

## A GENERALIZED MOMENT PROBLEM IN VECTOR LATTICES

CAMILLE DEBIEVE - MILOSLAV DUCHOŇ - MICHEL DUHOUX

ABSTRACT. We present a moment problem in the context of vector lattices. The corresponding generalized moment theorem and its corrolaries are stated and proved.

### 1. Introduction

If  $g$  is a real-valued function of bounded variation on the unit interval  $I$  of the real line, the numbers

$$a_k = \int_0^1 t^k dg(t), \quad k \in N, N = \{0, 1, \dots\}$$

are called the moments of  $g$ . A sequence of real numbers  $(a_n, n \in N)$  is said to give a solution of the moment problem if there exists a function  $g$  of bounded variation on  $I$  such that

$$a_k = \int_0^1 t^k dg(t)$$

for  $k \in N$ .

For every sequence of real numbers  $a_k, k \in N$  and every pair of non-negative integers  $n, k$ , set

$$\Delta^n a_k := \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} a_{k+j}$$

The sequence  $(a_k, k \in N)$  is called completely monotone if  $\Delta^n a_k \geq 0$  for all integers  $n, k \geq 0$ . Hausdorff [4] has shown that for a sequence  $(a_k)$  of real numbers to be the moment sequence of some non-decreasing  $g$  (this case being of particular interest), it is necessary and sufficient that  $(a_k)$  be completely monotone. So the completely monotone sequence gives a non-decreasing solution of the moment problem. The result permits a generalization to the situation where  $(a_k)$  is a completely monotone sequence of elements of a (Dedekind) complete vector lattice the definition of completely monotone sequence being the same [3]. In this paper we extend this result for the case that we suppose boundedness of some sums of differences  $\Delta^n a_k$ , and we make then use the representation of an order bounded linear operator on the space of continuous functions on the interval  $[a, b]$  of real line into a (Dedekind) complete vector lattice  $Y$  ([1]).

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28E10, 81P10.

*Key words and phrases.* Moment, vector lattice, completely monotone sequence, positive operator.

## 2. Moment problem theorem

Let  $Y$  be a (Dedekind) complete vector lattice. Denote by  $L^o(X, Y)$  the vector space of all  $o$ -bounded operators (that is of order bounded operators) on the normed space  $X$  into  $Y$ , that is of those that, if  $U \in L^o(X, Y)$ , then  $\{U(x); \|x\| \leq 1\}$  is an  $o$ -bounded subset of  $Y$ . For  $U \in L^o(X, Y)$  we put

$$\|U\| = \sup\{|U(x)|; \|x\| \leq 1\}$$

If we take into consideration a general form of an order bounded linear operator on the space  $C[a, b]$  into  $Y$  we can formulate a task in the considered case as follows: Decide under which conditions there exists a function  $g(t) : [a, b] \rightarrow Y$  of  $o$ -bounded variation such that

$$\int_a^b t^n dg(t) = y_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Recall that a function  $g$ , defined on  $T = [a, b]$  and taking values in  $Y$ , is said to be of  $(o)$ -bounded variation, if the set of all elements of the form

$$\sum_j |g(t_{j+1}) - g(t_j)|,$$

corresponding to all finite partitions of the interval  $T$ , is  $o$ -bounded. We shall denote by  $(o) - \text{var}_{t \in T} g(t)$  the least upper bound of this set.

We shall need the following result [1, 7.1.5].

The general form of the  $(o)$ -bounded linear operator  $U : C(T) \rightarrow Y$  is given by the formula

$$U(f) = \int_T f(t) dg(t)$$

where  $g : T \rightarrow Y$  is a function of  $(o)$ -bounded variation.

Denote by  $BV^o(T, Y)$  the vector space of all functions on  $T$  with values in  $Y$  of the  $o$ -bounded variation.

Let us recall the following [1]. A function  $g : [a, b] \rightarrow Y$  is said to be of order bounded variation if the set of all elements of the form

$$\sum_j |g(t_{j+1}) - g(t_j)|$$

corresponding to all finite divisions of the interval  $[a, b]$ , is order bounded. Denote by  $(o) - \text{var}_{t \in [a, b]} g(t)$  the supremum of this set. Note that if  $g : [a, b] \rightarrow Y$  is of order bounded variation then  $|g| : [a, b] \rightarrow Y$  is also of order bounded variation because  $||g(t)| - |g(s)|| \leq |g(t) - g(s)|$ ,  $s, t \in [a, b]$ . Recall also ([2]) that if  $g$  is of order bounded variation and  $f$  is a continuous function on  $[a, b]$  then both integrals

$$\int_a^b f(t) dg(t) \quad \int_a^b g(t) df(t)$$

exist and the integration by parts formula holds

$$\int_a^b f(t) dg(t) = f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a) - \int_a^b g(t) df(t)$$

We have also the inequality

$$\left| \int_a^b g(t)df(t) \right| \leq \int_a^b |g(t)|d|f(t)|$$

for every continuous function  $f$  on  $[a, b]$ .

