The Refinement of Syntopogenous Structure on Completely Distributive Lattice*

Wang Hong

Department of mathematics, Mianyang Teacher's college, Mianyang 621000, Sichuan, P. R. China

Abstract:

In this paper, first the concept of refinement of semi-topogenous order on completely distributive lattice has been introduced. Second , the refine structure of syntopogenous structure on completely distributive lattice has been resarched. Some important properties have been obtained. And further, the extension of syntopoenous structure on completely distributive lattice will be discussed. keywords:

Topology, topogenous order, syntopogenous structure, lattice.

1 Preliminaries

In this paper, L will be a completely distributive lattice. 1 and 0 respectively maximal and minimal elements of L.

Definition 1. 1[1,2]. A binary relation \ll on L is called a semi-topogenous order if it satisfies the following axioms: (1) $0 \ll 0$ and $1 \ll 1$; (2) $a \ll b$ implies $a \leqslant b$; (3) $a_1 \leqslant a \ll b \leqslant b_1$ implies $a_1 \ll b_1$.

A semi-topogenous order is called

- (I) topogenous: if $a \ll b$ and $c \ll d$ implies $a \lor c \ll b \lor d$ and $a \land c \ll b \land d$;
- (I) perfect; if $a_i \ll b_i$ $i \in I$ implies $\bigvee a_i \ll \bigvee b_i$;
- (\blacksquare) co-perfect if $a_i \ll b_i$ $i \in I$ implies $\land a_i \ll \land b_i$;
- (N) biperfect; if it is perfect and co-perfect;
- (V) symmetrical if $\ll = \ll^c$. Where L with order-reversing involution "" and $a \ll^c b$ iff $b' \ll a'$. A semi-topogenous order \ll_2 is called finer than another one \ll_1 (i. e. \ll_1 is called coarser than \ll_2) if $a \ll_1 b$ implies $a \ll_2 b$, denoted by $\ll_1 \leq \ll_2$. If $\ll_1 \leq \ll_2$ and $\ll_2 \leq \ll_1$, then \ll_1 , \ll_2 are called equivalent, denoted by $\ll_1 = \ll_2$.

^{*} This research work is supported by the Important Subject of Sichuan Education Group.

- **Definition 1. 2**[1,2]. A syntopogenous structure on L is a nonempty family S of topogenous orders on L having the following two properties: (S_1) For any $\ll_1, \ll_2 \in S$, there exists $\ll \in S$ such that $\ll_1 \vee \ll_2 \ll \ll$. (S_2) For any $\ll \in S$, there exists $\ll_1 \in S$ such that $\ll \ll_1^2$.
- (L,S) is called a syntopogenous space. A syntopogenous structure S_2 is called finer than another one S_1 (i. e. S_1 is coarser than S_2), if for any $\ll_1 \in S_1$ there exists $\ll_2 \in S_2$ finer than \ll_1 , denoted by $S_1 \leqslant S_2$. If $S_1 \leqslant S_2$ and $S_2 \leqslant S_1$, then we call S_1 and S_2 equivalent, denoted by $S_1 = S_2$.

Relative notions and signals please see [3,4,5].

2 The concept of refinement of semi-topogenous order on ${\cal L}$

Definition 2.1. Let \ll be a semi-topogenous order on L and $h \in L$. We consider a binary relation $\ll *h$ on I as follows:

 $a\ll *h\ b$ iff there exists $c\in L$ such that $a\ll c$ and $a\lor (c\land h)\leqslant b$.

