Fuzzy Optimization Theory and Its Application

Zhang Zezeng and Zhao Qingli Department of Mathematics, Liaocheng Teacher's College, Shandong 252000, P. R. China

Abstract: This research presents, using fuzzy optimization theory, a new method of multifactorial evaluation for students' ten quarters, it really reflects every student's position in a name list in the class. This makes the administration of students scienceize, standardization, indexize and quantityize of check.

Keywords: Fuzzy optimization, fuzzy relation, multifactorial evaluation, synthetic quality.

1. Fuzzy optimization theory

Assume $A = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ is the optimum seeking set of a system S, where, a_i is optimum seeking things of S, $B = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m)$ is a object set of S, where, b_j is object which evaluates a_i . Let $x_{i,j}$ be characteristic value (CV, in short) of thing a_j with regard to object b_i , then we have object characteristic value matrix of S:

$$X_{m \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \dots & x_{1n} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \dots & x_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_{m1} & x_{m2} & \dots & x_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

In order to fight off the effects resulting from different dimensions of m CV's, we normalize matrix X as follows [2]:

10 For the object with "the more the better", we use effect measure of the upper limit:

$$\mathbf{r_{i,j}} = \mathbf{x_{i,j}}/(\mathbf{x_{i1}} \mathbf{x_{i2}} \mathbf{x_{i,j}}), \qquad \mathbf{x_{i,j}} \geqslant 0 \qquad (1.1)$$

2° For the object with "the smaller the better", we use effect measure of the lower limit:

$$r_{i,j}=(x_{i,1} \land x_{i,2} \land ... \land x_{i,n}) / x_{i,j}$$
, $x_{i,j}>0$ (1.2)
 $r_{i,j}=1$ when $x_{i,j}=0$.

 $3^{\rm O}$ For the object with "the moderater the better", we use effect measure of the moderate:

$$r_{1,1} = (x_{1,1} \wedge u_0) / (x_{1,1} \vee u_0)$$
 (1.3)

or

$$r_{i,j} = u_0/(|x_{i,j} - u_0| + u_0)$$
 (1.3')

where, uo is some moderate value assigned.

r_{1,j} above is optimal membership grade of thing a_j under object b₁, and the matrix

$$R_{m \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_{11} & \mathbf{f}_{12} & \dots & \mathbf{f}_{1n} \\ \mathbf{f}_{21} & \mathbf{f}_{22} & \dots & \mathbf{f}_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \mathbf{f}_{m1} & \mathbf{f}_{m2} & \dots & \mathbf{f}_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

is called matrix of optimal membership grade (MOMG, in short).

Definition 1 Assume R is MOMG of system S. Then $\vec{g} = (g_1, g_2, ..., g_m)^T = (1, 1, ..., 1)^T$ is called top thing of S.

Definition 2 Assume R is MOMG of system S. Then $\vec{f} = (f_1, f_2, ..., f_m)^T = (0, 0, ..., 0)^T$ is called low thing of S.

Assume a system has n optimum seeking things, and u_j is membership grade of thing a_j subordinating to top thing, then $u^c_j=1$ $-u_j$ is membership grade of thing a_j subordinating to low thing.

Assume $\widehat{\omega} = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots \omega_m)^T$ is weight vector of m objects, where ω_1 is weight number of object b_1 , $\sum_{i=1}^m \omega_i = 1$. In order to get optimal value of membership grade $-u_j$ of thing a_j , we want some majorizing criterion. For this we have

Definition 3 Suppose R is MOMG of system S, and $\vec{r}_j = (r_{1j}, r_{2j}, ..., r_{mj})^T$ is a vector of optimal membership grade of thing a_j. Then

$$D(\vec{r}_{J},\vec{g}) = u_{J} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} (\omega_{1} \mid r_{1J} - 1)^{p} \right]^{1/p}$$

is called weight distance from top of thing a, and

$$D(\vec{r}_{j}, \vec{f}) = u^{c_{j}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} (\omega_{i} | r_{i,j} = 0)^{p}\right]^{1/p}$$

is called weight distance from low of thing a_j, where, P is distance parameter. p=1 is called Hamming's distance, and p=2 is called Euclid's distance.