If we take into consideration a general form of an order bounded linear operator on the space  $C[a, b]$  into  $Y$  [1] we can formulate a task in the considered case as follows: Given  $y_n \in Y$ , decide under which conditions there exists such a function of order bounded variation  $g(t) : [a, b] \rightarrow Y$  that

$$(6) \quad \int_a^b t^n dg(t) = y_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

We shall derive a concrete result relating to a power moment problem in the interval  $[0, 1]$ .

**Theorem.** *In order that there exists a function of order bounded variation  $g(t) : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  such that*

$$(8) \quad \int_0^1 t^n dg(t) = y_n, \quad y_n \in Y, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

*it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a constant element  $M$  in  $Y$  such that*

$$(9) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} |\Delta^{n-k} y_k| \leq M, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where  $\Delta^m y_k$  denotes the  $m$ -th differences for the sequence  $(y_k)$  defined inductively by equalities

$$\Delta^{m+1} y_k = \Delta^m y_k - \Delta^m y_{k+1}, \quad \Delta^0 y_k = y_k,$$

$$(10) \quad m = 0, 1, \dots; k = 0, 1, \dots$$

*Proof. The necessity.* Let the moment problem (8) be solved. Denote by  $L$  an order bounded linear operator on the space  $C[0, 1]$  generated by a function of order bounded variation,  $g(t) : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ , i.e.

$$L(f) = \int_0^1 f(t)dg(t), \quad f \in C([0, 1]).$$

Put

$$(11) \quad x_k^{(m)}(t) = t^k(1-t)^m, \quad m, k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Since

$$x_k^{(m+1)}(t) = t^k(1-t)^{m+1} = t^k(1-t)^m - t^{k+1}(1-t)^m =$$

$$x_k^{(m)}(t) - x_{k+1}^{(m)}(t),$$

we have

$$L(x_k^{(m+1)}) = L(x_k^{(m)}) - L(x_{k+1}^{(m)}), \quad m, k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Further

$$L(x_k^{(0)}) = y_k.$$

If we take into the consideration (10), we can easily see (by induction) that

$$L(x_k^{(m)}) = \Delta^m y_k, \quad m, k = 0, 1, \dots$$

From this we deduce that (9) is satisfied, because using the integration by parts formula we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} |\Delta^{n-k} y_k| &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left| \int_0^1 x_k^{(n-k)}(t) dg(t) \right| = \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left| [x_k^{(n-k)}(t)g(t)]_0^1 - \int_0^1 g(t) d(x_k^{(n-k)}(t)) \right| \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (|x_k^{(n-k)}(1)g(1)| + |x_k^{(n-k)}(0)g(0)|) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 |g(t)| d(x_k^{(n-k)}(t)) = |g(0)| + \\ &\quad + |g(1)| + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 |g(t)| d(x_k^{(n-k)}(t)) = \\ &= |g(0)| + |g(1)| + \int_0^1 |g(t)| d\left(\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x_k^{(n-k)}(t)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x_k^{(n-k)}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n t^k (1-t)^{n-k} = [t + (1-t)]^n = 1$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} |\Delta^{n-k} a_k| &\leq |g(0)| + |g(1)| + \int_0^1 |g(t)| d(1) = \\ &= |g(0)| + |g(1)| \leq 2\text{var}_{[0,1]} g = M. \end{aligned}$$

**The sufficiency.** Let  $L_0$  denote the operator defined on the set of functions  $(x_n)$ ,  $x_n(t) = t^n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  into  $Y$  by formula  $L_0(x_n) = y_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Extend  $L$  to the linear hull of the set  $(x_n)$ , i. e. to the set of all polynomials. Namely if  $x(t) = c_0 + c_1 t + \dots + c_n t^n$ , we put

$$L(x) = c_0 y_0 + c_1 a_1 = \dots + c_n y_n.$$

Since the functions  $x_n(t)$  are linearly independent the definition of  $L$  will be unique. The operator  $L$  defined above will be clearly additive and homogeneous. We shall see that condition (9) implies that  $L$  is order bounded. Let us note that (even without this condition) the operator  $L$  is order bounded on the set  $P_m$  of polynomials the degree of which is  $\leq m$ , because  $P_m$  is finite-dimensional space (as coordinates we take coefficients of polynomial), hence the convergence in  $P_m$  is coordinatewise.