- Theorem 2.1. If \ll be a semi-topogenous order on L, then $\ll *h$ is a semi-topogenous order on L.
- *Proof.* (1)Choose c=0, then $0 \ll 0$ and $0 \lor (0 \land h) \leqslant 0$ so $0 \ll *h \ 0$; similarly, choose c=1, then $1 \ll 1$ and $1 \lor (1 \land h) \leqslant 1$ so $1 \ll *h \ 1$.
- (2) If $a \ll *h \ b$ then there exists $c \in L$ such that $a \ll c$ and $a \lor (c \land h) \leqslant b$, then $a \leqslant a \lor (c \land h) \leqslant b$, so $a \leqslant b$.
- (3) If $a_1 \le a \ll *h \ b \le b_1$, then there exists $c \in L$ such that $a \ll c$ and $a \lor (c \land h) \le b$, so $a_1 \ll c$ and $a_1 \lor (c \land h) \le b b_1$, thus $a_1 \ll *h \ b_1$.
- Theorem 2.2. Let \ll be a semi-topogenous order on L, then $(1) \ll * 0 = \leqslant ; (2) \ll * 1 = \ll$. Proof. (1) If $a \ll * 0$ biff there exists $c \in L$ such that $a \ll c$ and $a \lor (c \land 0) \leqslant b$, then $a \lor (c \land 0) = a \leqslant b$; conversely if $a \leqslant b$, choose $c = 1 \in L$, then $a \ll 1$ and $a \lor (1 \land 0) = a \leqslant b$, so $a \ll * 0$ b.
- (2) If $a \ll *1$ b iff there exists $c \in L$ such that $a \ll c$ and $a \lor (c \land 1) \leqslant b$, then $a \ll c \leqslant b$ so $a \ll b$; conversely, if $a \ll b$, choose $c = b \in L$ then $a \ll b$ and $a \lor (b \land 1) = b \leqslant b$, thus $a \ll *1b$.
- Theorem 2. 3. Let \ll , \ll_1 be semi-topogenous order on L and $h, h_1 \in L$, then (1) If $\ll \ll_1$ implies $\ll *h \leqslant \ll_1 *h_1$ (2) If $h \leqslant h_1$ implies $\ll *h_1 \leqslant \ll *h_1$ (3) $\ll \ll *h \leqslant \ll$ (for any $h \in L$).
- Proof (1) If $a \ll *h \ b$ iff there exists $c \in L$ such that $a \ll c$ and $a \lor (c \land h) \leqslant b$, then $a \ll_1 c$ and $a \lor (c \land h) \leqslant b$, so $a \ll_1 *h \ b$.
- (2) If $a \ll *h_1b$ iff there exists $c \in L$ such that $a \ll c$ and $a \lor (c \land h_1) \leqslant b$, then $a \ll c$ and $a \lor (c \land h) \leqslant a \lor (c \land h_1) \leqslant b$, so $a \ll *h h$.
- (3) Since $0 \le h \le 1$ (for any $h \in L$), by (2) we get $\ll *1 \le \ll *h \le \ll *0$, so $\ll \le \ll *h \le \ll (\text{for any } h \in L)$, by Theorem 2.2.

Let $H = \{ \ll * h_1 h \in L \}$ is a family of refinement of semi-topogenous order on L. It is easy to see that \leq and \ll are respectively maximal and minimal elements of H. Now we define respectively $\bigcup_{h \in L} \ll * h$ and $\bigcap_{h \in L} \ll * h$ as follows:

 $a \cup_{h \in L} \ll *h \ b \text{ iff there is } h_1 \in L \text{ such that } a \ll *h_1 \ b \text{; Moreover, } a \cap_{h \in L} \ll *h \ b \text{ iff for any } h \in L \text{ having } a \ll *h \ b.$

It is easy to see that $\bigcup_{h\in L}\ll *h$ and $\bigcap_{h\in L}\ll *h$ both are elements of H. Summarizly, we get

Theorem 2.4. Let $H = \{ \ll *h : h \in L \}$ be a family of refinement of semi-topogenous order on L, then H is a perfect lattice.

3 The properties of refinement of semi-topogenous order on L

Theorem 3.1. Let \ll be a semi-topogenous order on L, then $(\ll *h)^q = \ll^q *h$.

Proof. $a \ll *h)^q b$ iff there exist $a_i, b_j \in L(i = 1, 2, \dots, n) = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ such that $a = \bigvee_{i=1}^m a_i, b = \bigwedge_{j=1}^m b_j, a_i \ll *h b_j$ for any i, j iff there exist $c_{ij} \in L$ such that $a = \bigvee_{i=1}^m a_i, b = \bigwedge_{j=1}^n b_j, a_i \ll *c_{ij}$ and $a_i \vee (c_{ij} \wedge h) \leq b_j$; for any i, j. Iff choose $c = \bigwedge_{i=1}^m \bigwedge_{j=1}^n c_{ij}$, we can obtain $a \ll^q c$ and a $\vee (c \wedge h) \leq b$. Iff $a \ll^q *h b$.

