

# LINGUISTICALLY VALUED OPERATOR LOGIC

CHEN TUYUN      SUN DESHAN

(Department of Mathematics, Liaoning Normal University, Dalian, China, 116029)

## ABSTRACT

Zadeh presented linguistically valued logic in 1975. Liu Xuhua presented the concept of operator logic in 1984. In this paper, a system of Linguistically Valued Operator Logic (LVOL) is suggested. In this system, truth values may be expressed clearly by operator.  $\lambda$ -resolution and completeness theorem are introduced at last.

*Keywords*, linguistically valued operator,  $\lambda$ -identically true,  $\lambda$ -identically false,  $\lambda$ -resolution.

## 1 Linguistically valued operator lattice

**Definition 1.1**<sup>[1]</sup> Let  $(L, \leq)$  be a completely complemented lattice of distribution and let  $L$  be a operator set. Operator  $\cdot$  is a binary operation on  $L$ . If  $\forall a, b, c \in L$ , satisfies the following conditions:

(1)  $a \cdot (b * c) = (a \cdot b) * (a \cdot c)$ ; (2)  $a \cdot (b \oplus c) = (a \cdot b) \oplus (a \cdot c)$ ; (3)  $(a \cdot b)' = a' \cdot b'$ , then  $(L, \leq)$  is called a operator lattice, where  $*$ ,  $\oplus$  and  $'$  are operation of infimum, supremum and complement respectively.

**Theorem 1.1** Let  $\tilde{L}_1$  be a set of fuzzy numbers<sup>[2]</sup> on  $[0, 1]$ .  $\forall a, b, c \in \tilde{L}_1$ , if we define  $'$ ,  $*$ ,  $\oplus$  and  $\cdot$  as following,

$$\begin{aligned} a' &= \bigcup_{\lambda \in (0,1]} \lambda [1 - a_{\lambda}^+, 1 - a_{\lambda}^-], \\ a * b &= a \wedge b = \bigcup_{\lambda \in (0,1]} \lambda [a_{\lambda}^- \wedge b_{\lambda}^-, a_{\lambda}^+ \wedge b_{\lambda}^+], \\ a \oplus b &= a \vee b = \bigcup_{\lambda \in (0,1]} \lambda [a_{\lambda}^- \vee b_{\lambda}^-, a_{\lambda}^+ \vee b_{\lambda}^+], \\ a \cdot b &= \bigcup_{\lambda \in (0,1]} \lambda \left[ \frac{a_{\lambda}^- + b_{\lambda}^-}{2}, \frac{a_{\lambda}^+ + b_{\lambda}^+}{2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

then  $\tilde{L}_1$  is a operator lattice (we call linguistically valued operator lattice too).

## 2 Basic concept

**Definition 2.1** Let  $P$  be a atomic symbol,  $\lambda \in \tilde{L}_1$ . Then call  $\lambda P$  fuzzy atom.

**Definition 2.2** Formulas of LVOL are defined recursively:

- (1) Fuzzy atom is a formula;  
 (2) If  $G$  is formula, then  $\lambda G, \sim G$  are formula, where  $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}_1$ ;  
 (3) If  $G, H$  are formula, then  $G \wedge H, G \vee H, G \rightarrow H$  and  $G \leftrightarrow H$  are formula;  
 (4) If  $G$  is formula,  $x$  is a free variable in  $G$ , then  $(\forall x) G(x), (\exists x) G(x), (\lambda \forall x) G(x)$  and  $(\lambda \exists x) G(x)$  are formula;  
 (5) All of formulas are generated by using (1)~(4).  
 $\lambda_1(\lambda_2(\dots(\lambda_p P)\dots))$  is called literal. It is expressed simply by  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p P$ , specially, fuzzy atom is literal.

**Definition 2.3** Solution  $I$  of  $G$  is made of non-empty universe and the following rules:

- (1) Assign a member of  $D$  to every variable symbol;  
 (2) Assign a mapping from  $D^n$  to  $D$  to every  $n$ -tuple function symbol;  
 (3) Assign a mapping from  $D^n$  to  $\{F, T\}$  to every predicate symbol.

**Definition 2.4** Truth values  $T_I(G)$  is defined by the following rules:

(1) If  $\lambda P$  is fuzzy atom, then  $T_I(\lambda P) = \lambda$ , iff  $P$  is defined  $T$  by  $I$ ;  $T_I(\lambda P) = \lambda'$  iff  $P$  is defined  $F$  by  $I$ .

(2) If  $G$  and  $H$  are formulas, then

$$\begin{aligned} T_I(\lambda G) &= \lambda \cdot T_I(G); \\ T_I(\sim G) &= (T_I(G))'; \\ T_I(G \vee H) &= T_I(G) \oplus T_I(H); \\ T_I(G \wedge H) &= T_I(G) * T_I(H); \\ T_I(G \rightarrow H) &= T_I(\sim G \vee H); \\ T_I(G \leftrightarrow H) &= T_I((G \rightarrow H) \wedge (H \rightarrow G)); \\ T_I((\forall x)G(x)) &= \prod_{x \in D} (T_I(G(x))); \\ T_I((\exists x)G(x)) &= \sum_{x \in D} (T_I(G(x))); \\ T_I((\lambda \forall x)G(x)) &= T_I(\lambda((\forall x)G(x))); \\ T_I((\lambda \exists x)G(x)) &= T_I(\lambda((\exists x)G(x))), \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus \dots$  is denoted simply by  $\sum_i x_i$ ,  $x_1 * x_2 * \dots$  is denoted simply by  $\prod_i x_i$ .

