

FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR FUZZY MAPPINGS IN H-SPACE

Shi Chuan

Department of Applied Mathematics,
Nanjing University of Science & Technology,
Nanjing, 210014, People's Republic of China

Abstract: This paper studied the fuzzy property on H-Space, Bring forward the concept of fuzzy mappings and concept of fixed point of fuzzy mappings on H-Space, and studied fixed point theorems, The results presented unify and extend schauder's fixed point theorem, Browder's fixed point theorem and some recent important results.

Keywords: H-Space, Fuzzy mapping, Fixed point theorem, Schauder's fixed point theorem, Browder's fixed point theorem.

0 INTRODUCTION

In 1988, C. Bardaro and R. Ceppitelli [1] bring forward the concept of H-Space and studied fixed point theorems. In 1994, Chang Shisheng and Xiang Shuwen [3] bring forward the concept of locally H-convex spaces and studied fixed point theorems, This paper studied the fuzzy property on H-Space, Bring forward the concept of fuzzy mappings and concept of fixed point of fuzzy mappings on H-Space, The results presented in this paper unify and extend schauder's fixed point theorem, Browder's fixed point theorem and some recent important results.

1 PRELIMINARIES

DEFINITION 1.1 An H-Space is a pair $(X, \{P_A\})$, where X is a topological space and $\{\Gamma_A\}$ is a given family of nonempty contractible subsets of X , indexed by the finite subsets of X , such that $A \subset B$ implies $\Gamma_A \subset \Gamma_B$.

DEFINITION 1.2 Let $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ be an H-Space, A subset $D \subset X$ is called H-Convex relative to subset $C \subset X$ if, for every finite subset $A \subset C$, it follows $\Gamma_A \subset D$. When $C=D$, then D is called H-convex briefly.

DEFINITION 1.3 An H-Space $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ is called locally H-convex space, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every open neighborhood U of x , there exists a open neighborhood V of x

such that U is H -convex relative to V .

DEFINITION 1. 4 Let (X, d) be a metric space, A nonempty subset $D \subset X$ is called uniformly locally H -Convex subset, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for each $x \in D$, $B_\varepsilon(x)$ is H -Convex relative to $B_\delta(x)$, where $B_r(x) = \{y \in X, d(x, y) < r\}$.

REMARK 1. 5 It is easy to prove that locally convex topological vector spaces is locally H -Convex spaces, normed spaces is uniformly locally H -Convex spaces.

DEFINITION 1. 6 Let X is a topological space, D is a nonempty subset of X , A mapping $B: D \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a fuzzy subset over D , we denote by $F(D)$ the family of all fuzzy subsets over D .

DEFINITION 1. 7 A mapping T from $D \rightarrow F(D)$ is called fuzzy mapping Over D , for each $x \in D$, $T(x) = T_x \in F(D)$, i. e., T_x is a fuzzy set over D .

DEFINITION 1. 8 Let $T: D \rightarrow F(D)$, T is said to be a fuzzy function iff there exists only one $z_x \in D$ such that $T_x(z_x) = \max_{\mu \in D} T_x(\mu) > 0$.

DEFINITION 1. 9 A fuzzy function $T: D \rightarrow F(D)$ is said to be a very fuzzy function, iff $T_x(z_x) > 0$ and $\forall u \neq z_x, T_x(u) = 0$.

DEFINITION 1. 10 If $T: D \rightarrow F(D)$ is a fuzzy function, then by using T we can define $T': D \rightarrow F(D)$ as follows:

$$T'_x(\mu) = \begin{cases} T_x(z_x) & \mu = z_x \\ 0 & \mu \neq z_x \end{cases}, \quad \forall \mu \in D$$

It is obvious that $T': D \rightarrow F(D)$ is a very fuzzy function.

DEFINITION 1. 11 Let $T: D \rightarrow F(D)$, $A \in F(D)$, Then the image of A by T is the fuzzy set $T[A] \in F(D)$ defined by:

$$T[A](y) = \sup_{x \in D} \{T_x(y) \cdot A(x)\} \quad \forall y \in D$$

The inverse—image of A by T is the fuzzy set $T^{-1}[A] \in F(D)$ defined by:

$$T^{-1}[A](x) = \sup_{y \in D} \{T_x(y) \cdot A(y)\} \quad \forall x \in D$$

If A is the usual subset of D , then $A(x)$ is the characteristic function of A .

DEFINITION 1. 12 The fuzzy mapping T is F -continuous iff for any $x \in D$ and for any open set C in X we have: $T_x \subseteq C \cap D$ implies that $\exists V_x \in \mathcal{V}(x)$ with $T[V_x] \subseteq C \cap D$ where $\mathcal{V}(x)$ denotes the set of all neighbourhoods of x in X .

DEFINITION 1. 13 Let $T: D \rightarrow F(D)$, $x_0 \in D$, if $T_{x_0}(x_0) = \max_{\mu \in D} T_{x_0}(\mu)$, Then x_0 is called a fixed point of T .