Theorem 3.2. Let \ll be a semi-topogenous order on L, then $\ll^2 * h \leqslant (\ll * h)^2$.

Proof. $a \ll^2 * h \ b$ iff there exists $c \in L$ such that $a \ll^2 c$ and $a \vee (c \wedge h) \leqslant b$ iff there exists $d \in L$ such that $a \ll d \ll c$ and $a \vee (c \wedge h) \leqslant b$, then choose $c_1 = a \vee (d \wedge h)$, since $a \ll d$ and $a \vee (d \wedge h) = c_1 \leqslant c_1$, so $a \ll * hc_1 \cdots (1)$ since $c_1 = a \vee (d \wedge h) \leqslant d \ll c$ and $c_1 \vee (c \wedge h) = a \vee (d \wedge h) \vee (c \wedge h) = a \vee (c \wedge h) \leqslant b$. (i. e. $c_1 \ll c$ and $c_1 \vee (c \wedge h) \leqslant b$), so $c_1 \ll * h \ b \cdots (2)$. Thus $a (\ll * h)^2 b$, by (1) and (2).

Theorem 3.3. Let \ll be a semi-topogenous order on L, then (1) $(\ll *h)^p = \ll^p *h$; (2) $(\ll *h)^b = \ll^b *h$.

Proof (1) $a \ll^p * h \ b$ iff there exists $c \in L$ such that $a \ll^p c$ and $a \lor (c \land h) \leqslant b$ iff there exist $\{a_i, i \in I\}$ such that $a = \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i, a_i \ll c$ and $a \lor (c \land h) \leqslant b$, for any $i \in I$ iff there exist $\{a_i, i \in I\}$ such that $a = \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i, a_i \ll c$ and $a_i \lor (c \land h) \leqslant b$, for any $i \in I$ iff there exist $\{a_i, i \in I\}$ such that $a = \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i, a_i \ll * h \ b$, for any $i \in I$, i.e. $a (\ll * h)^p \ b$.

(2) $a(\ll *h)^b b$ iff there exist $\{a_i, i \in I\}$ and $\{b_j, j \in J\}$ such that $a = \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i, b = \bigwedge_{j \in J} b_j, a_i \ll *hb_j$, for any $i \in I, j \in J$ iff there exist c_{ij} such that $a = \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i, b = \bigwedge_{j \in J} b_j, a_i \ll c_{ij}$ and $a_i \bigvee (c_{ij} \land h) \ll b$, for any $i \in I, j \in J$. Choose $c_j = \bigvee_{i \in I} c_{ij}$, then $a = \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i, b = \bigwedge_{j \in J} b_j, a_i \ll c_j$ and $a_i \bigvee (c_j \land h) \ll b_j$, for any $i \in I, j \in J$. Set $c = \bigwedge_{j \in J} c_j$, then $a = \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i, b = \bigwedge_{j \in J} b_j, a \ll^b c$ and $a \bigvee (c \land h) \ll b$, so $u \ll^b *h b$. Conversely, $a \ll^b *h b$ iff there exists $c \in L$ such that $a \ll^b c$ and $a \bigvee (c \land h) \ll b$ iff there exist $\{a_i, i \in I\}$ and $\{c_j, j \in J\}$ such that $a = \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i, c = \bigwedge_{j \in J} c_j, a_i \ll c_j$, and $a \bigvee (c \land h) \ll b$ for any $i \in I, j \in J$. Choose $b_j = a \bigvee (c_j \land h)$, then $a = \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i, \land b_j = a \bigvee (c \land h) = b_1 \ll b, a_i \ll c_j$ and $a_i \bigvee (c_j \land h) \ll b_j$, for any $i \in I, j \in J$. So $a (\ll *h)^b b_1 \ll b$, thus $a (\ll *h)^b b$.

Theorem 3. 4. Let $f: L_1 \to L_2$ be a GOH([2]), let \ll be a semi-topogenous order on L_2 , then $f^{-1}(\ll) \leqslant f^{-1}(\ll *h)$.