### 3 Normal form of formulas in LVOL

**Theorem 3.1** Arbitrary formula in LVOL is equivalent to a former constraint normal form.

**Definition 3.1** Let  $G$  be a formula,  $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}_1$ . If  $\forall I, T_I(G) \leq \lambda$ , then  $G$  is  $\lambda$ -identically false; if  $\forall I, T_I(G) \geq \lambda$ , then  $G$  is  $\lambda$ -identically true.

**Theorem 3.2** Formula  $G$  is  $\lambda$ -identically false iff Skolem's normal form of  $G$  is  $\lambda$ -identically false.

#### 4 $\lambda$ -resolution

Because  $\tilde{L}_1$  is a partial ordered set, importing  $\lambda$ -resolution is difficult. We may take a completely ordered subset of  $\tilde{L}_1$  as our discussing field. The truth values of "unknowing to be true or false" is represented by  $U_n$ , where  $\mu_{U_n}(x) \equiv 1$ . We take a completely ordered subset including  $U_n$  from  $\tilde{L}_1$ , it is denoted by  $\tilde{N}$ . From now on, truth values of formulas are taken from  $\tilde{N}$ .

In order to discuss conveniently, we only think about clauses being made of fuzzy atom. Its conclusions are easy to extend to normal clauses.

**Definition 4.1** Let  $\lambda_1 L$  and  $\lambda_2 L$  be two literals,  $\lambda \in \tilde{N}$ . If  $\lambda \geq U_n, \lambda_1 > \lambda$  and  $\lambda_2 < \lambda'$ ; or  $\lambda_1 < \lambda'$  and  $\lambda_2 > \lambda$  (if  $\lambda < U_n$ , then just contrary), then  $\lambda_1 L$  and  $\lambda_2 L$  are  $\lambda$ -complementative literal.

**Definition 4.2** Let  $\lambda_1 L$  and  $\lambda_2 L$  be two literals,  $\lambda \in \tilde{N}$ . If  $\lambda \geq U_n, \lambda_1 > \lambda$  and  $\lambda_2 > \lambda$ ; or  $\lambda_1 < \lambda'$  and  $\lambda_2 < \lambda'$  (if  $\lambda < U_n$ , then just contrary), then  $\lambda_1 L$  and  $\lambda_2 L$  are  $\lambda$ -samiliar literal.

**Definition 4.3** Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be clauses without same variables, let  $\lambda_1 L_1$  and  $\lambda_2 L_2$  be respectively literal of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  have MGUS,  $\lambda_1 L_1'$  and  $\lambda_2 L_2'$  are  $\lambda$ -complementative literal, then  $(C_1' - S_1) \vee (C_2' - S_2)$  is called binary  $\lambda$ -resolution formula about  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , denoted by  $R_\lambda(C_1, C_2)$ , where

$$S_1 = \{ \lambda^* L' \mid (\lambda^* L' \in C_1') \wedge (\lambda^* L' \text{ and } \lambda_1 L_1' \text{ are } \lambda\text{-samiliar literal}) \},$$

$$S_2 = \{ \lambda^* L' \mid (\lambda^* L' \in C_2') \wedge (\lambda^* L' \text{ and } \lambda_2 L_2' \text{ are } \lambda\text{-samiliar literal}) \}.$$

**Definition 4.4** Let  $S$  be clause set. Treat disjunct  $\lambda^* L$  in  $C$  by the following rules, where  $C \in S$ ,

(1) if  $\lambda \geq U_n, \lambda' \leq \lambda^* \leq \lambda$ , then cross off  $\lambda^* L$  from  $C$ ;

(2) if  $\lambda < U_n, \lambda \leq \lambda^* \leq \lambda'$ , then cross off  $\lambda^* L$  from  $C$ .

The remained clause is called  $\lambda$ -primary reductive clause, denoted by  $C_{PR}^\lambda$ . The remained clause set is called  $\lambda$ -primary reductive clause set, denoted by  $S_{PR}^\lambda$ .

**Theorem 4.1** Let  $S$  be a clause set,  $\lambda \geq U_n$ , then  $S$  is  $\lambda$ -identically false iff  $S_{PR}^\lambda$  is  $\lambda'$ -identically false.

**Definition 4.5** Let  $S_{PR}^\lambda$  be  $\lambda$ -primary reductive clause set of  $S$ . Treat the disjunct  $\lambda^* L$  in  $C_{PR}^\lambda$  by the following rules; where  $C_{PR}^\lambda \in S_{PR}^\lambda$ ,

(1) when  $\lambda \geq U_n, \lambda^* > \lambda$ , then  $\lambda^* L$  is substituted by  $L$ ,

(2) when  $\lambda \geq U_n, \lambda^* < \lambda'$ , then  $\lambda^* L$  is substituted by  $\sim L$ ,

(3) when  $\lambda < U_n, \lambda^* > \lambda'$ , then  $\lambda^* L$  is substituted by  $L$ ,

(4) when  $\lambda < U_n, \lambda^* < \lambda$ , then  $\lambda^* L$  is substituted by  $\sim L$ .

