$I_{DN}(x, y) = \max(1 - x, y), \quad x, y \in [0, 1]. \quad (5)$$

5. Goguen implication ([8])

$$I_{GG}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x = 0 \\ \min(1, \frac{y}{x}) & , \text{ if } x > 0 \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x \leq y \\ \frac{y}{x} & , \text{ if } x > y \end{cases}, \quad x, y \in [0, 1]. \quad (6)$$

6. Rescher implication ([13])

$$I_{RS}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x \leq y \\ 0 & , \text{ if } x > y \end{cases}, \quad x, y \in [0, 1]. \quad (7)$$

Our investigations were inspired by paper of Czogała, Łęski [4] where they ask for relative location of implications (2)-(7). Many formulas for fuzzy implications did not give elements of FI . For example use formulas (I7) and (I12) from [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} I(x, y) &= \max(1 - x, \min(x, y)) & x, y \in [0, 1], \\ I(x, y) &= \max(0, y - x) & x, y \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

The first example fulfils (1) but is not monotonic with respect to x . The second example is monotonic but does not fulfil (1) ($I(0,0)=I(1,1)=0$).

2 Lattice of fuzzy implications

The lattice properties of fuzzy implications family are following.

Theorem 1. *Family (FI, \min, \max) is a complete, completely distributive lattice.*

Corollary 1. *FI has the greatest element*

$$I_1(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x < 1 \text{ or } y > 0 \\ 0 & , \text{ if } x = 1 \text{ and } y = 0 \end{cases}, \quad x, y \in [0, 1], \quad (8)$$

and the least element

$$I_0(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x = 0 \text{ or } y = 1 \\ 0 & , \text{ if } x > 0 \text{ and } y < 1 \end{cases}, \quad x, y \in [0, 1]. \quad (9)$$

Theorem 2. *Family (CFI, \min, \max) is a distributive lattice (a sublattice of (FI, \min, \max)).*

However lattice CFI is not complete. It follows from known fact that sequences of continuous functions can have limits which are not continuous (cf. also Example 4).

Theorem 3. *Fuzzy implications (2)-(7) form two following chains:*

$$I_{DN} \leq I_{RC} \leq I_{LK}, \quad (10)$$

$$I_{RS} \leq I_{GD} \leq I_{GG} \leq I_{LK}. \quad (11)$$

3 Convexity of fuzzy implications family

Definition 2. Subset X of linear space is *convex* over \mathbb{R} if with any two points $x, y \in X$, X contains line segment between x and y i.e.

$$\forall_{\lambda \in [0,1]} z = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in X.$$

Theorem 4. *FI and CFI are convex sets of functions.*

The above theorem brings a tool for generation of parametrized families of fuzzy implications. E.g. the first segment in chain (11) can be parametrized by

$$I_\lambda = \lambda I_{GD} + (1 - \lambda) I_{RS}, \quad I_\lambda(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x \leq y \\ \lambda y & , \text{ if } x > y \end{cases}, \quad x, y \in [0, 1],$$

for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. In the same way we can consider multidimensional simplexes of fuzzy implications.

4 Contrapositive implications

Definition 3 ([7]). By *reciprocal* function of $I \in FI$ we call I' ,

$$I'(x, y) = I(1 - y, 1 - x) \quad x, y \in [0, 1]. \quad (12)$$

Implication I is called *contrapositive* if $I' = I$.

Theorem 5. *The reciprocal function of an implication $I \in FI$ is also an implication and the same holds for continuous implications. ($I \in CFI \implies I' \in CFI$)*

Example 2. Among six fuzzy implications from Example 1 and two from Corollary 1 we have six contrapositive examples: $I'_0 = I_0$, $I'_1 = I_1$, $I'_{RS} = I_{RS}$, $I'_{LK} = I_{LK}$, $I'_{RC} = I_{RC}$, $I'_{DN} = I_{DN}$, and we obtain two new implications

$$I'_{GD}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x \leq y \\ 1 - x & , \text{ if } x > y \end{cases}, \quad x, y \in [0, 1], \quad (13)$$

$$I'_{GG}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } y = 1 \\ \min(1, \frac{1-x}{1-y}) & , \text{ if } y < 1 \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x \leq y \\ \frac{1-x}{1-y} & , \text{ if } x > y \end{cases}, \quad x, y \in [0, 1]. \quad (14)$$

Lemma 2. *Let $I, J \in FI$. Operation defined by (10) is order preserving (isotone), i.e.*

$$I \leq J \Rightarrow I' \leq J' \quad (15)$$

and for $I_t \in FI$, $t \in T$ we get

$$(\sup_{t \in T} I_t)' = \sup_{t \in T} I'_t, \quad (\inf_{t \in T} I_t)' = \inf_{t \in T} I'_t. \quad (16)$$

Theorem 6. *Set of all contrapositive fuzzy implications is a complete, completely distributive lattice and set of all continuous contrapositive fuzzy implications is a distributive lattice.*

Examples of contrapositive implications can be obtained not only as lattice sum or product of given contrapositive implications. Another way is a combination of reciprocal functions.