Proof. a $f^{-1}(\ll)b$ iff there exist $a_1, b_1 \in L_2$ such that $a_1 \ll b_1$ and $a \leqslant f^{-1}(a_1), f^{-1}(b_1) \leqslant b$, then there exist $a_1, b_1 \in L_2$ such that $a_1 \ll *h \ b_1$ and $a \leqslant f^{-1}(a_1), f^{-1}(b_1) \leqslant b$. So $af^{-1}(\ll *h)b$.

4 The properties of refinement of syntopogenous structure on ${\cal L}$

Now by L * we shall denote a nonempty subsets of L satisfies the following condition: for any $a_1, a_2 \in L *$ implies $a \le a_1 \land a_2$, for some $a \in L *$. (1)

Theorem 4.1. For any syntopogenous structure S on $L, S * L * = \{ \ll * h. \ll \in S, h \in L * \}$ is also a syntopogenous structure on L.

Proof. Each element of S * L * is topogenous, because by $(\ll * h)^q = \ll^q * h = \ll * h$, (for evey $\ll \in S$, $h \in L *$). If \ll_1 , $\ll_2 \in S$, h_1 , $h_2 \in L *$, and $\ll_1 \cup \ll_2 \leq \ll \in S$, $h_1 \land h_2 \geqslant h \in L *$, then $(\ll_1 * h_1) \cup (\ll_2 * h_2) \leq \ll * h$. For any $\ll \in S$, $h \in L *$, there is $\ll_1 \in S$ with $\ll \ll_1^2$, then $\ll * h \leq \ll_1^2 * h \leq (\ll_1 * h)^2$. So S * L * is a syntopogenous structure on L, by Def. 1. 2.

Theorem 4. 2. Let S is a syntopogenous structure on L, then (1) $S \leq S * L * ; (2) S * \{0\} = \{\leq\}; (3) S * \{1\} = S.$

The proof is omitted.

Theorem 4. 3. Let S is a syntopogenous structure on L, then (1) $(S*L*)^b = S^b*L*$; (2) $(S*L*)^b = S^b*L*$.

Proof. Using theorem 3.3, we get theorem 4.3 easily.

Corollary 4.4. If S is perfect or biperfect, then S * L * is also.

Theorem 4. 5. Let $f: L_1 \to L_2$ be a GOH, define: $f^{-1}(L*) = \{f^{-1}(h): h \in L*\}$, then $(1) f^{-1}(S) \leq f^{-1}(S*L*); (2) f^{-1}(S*L*) \leq f^{-1}(S) * f^{-1}(L*)$.

Proof(1)Using theorem 3. 4 we can get, it (2) For any $f^{-1}(\ll *h) \in f^{-1}(S * L *)$, $af^{-1}(\ll *h)b$ iff there exist $a_1, b_1 \in L_2$ such that $a_1 \ll *hb_1, a \leq f^{-1}(a_1), f^{-1}(b_1) \leq b$ iff there exist $a_1, b_1, c_1 \in L_2$ such that $a_1 \ll c_1$ and $a_1 \vee (c_1 \wedge h) \leq b_1, a \leq f^{-1}(a_1), f^{-1}(b_1) \leq b$. Choose $c = f^{-1}(c_1), c_1 \in L_2$ such that $a_1 \ll c_1$ and $a_1 \vee (c_1 \wedge h) \leq b_1, a \leq f^{-1}(a_1), f^{-1}(b_1) \leq b$. Choose $c = f^{-1}(c_1), c_1 \in L_2$ such that $a_1 \ll c_1$ and $a_1 \vee (c_1 \wedge h) \leq f^{-1}(b_1)$. Then $af^{-1}(\ll)c$ and $a_1 \vee (c_1 \wedge h) \leq f^{-1}(a_1) \vee [f^{-1}(c_1) \wedge f^{-1}(h)] \leq f^{-1}(b_1) \leq f^{-1}(b_1)$. So $af^{-1}(\ll)c$ and $a_1 \vee (c_1 \wedge f^{-1}(h)) \leq f^{-1}(a_1) \vee [f^{-1}(c_1) \wedge f^{-1}(h)] \leq f^{-1}(b_1) \leq b$. Thus $af^{-1}(\ll) * f^{-1}(h)b$. i. e. $af^{-1}(\ll *h)b$ implies $af^{-1}(\ll) * f^{-1}(h)b$.