By this way, the attained clause is called  $\lambda$ -reductive clause, denoted by  $C_R^\lambda$ ; the attained clause set is called  $\lambda$ -reductive clause set, denoted by  $S_R^\lambda$ .

**Theorem 4.2**  $S_{PR}^\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -identically false iff  $S_R^\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -identically false.

**Theorem 4.3** Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be two clauses, let  $C_{1R}^\lambda$  and  $C_{2R}^\lambda$  be respectively  $\lambda$ -reductive clause of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . If  $C = R_\lambda(C_1, C_2)$ , then there exists  $C' = R(C_{1R}^\lambda, C_{2R}^\lambda)$  such that  $C' = C_R^\lambda$  is satisfied; In the contrary, if  $C' = R(C_{1R}^\lambda, C_{2R}^\lambda)$ , then there exists  $C = R_\lambda(C_1, C_2)$  such that  $C_R^\lambda = C'$  is satisfied.

**Theorem 4.4** Let  $\lambda \geq U_n$ . If clause set  $S$  is  $\lambda$ -identically false, then there exists  $\lambda$ -resolution such that  $\lambda$ -empty clause can be deduced by the resolution (each literal  $\lambda^* L$  in  $\lambda$ -empty clause satisfies  $\lambda' \leq \lambda^* \leq \lambda$ ,  $\lambda$ -empty clause is denoted by  $\lambda - \square$ ).

## 5 $\lambda$ -weak implication and $\lambda$ -strong implication

The following results are similar to [3].

**Definition 5.1** Let  $G$  and  $H$  be formula,  $\lambda \in \tilde{N}$ . If  $(G \rightarrow H)$  is  $\lambda$ -identically true, then

we call  $G$   $\lambda$ -weak implication  $H$ , denoted by  $G \Rightarrow H$ .

**Theorem 5.1**  $(G \rightarrow H)$  is  $\lambda$ -identically true iff  $\forall I$  if  $T_I(G) > \lambda'$ , then  $T_I(H) \geq \lambda$ .

**Definition 5.2** Let  $G$  and  $H$  be formulas,  $\lambda \in \tilde{N}$ . If  $\forall I, T_I(G) \geq \lambda$ , then  $T_I(H) \geq \lambda$ , then we call  $G$   $\lambda$ -strong implication  $H$ , denoted by  $G \stackrel{\lambda}{\Rightarrow} H$ .

**Proposition 5.1** If  $G \Rightarrow H, \lambda > U_n$ , then  $G \stackrel{\lambda}{\Rightarrow} H$ ; if  $G \stackrel{\lambda}{\Rightarrow} H, \lambda = U_n$ , then  $G \Rightarrow H$ .

**Proposition 5.2** Let  $G$  be formula, then (1) when  $\lambda \leq U_n, A \Rightarrow A$ , (2)  $A \stackrel{\lambda}{\Rightarrow} A$ .

**Proposition 5.3** Let  $A, B$  and  $C$  be formulas, then

(1) when  $\lambda > U_n$ , if  $A \Rightarrow B$  and  $B \Rightarrow C$ , then  $A \Rightarrow C$ ; (2) if  $A \stackrel{\lambda}{\Rightarrow} B$  and  $B \stackrel{\lambda}{\Rightarrow} C$ , then  $A \stackrel{\lambda}{\Rightarrow} C$ .

**Proposition 5.4** Let  $A, B$  and  $C$  be formulas, then

(1) if  $A \Rightarrow B, A \Rightarrow C$ , then  $A \Rightarrow (B \wedge C)$ ; (2) if  $A \stackrel{\lambda}{\Rightarrow} B, A \stackrel{\lambda}{\Rightarrow} C$ , then  $A \stackrel{\lambda}{\Rightarrow} (B \wedge C)$ .

**Theorem 5.2** Let  $\lambda \geq U_n$ . If there exists  $\lambda$ -resolution such that  $\lambda$ -empty clause can be deduced from clause set  $S$  by the resolution, then  $S$  is  $\lambda$ -identically false.

**Theorem 5.3** Let  $\lambda \geq U_n$ , let  $S$  be a clause set. Then  $S$  is  $\lambda$ -identically false iff there exists  $\lambda$ -resolution such that  $\lambda$ -empty clause can be deduced from clause set  $S$  by the resolution.

## References

- [1] Liu Xuhua. Fuzzy Logic and Fuzzy Reasoning. Jilin University Press, 1989, P89—111. (in Chinese).
- [2] Luo Chengzhong. Introduction to the fuzzy sets. Beijing Normal University press, 1989, P190—197. (in Chinese).
- [3] Liu Xuhua. Automatic Reasoning Based On Resolution Method. Science Press, 1994, P361—367. (in Chinese).