Lemma 3. *For any $I \in FI$ functions $\min(I, I')$, $\max(I, I')$ are contrapositive implications.*

Example 3. Using fuzzy implications (3), (5), (11) and (12) we obtain four contrapositive implications:

$$I_2 = I_{GG} \vee I'_{GG}, \quad I_2(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x \leq y \\ \max(\frac{y}{x}, \frac{1-x}{1-y}) & , \text{ if } x > y \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

$$I_3 = I_{GG} \wedge I'_{GG}, \quad I_3(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x \leq y \\ \min(\frac{y}{x}, \frac{1-x}{1-y}) & , \text{ if } x > y \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

$$I_4 = I_{GD} \vee I'_{GD}, \quad I_4(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x \leq y \\ \max(1-x, y) & , \text{ if } x > y \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

$$I_5 = I_{GD} \wedge I'_{GD}, \quad I_5(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x \leq y \\ \min(1-x, y) & , \text{ if } x > y \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

Another way of generating contrapositive implications is getting convex combinations of given examples of implications. Since formula (10) leads us to

$$(\lambda I + (1 - \lambda)J)' = \lambda I' + (1 - \lambda)J', \quad \text{for } I, J \in FI, \lambda \in [0, 1]$$

then we get

Theorem 7. *Set of all contrapositive fuzzy implications is convex.*

5 Selfconjugate implications

Definition 4. Let $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be an increasing bijection, $I \in FI$. We say that the function

$$I^*(x, y) = I_\varphi^*(x, y) = \varphi^{-1}(I(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))), \quad x, y \in [0, 1] \quad (21)$$

is φ -conjugate to I . Implication $I \in FI$ is called φ -selfconjugate if $I_\varphi^* = I$ and selfconjugate (absolutely) if $I_\varphi^* = I$ for all φ .

Theorem 8. *Let $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be an increasing bijection. For any $I \in FI$ ($I \in CFI$)*

$$I_\varphi^* \in FI \quad (I_\varphi^* \in CFI). \quad (22)$$

Example 4. Let $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be an increasing bijection. For six implications from Example 1 and two from Corollary 1 we have: $I_0^* = I_0$, $I_1^* = I_1$, $I_{RC}^* = I_{RC}$, $I_{GD}^* = I_{GD}$. So this implications are selfconjugate. For next four implications we get

new fuzzy implications

$$I_{GG}^*(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x \leq y \\ \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{\varphi(y)}{\varphi(x)}\right) & , \text{ if } x > y \end{cases}, \quad (23)$$

$$I_{DN}^*(x, y) = \max(\varphi^{-1}(1 - \varphi(x)), y), \quad (24)$$

$$I_{LK}^*(x, y) = \min(\varphi^{-1}(1 - \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)), 1), \quad (25)$$

$$I_{RC}^*(x, y) = \varphi^{-1}(1 - \varphi(x) + \varphi(x)\varphi(y)). \quad (26)$$

Now we can give examples of sequences of continuous implications which limits are not continuous. Let $\varphi(x) = x^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We get

$$I_n^1(x, y) = I_{LK,n}^*(x, y) = \min(1, \sqrt[n]{1 - x^n + y^n}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, x, y \in [0, 1],$$

$$I_n^2(x, y) = I_{RC,n}^*(x, y) = \sqrt[n]{1 - x^n + x^n y^n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, x, y \in [0, 1],$$

$$I_n^3(x, y) = I_{DN,n}^*(x, y) = \max(\sqrt[n]{1 + x^n}, y), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, x, y \in [0, 1].$$

These sequences are convergent and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_n^1(x, y) &= I_1(x, y), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_n^2(x, y) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_n^3(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x < 1 \\ y & , \text{ if } x = 1 \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4. Let $I, J \in FI$. Operation defined by (20) is order preserving (isotone), i.e.

$$I \leq J \Leftrightarrow I' \leq J' \quad (27)$$

and for $I_t \in FI$, $t \in T$ we get

$$\left(\sup_{t \in T} I_t\right)^* = \sup_{t \in T} I_t^*, \quad \left(\inf_{t \in T} I_t\right)^* = \inf_{t \in T} I_t^*. \quad (28)$$

Theorem 9. Set of all selfconjugate fuzzy implications is a complete, completely distributive lattice, and set of all continuous selfconjugate implications is a distributive lattice.

References

- [1] J.F. Baldwin, B.W. Pilsworth, Axiomatic approach to implication for approximate reasoning with fuzzy logic, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **3** (1980) 193-219.
- [2] G. Birkhoff, Lattice theory, 3rd ed., AMS Coll. Publ. **25**, AMS, Providence, RI, 1967.
- [3] O. Cordon, F. Herrera, A. Peregrin, Applicability of the fuzzy operators in the design of fuzzy logic controllers, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **86** (1997) 15-41.
- [4] E. Czogała, J. Łęski, On equivalence of approximate reasoning results using different interpretations of fuzzy IF-THEN rules, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* (to appear).
- [5] Z.P. Dienes, On an implication function in many-valued systems of logic, *J. Symb. Logic* **14** (1949) 95-97.
- [6] D. Dubois, H. Prade, Fuzzy sets in approximate reasoning. Part 1: Inference with possibility distributions, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **40** (1991) 143-202.
- [7] J.C. Fodor, M. Roubens, Fuzzy Preference Modelling and Multicriteria Decision Support, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1994.
- [8] J.A. Goguen, The logic of inexact concepts, *Synthese* **19** (1969) 325-373.
- [9] K. Gödel, Eine Eigenschaft der Realisierungen des Aussagenkalküls, *Ergebnisse Math. Koll.* **5** (1935), 20-21.
- [10] J.B. Kiszka, M.E. Kochańska and D.S. Śliwińska, The influence of some fuzzy implication operators on the accuracy of a fuzzy model, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **15** (1985) (Part 1) 111-128; (Part 2) 223-240.
- [11] J. Łukasiewicz, A numerical interpretation of the theory of propositions (Polish), *Ruch Filozoficzny* **7**, (1923), 92-93 (translated in: L. Borkowski (ed.), Jan Łukasiewicz selected works, North Holland - Amsterdam, PWN - Warszawa 1970, pp.129-130).
- [12] H. Reichenbach, Wahrscheinlichkeitslogik, *Erkenntnis* **5** (1934), 37-43.
- [13] N. Rescher, Many-valued logic, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1969, p.47.