In order to get optimal value of membership grade u_j of thing a_j , we present a objective function as follows:

min $(F(u_j)=u_j)$ $= u_j$ $= \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\omega_i \mid r_{i,j}-1 \mid)^p]$ $= \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\omega_i r_{i,j})^p]$ $= \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\omega_i r_{i,j})^p]$ that is, it makes the sum smallest of square for weight distance from top and for weight distance from low of thing a_j .

Let $dF(u_j) / du_j = 0$, then it follows that formula computing the optimal value of u_j :

$$u_{j} = 1/(1 + \sum_{i \neq j}^{m} (\omega_{i} | r_{i,j} - 1 |)^{p} / \sum_{i \neq j}^{m} (\omega_{i} r_{i,j})^{p}]^{2/p}),
 j = 1, 2, ..., n$$
(1.4)

Let U and V be two finite universes of a multi-objective system S. For object i of S, all element pair $(u, v) \in U \times V$ constitutes Dartesian product set with regard to object i. Then we get the following fuzzy relational matrix of object i with regard to excellent:

$$iR_{a \times c} = \begin{bmatrix} ir_{11} & ir_{12} & \dots & ir_{1c} \\ ir_{21} & ir_{22} & \dots & ir_{2c} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ ir_{a1} & ir_{a2} & \dots & ir_{ac} \end{bmatrix} = (ir_{kh})_{a \times c}$$

where, a is the total of elements in universe U, and c is that in V, and ir_{kh} is membership grade of (u, v) with regard to object i to excellent.

Definition 4 Suppose that $iR_{a \times c}$ is fuzzy relational matrix of object i with regard to excellent. If

$$iG_{\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{c}} = (g_{\mathbf{kh}})_{\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{c}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ & & & & \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

then $iG_{a \times c}$ is called top relational matrix.

Definition 5 Assume $iR_{a \times c}$ is fuzzy relational matrix of object i with regard to excellent. If

then $iF_{a \times c}$ is called low relational matrix.

Similarly, we can get the following model computing the optimal value of membership grade u_{kh} :

$$\mathbf{u_{kh}} = 1 / (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (| i \mathbf{r_{kh}} - 1 |)^{\mathbf{P}} / \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\omega_{i} . i \mathbf{r_{kh}})^{\mathbf{P}}]^{2/\mathbf{P}})$$
 (1.5)

Suppose that system S has multi-objective fuzzy relational matrix $iR_{a \times c}$, $i=1,2,\ldots$, m. To synthesize m fuzzy relation matrixes $iR_{a \times c}$ by model (1.5), we get a fuzzy relational

synthetical matrix $U_{k \times h} = (u_{kh})_{a \times c}$ of a multi-objective system in $U \times V$.

2. Basic Models

(1) First, we set up a list of objects and weights and optimal membership grade (OMG, in short).

	subsystem	1	2	• • •	m		
second layer	class of subsystems	*	*	*	*		
	weight	ω 1	ω ₂		ω _n		
first	order	$1,2,\ldots,k_1$	1,2,,	k ₂	$1,2,\ldots,k_{m}$		
layer	object	*	*		*		
	weight	ω _{1k1}	- d 2k2	• • •	[™] mkm		
OMG	1 2 	$R^{T}_{k1 \times n}$	$R^{T}_{k2 \times n}$	•••	$R^{\mathrm{T}}_{\mathbf{km} \times \mathbf{n}}$		

(2) We begin with the first layer, for m fuzzy relational matrixes $R_{k1 \times m}$, $i=1,2,\ldots,m$, in above list, comput $u_{1,j},u_{2,j},\ldots,u_{m,j}$ by formula(1.4) with taking p=2, i.e., the following formula: $u_j=1/(1+\sum_{i=1}^m (\omega_1 \mid r_{1,j}-1 \mid)^2/\sum_{i=1}^m (\omega_1 r_{1,j})^2)$, $j=1,2,\ldots,n$ (1.4')

(3) Let $u_{1,j}=r_{1,j}$, $i=1,2,\ldots,m$, $j=1,2,\ldots n$, using formula (1,4') we can get u_{j} , then arrange order of n things awaiting evaluation according to the principle of the bigger membership grade is, the excellenter.