Now, we prove following 3 lemmas so that we can prove theorem 4.6.

Lemma 1. Let $f:L_1 \to L_2$ be a GOH, and f is injective, then for any $a \in L_1, f(a) \leq$

(f(a'))'.

Since f is a injective, then $f^{-1}f(a') = a'$. As $a' \leqslant a'$ then $f^{-1}f(a') \leqslant a'$. So $a \leqslant$ $f^{-1}(f(a'))'$. Thus $f(a) \leq (f(a'))'$.

Lemma 2. Let $f: L_1 \to L_2$ is a GOH, then for any $h \in L_2, h \leq [f(f^{-1}(h'))]'$. *Proof.* Since f is a GOH, then $ff^{-1}(h') \leq h'$ so $h \leq [ff^{-1}(h')]'$.

Lemma 3. Let $f: L_1 \to L_2$ is a GOH, and f is a injective, then if $f(a) \ll (f(c'))'$ and $f(a) \vee (f(c'))'$ $[(f(c'))' \land h] \leqslant (f(b'))' \text{ imply } af^{-1}(\ll *h)b.$

Proof Choose $a_1 = f(a), b_1 = (f(b'))', c_1 - (f(c'))'$, then $a_1 \ll c_1$, and $a_1 \lor (c_1 \land h) \leqslant b_1$, $a = f^{-1}f(a) = f^{-1}(a_1), b' = f^{-1}f(b')$ (since f is a injective). imply $a_1 \ll *h b_1, a \leqslant a = f^{-1}(a_1), a \leqslant a = f^{-1}(a_1)$ $b \ge h = f^{-1}(f(b'))' = f^{-1}(b_1)$. i. e. $af^{-1}(\ll *h)b$.

Let $f: L_1 \to L_2$ is a GOII, and f is a injective, then $f^{-1}(S * L *) =$ Theorem 4. 6. $f^{-1}(S) * f^{-1}(L *).$

Proof. From theorem 4.5(2), we only need to prove $f^{-1}(S) * f^{-1}(L *) \leq f^{-1}(S * L *)$. For any $f^{-1}(\ll) * f^{-1}(h) \in f^{-1}(S) * f^{-1}(L*)$. If $af^{-1}(\ll) * f^{-1}(h)b$, then there exists c $\in L_1$ such that $af^{-1}(\ll)c$ and $a\lor(c\land f^{-1}(h))\leqslant b$. As $af^{-1}(\ll)c$, then there exists $a_1,c_1\in L_2$ such that $a_1 \ll c_1$ and $a \leqslant f^{-1}(a_1), f^{-1}(c_1) \leqslant c$, so $a_1 \ll c_1$ and $f(a) \leqslant a_1, c' \leqslant f^{-1}(c'_1)$. Hence $a_1 \ll c_1$ c_1 and $f(a) \leqslant a_1$, $f(c') \leqslant c_1$. iff $f(a) \leqslant a_1 \ll c_1 \leqslant (f(c'))'$ then $f(a) \ll (f(c'))'$. And since $a \lor c_1$ $(c \land f^{-1}(h)) \leqslant b, b' \leqslant a' \land (c' \lor f^{-1}(h')), \text{ then } f(b') \leqslant f(a') \land (f(c') \lor ff^{-1}(h'))(f \text{ is a})$ GOH). So $(f(a'))' \lor [(f(c'))' \land (ff^{-1}(h'))'] \le (f(b'))'$, thus $f(a) \lor [(f(c'))' \land h] \le$ (f(b'))'. From lemma 1,2,3,we get $af^{-1}(\ll *h)b$.

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to prof. Zhi Wen Mo for his valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] Mo Z W. Su L. Syntopogenous structure on completely distributive lattice and its connectedness. Fuzzy sets and Systems, 72(1995), 365-371
- [2] Wang Guo-jun., Theorem of topological molecular lattices. (Shanxi Normal University Press, 1990.)
- [3] Liu Y. M. Fuzzy topology(I). J. Math. Anal. Appl. ,76(1980),571-599
- [4] A. K. katsaras and C. G. petalas. On fuzzy syntopogenous structure, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 99(1984), 219
- [5] Mo Z W. Su L. On fuzzy syntopogenous structure and preorders. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 90(1997), 355-359 .