We have

$$L(x_k^{(s)}) = \Delta^s y_k, \quad s, k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Take any polynomial  $p(t)$ . Let the degree of  $p(t)$  be  $m$ . Form the sequence of corresponding Bernstein polynomials (of  $p(t)$ )

$$p_n(t) = B_n(p; t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) t^k (1-t)^{n-k}.$$

It is well-known that the degree of the polynomial  $p_n(t)$  for any  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  is not greater than  $m$ , and since  $p_n(t)$  uniformly converges to  $p(t)$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have (according to remarks above)  $L(p_n) \rightarrow L(p)$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} |L(p_n)| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} |p\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)| |L(x_n^{(n-k)})| \leq \\ &\leq \|p\| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} |\Delta^{n-k} y_k| \leq \|p\| M. \end{aligned}$$

If we take the limit on the left side for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$|L(p)| \leq \|p\| M.$$

Since  $p$  is an arbitrary polynomial, we have proved that  $L$  is order bounded on the space of all polynomials. Now we may extend  $L$  to the whole space  $C[0, 1]$ , since polynomials are uniformly dense in  $C[0, 1]$  ([1], VI.3.3). We may now use for this extension the theorem concerning general form of the order bounded linear operator on the space  $C[0, 1]$  ([1], VII.1.4) according to which

$$L(f) = \int_0^1 f(t) dg(t), \quad f \in C[0, 1],$$

where  $g(t) : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  is a function of order bounded variation. In particular

$$L(t^n) = \int_0^1 t^n dg(t), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

We may further extend  $L$  to characteristic functions of all intervals in  $[0, 1]$ . By definition  $g(t) = L(c_{[0, t]})$ .

*Remark.* We would like to mention that moment theorem can be applied to obtain a new proof of spectral theorem for Hermitian operators. Cf. [5], where moment theorem is considered in ordered locally convex spaces with some properties.

We may apply the preceding results, for example, to the sequences in all spaces  $l_p, L_p(S, \mu)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . It is interesting to take  $x_n, x_n(t) = t^n, n = 0, 1, \dots$  as elements of the given spaces and to take the identity (inclusion) mapping from  $C[0, 1] \rightarrow L_p([0, 1]), 1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then  $x_n$  give a solution of the moment problem in  $L_p([0, 1])$ . Namely

$$x_n = \int_0^1 s^n dg(s), n = 0, 1, \dots$$

where  $g(s) = c_{[0,s]}, c_{[0,s]}$  being the characteristic function of the interval  $[0, s]$ .

On the other hand  $x_n$  do not give a solution of the moment problem in  $C[0, 1]$ , i. e. by means of the function  $g$  with values in  $C[0, 1]$ , which, of course, is not a (Dedekind) complete vector lattice.

#### REFERENCES

1. CRISTESCU, R., *Ordered vector spaces and linear operators*, Abacus Press, Kent, 1976.
2. DEBIEVE, C., DUCHOŇ, M., *Integration by parts in vector lattices*, Tatra Mountains Math. Publ. **6** (1995), 13–18.
3. DEBIEVE, C., DUCHOŇ, M., RIEČAN, B., *Moment problem in some ordered vector spaces*, Tatra Mountains Math. Publ. **12** (1997), 1–5.
4. HAUSDORFF, F., *Momentprobleme für ein endliches Intervall*, Math. Z. **16** (1923), 220–248.
5. SCHAEFER, H. H., *A generalized moment problem*, Math. Annalen **146** (1962), 325–330.
6. WIDDER, D. V., *The Laplace transform*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SLOVAK ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŠTEFÁNIKOVA 49, SK-814 73 BRATISLAVA, SLOVAKIA

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES PURES ET APPLIQUÉES, 2, CHEMIN DU CYCLOTRON, B-1348- LOUVAIN-LA-NEUVE, BELGIUM.

*E-mail address:* duchon@mau.savba.sk, debieve@amm.uvl.ac.be, duhoux@amm.ucl.ac.be.