LEMMA 1. 14 ([2], [5]) Let $(X, \{\Gamma_\lambda\})$ is an H -Space, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n is points of X (not necessarily distinct): Then for a standard $(n-1)$ —Simplex $e_1 e_2 \dots e_n$ there exists a continuous mapping $f: e_1 e_2 \dots e_n \rightarrow X$ such that

$$f(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}) \subset \Gamma(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})$$

Where $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$ is any nonempty subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

LEMMA 1. 15 ([3]) Let (X, d) is a matric space, $(X, \{\Gamma_\lambda\})$ is a locally H -Convex

space, D is a compact subset of X , Then D is a uniformly locally H-Convex subset of X proof. $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ for each $x \in D$, since $(X, \{\Gamma_\lambda\})$ is a locally H-Convex space, therefore there exists $\delta_x \in (0, \varepsilon)$ such that $B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x)$ is H-Convex relative to $B_{\delta_x}(x)$, i. e. \forall a finite subset $A \subset B_{\delta_x}(x)$, it follows $\Gamma_\lambda \subset B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x)$.

Since D is a compact subset of X , and $D \subset \bigcup_{x \in D} B_{\frac{\delta_x}{2}}(x)$, hence there exists a finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset D$ such that $D \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_{\frac{\delta_{x_i}}{2}}(x_i)$.

Choose $\delta = \min \{ \frac{\delta_{x_i}}{2}, i=1, 2, \dots, n \}$, for each $x \in D \forall$ a finite subset $A \subset B_\delta(x)$, $\because x \in D, \therefore \exists i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ wish that $x \in B_{\frac{\delta_{x_i}}{2}}(x_i) \therefore A \subset B_\delta(x) \subseteq B_{\frac{\delta_{x_i}}{2}}(x) \subset B_{\frac{\delta_{x_i}}{2}}(x_i) \subseteq B_{\delta_{x_i}}(x_i), \therefore \Gamma_\lambda \subset B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x) \subset B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(B_{\frac{\delta_{x_i}}{2}}(x)) \subset B_\varepsilon(x)$, this completes the proof.

2 MAIN RESULTS

THEOREM 2. 1 Let (X, d) is a metric space, $(X, \{\Gamma_\lambda\})$ is a locally H-Convex space, D is nonempty compact subset of X and D is H-Convex, Let $T : D \rightarrow F(D)$ is a fuzzy function, if $T' : D \rightarrow F(D)$ is F-Continuous, Then there exists $x_0 \in D$, x_0 is a fixed point of T .

Proof. since $T : D \rightarrow F(D)$ is a fuzzy function, therefore $\forall x \in D$ there exists only one $z_x \in D$ with $T_x(z_x) = \max_{\mu \in D} T_x(\mu) > 0$, by difinition 1. 10, $T'_x(z_x) > 0, T'_x(\mu) = 0 \forall \mu \neq z_x. \forall x \in D$ let $f(x) = z_x$, we shall show that $f : D \rightarrow D$ is continuous, $\forall x_0 \in D$, if open set $C \subset X$ such that $f(x_0) = z_{x_0} \in C$, then $C(z_0) = 1$, by difinition 1. 9, 1. 10, $T'_{x_0}(z_{x_0}) > 0, T'_{x_0}(\mu) = 0 \forall \mu \neq z_{x_0}$, hence $T'_{x_0}(u) \leq C(u) \forall \mu \in D$, i. e. $T'_{x_0} \subseteq C$, Since $T' : D \rightarrow F(D)$ is F-Continuous, therefore there exists a neighbourhood V_{x_0} of x_0 such that $T' [V_{x_0}] \subseteq D \cap C$, If $x \in V_{x_0}$, by $0 < T'_x(z_x) \leq \sup_{\omega \in D} \{T'_\omega(z_x) \cdot V_{x_0}(\omega)\} = T' [V_{x_0}](z_x) \leq C(z_x)$, we have $C(z_x) = 1$, i. e. $f(x) = z_x \in C$, which implies that if open set C such that $f(x_0) \in C$ then there exists V_{x_0} such that $f(x) \in C \forall x \in V_{x_0}$, therefore $f : D \rightarrow D$ is continuous at x_0 , since $f : D \rightarrow D$ is continuous at each point of D , hence $f : D \rightarrow D$ is continuous.

D is H-Convex and compact subset of X , by lemma 1. 15, D is uniformly locally H-Convex, hence $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \eta \in (0, \varepsilon)$ such that $B_\varepsilon(x)$ is H-Convex relative to $B_\eta(x)$, by $f : D \rightarrow D$ is continuous, there exists a neighbourhood $B_{\delta_x}(x)$ of x such that $f(B_{\delta_x}(x)) \subset B_\eta(f(x))$, D is compact and $C \subset \bigcup_{x \in D} B_{\delta_x}(x)$, hence we have $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset D$ such that $D \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_{\delta_{x_i}}(x_i)$, for $\{B_{\delta_{x_i}}(x_i) | i=1, 2, \dots, n\}$ there exists a continuous partition of unity $\{\beta_i(x) | i=1, 2, \dots, n\} : D \rightarrow [0, 1]$, Let $\Delta_{n-1} = \{e_1 \dots e_n\}$ is a standard $(n-1)$ -simplex, we can define