3. Fuzzy optimization for 33 students' synthetic quality

In this section, using above basic model, 33 students in Class 1, Grade 92, Department of Mathematics, Liaocheng Teacher's College, are multifactorially evaluated, the relust is as follows.

Table of objects, weights and OMG's

second	subsy- stemx	1			2				3			
layer	class of subsyx- stems		intelligential quality			moral character				health quality		
	weight	0.4			0.3				0.3			
first layer	order	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	object	B ₁	B2	Вз	B4	B5	Ве	B ₇	Ba	Вя	B10	
	weight	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.4	0.3	0.3	
	1	0.698	0.989	1.000	0.990	0.978	0.979	0.958	0.918	0.973	0.987	
	2	0.640	0.800	0.902	0.885	0.843	0.845	0.948	0.845	0.893	0.890	
OMG	3	0.780	0.871	0.934	0.900	0.819	0.969	0.927	0.979	0.918	0.925	
UMU	4	0.965	0.817	0.862	0.865	0.994	0.897	0.927	0.753	0.841	0.876	
	5	0.872	0.839	0.915	0.844	0.712	0.990	0.917	0.814	0.879	0.880	
	6	0.814	0.860	0.896	0.938	0.785	0.990	0.750	0.959	0.850	0.883	
	7	0.759	0.800	0.896	0.885	0.725	0.887	0.708	0.938	0.902	0.871	
	8	0.884	0.688	0.899	0.927	0.724	1.000	0.958	0.918	0.875	0.888	
	9	0.698	0.774	0.825	0.750	0.700	0.918	0.760	0.784	0.788	0.798	
	10	0.674	0.806	0.830	0.844	0.764	0.918	0.792	0.742	0.875	0.833	
	11	0.581	0.860	0.909	1.000	0.983	0.845	0.969	0.959	0.950	0.959	
	12	0.884	0.796	0.891	0.719	0.785	0.866	0.979	0.959	0.866	0.868	
	13	0.814	0.870	0.946	0.833	0.799	0.948	0.969	0.773	0.918	0.902	
	14	0.605	1.000	0.974	0.958	0.908	0.969	0.979	1.000	0.995	0.998	
	15	0.581	0.882	0.870	0.948	0.941	0.979	0.750	0.907	0.870	0.904	
	16	0.733	0.935	0.937	0.844	0.750	0.990	0.885	0.918	0.961	0.935	
	17	0.774	0.919	0.902	0.948	0.768	0.969	0.802	0.918	0.902	0.910	
	18	0.977	0.903	0.944	0.990	1.000	0.907	0.906	0.845	0.964	0.958	
	19	0.581	0.849	0.775	0.698	0.846	0.887	0.844	0.784	0.743	0.799	
	20	0.674	0.801	0.821	0.896	0.719	0.866	0.927	0.804	0.743	0.810	
	21	0.988	0.914	0.829	0.427	0.638	0.876	0.823	0.742	0.806	0.780	

1		L	ļ	1		ļ	.	<u> </u>			
	22	1.000	0.849	0.928	0.969	0.868	0.887	0.990	0.948	0.916	0.923
	23	0.756	0.914	0.909	0.927	0.881	0.928	0.833	0.856	0.843	0.892
	24	0.663	0.828	0.869	0.781	0.699	0.938	0.958	0.897	0.738	0.816
OMG	25	0.605	0.849	0.865	0.635	0.809	0.887	0.875	0.814	0.806	0.826
	26	0.814	0.935	0.980	0.979	0.983	0.918	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	27	0.640	0.785	0.821	0.979	0.817	0.990	0.729	0.845	0.893	0.889
	28	0.779	0.753	0.862	0.938	0.921	0.959	0.896	0.835	0.788	0.857
	29	0.791	0.742	0.867	0.854	0.755	0.928	0.750	0.887	0.843	0.844
	30	0.628	0.925	0.865	0.927	0.594	0.969	0.885	0.660	0.743	0.803
	31	0.663	0.800	0.861	0.844	0.757	0.928	0.719	0.990	0.793	0.833
	32	0.744	0.731	0.915	0.979	0.889	0.938	0.958	0.907	0.891	0.913
	33	0.733	0.941	0.874	0.854	0.669	0.990	0.865	0.887	0.861	0.880

In above table, object $B_1 - B_{10}$ are as follows:

B₁----foreign language,

 B_2 —politics,

B₃---speciality,

B₄----political thought,

B₅---observe discipline,

B₆----specialized thought,

B₇----labour hygiene,

B₈---physical result,

 B_9 ---come up to standard,

B₁₀----result of the game.

From these data in above table, we can get, by formula (1.4'), $\overrightarrow{u}_{1,j}$, $\overrightarrow{u}_{2,j}$, $\overrightarrow{u}_{3,j}$, as follows:

 $\vec{u}_{1,j} = (0.991, 0.970, 0.986, 0.976, 0.988, 0.983, 0.900, 0.977, 0.945, 0.948, 0.969, 0.981, 0.992, 0.984, 0.939, 0.988, 0.982, 0.996, 0.996, 0.908, 0.943,$

- 0.936, 0.993, 0.984, 0.964, 0.971, 0.996, 0.996, 0.965, 0.945, 0.963,
- 0.959, 0.977, 0.942)
- $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{u}_{2,j}} = (0.999, 0.980, 0.985, 0.990, 0.960, 0.964, 0.934, 0.978, 0.919, 0.950,$
 - 0.993, 0.952, 0.978, 0.997, 0.980, 0.968, 0.969, 0.995, 0.947, 0.963,
 - 0.801, 0.991, 0.984, 0.953, 0.929, 0.996, 0.967, 0.993, 0.948, 0.941,
 - 0.941, 0.995, 0.951
- $\vec{u}_{3,j} = (0.996, 0.969, 0.996, 0.908, 0.968, 0.988, 0.990, 0.987, 0.933, 0.938,$
 - 0.998, 0.987, 0.962, 1.000, 0.987, 0.995, 0.991, 0.986, 0.923, 0.933,
 - 0.916, 0.945, 0.975, 0.956, 0.951, 1.000, 0.977, 0.958, 0.975, 0.864,
 - 0.977, 0.989, 0.981

Let component $u_{i,j}=r_{i,j}$ in above vectors, from formula (1.4'), we get:

- $u_3 = (0.9999, 0.99917, 0.99984, 0.99730, 0.99920, 0.99957, 0.99730, 0.99956,$
 - 0.99510, 0.99670, 0.99951, 0.99913, 0.99940, 0.99987, 0.99795, 0.99964,
 - 0.99955, 0.99996, 0.99460, 0.99610, 0.98350, 0.99800, 0.99980, 0.99850,
 - 0.99750, 0.99998, 0.99912, 0.99888, 0.99747, 0.99261, 0.99799, 0.99970,
 - 0.99746).

The order of 33 students are arranged according to OMG's from high to low:

- 1,26,18,14,3,23,32,16 ,6,8,17,11,13,5,2,12,27,28,24,22,31,15, 25,29,33,4,10,20,9,19,7,30,21.
- It is in keeping with reality that order arranged by the fuzzy optimization model.

References

- 1. Chen Shouyu and Zhao Yingqi. Fuzzy optimization theory and models, Mathematica Applicata, 1(1993).
- Zhang Zezeng. Grey evaluation for economic benefit of business in small towns in China, Systems Engineering—— Theory Methodology Applications, 2(1994).