$$g : D \rightarrow \Delta_{n-1}, g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i(x) e_i, \forall x \in D,$$

easy to deduce that $g: D \rightarrow \Delta_{n-1}$ is continuous, moreover by lemma 1. 14 there exists continuous mapping $h: \Delta_{n-1} \rightarrow D$ such that

$$h(e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_k}) \subset \Gamma_{(f(e_{i_1}), \dots, f(e_{i_k}))}$$

where $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ is a nonempty subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Since mapping $goh: \Delta_{n-1} \rightarrow \Delta_{n-1}$ and continuous, by Brouwer's fixed point theorem there exists $e \in \Delta_{n-1}$ such that $goh(e) = e$, Let $h(e) = x_e$, then $x_e \in D$ and $x_e = h(e) = hogoh(e) = hog(x_e)$, i. e. x_e is a fixed point of hog, let $I(x) = \{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \mid \beta_i(x) > 0\} \forall x \in D$, hence $hog(x) = h(\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i(x) e_i) = h(\sum_{i \in I(x)} \beta_i(x) e_i) \subset \Gamma_{(f(x), i \in I(x))}$

moreover, $i \in I(x)$ with $\beta_i(x) > 0$, i. e. $x \in B_{\beta_i}(x_i)$, by definition of $B_{\beta_i}(x)$ easy to deduce that $f(B_{\beta_i}(x_i)) \subset B_n(f(x_i))$, $\therefore f(x) \in f(B_{\beta_i}(x_i)) \subset B_n(f(x_i))$, $\forall i \in I(x)$ then $f(x_i) \in B_n(f(x))$, moreover $B_n(f(x))$ is H-Convex relative to $B_n(f(x))$, hence we have $h(g(x)) \in \Gamma_{(f(x), i \in I)} \subset B_n(f(x))$ then $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists x_\varepsilon \in D$ such that $x_\varepsilon = h(g(x_\varepsilon)) \in B_n(f(x_\varepsilon))$, Let $\varepsilon_n = \frac{1}{n}$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$) $\exists x_n \in B_{\varepsilon_n}(f(x_n))$, i. e. $d(x_n, f(x_n)) < \varepsilon_n$, by D is compact, there exists $\{x_{n_j}\} \subset \{x_n\}$ such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_j} = x_0 \in D$, moreover $f: D \rightarrow D$ is continuous, $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n_j}) = f(x_0)$, $d(x_0, f(x_0)) \leq d(x_0, x_{n_j}) + d(x_{n_j}, f(x_{n_j})) + d(f(x_{n_j}), f(x_0))$, when $j \rightarrow \infty$ $d(x_0, f(x_0)) \leq 0$, $\therefore d(x_0, f(x_0)) = 0$, i. e. $x_0 = f(x_0)$, by definition of f , $x_0 = f(x_0) = z_{x_0}$, i. e. $T_{x_0}(x_0) = T_{x_0}(z_{x_0}) = \max_{u \in D} T_{x_0}(u)$, Then x_0 is a fixed point of T .

COROLLARY 2. 1. 1 ([3]) Let (X, d) is a metric space, $(X, \{\Gamma_\lambda\})$ is a locally H-Convex space, D is nonempty compact subset of X and D is H-Convex, Let $f: D \rightarrow D$ is continuous, Then there exists $x_0 \in D$, x_0 is a fixed point of f .

Proof. we can define fuzzy function $T: D \rightarrow F(D)$ as follows:

$$\forall x \in D \quad T_x(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \mu = f(x) \\ \frac{1}{2} & \mu \neq f(x) \end{cases}, \quad \forall \mu \in D$$

by T we have:

$$\forall x \in D \quad T'_x(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \mu = f(x) \\ 0 & \mu \neq f(x) \end{cases}, \quad \forall \mu \in D$$

since $f: D \rightarrow D$ is continuous, it is easy to deduce that $T': D \rightarrow F(D)$ is F-Continuous, by theorem 2. 1 there exists $x_0 \in D$ such that $T_{x_0}(x_0) = \max_{\mu \in D} T_{x_0}(\mu)$, i. e. $T_{x_0}(x_0) = 1$, $\therefore x_0 = f(x_0)$.

THEOREM 2. 2 Let X is a normed linear space, D is a compact convex subset of X , $T: D \rightarrow F(D)$ is a fuzzy function, and $T': D \rightarrow F(D)$ is F-Continuous, Then there exists $x_0 \in D$, x_0 is a fixed point of T .

Proof. for any finite subset $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset X$, We can define $\Gamma_\lambda = C_0\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, Then $(X, \{\Gamma_\lambda\})$ is a locally H-Convex space, D is locally H-Convex and compactly,

by theorem 2. 1 there exists $x_0 \in D$ such that $T_{x_0}(x_0) = \max_{\mu \in D} T_{x_0}(\mu)$, x_0 is a fixed point of T .

COROLLARY 2. 2. 1 (Schauder) Let X is a normed linear space, D is a compact convex subset of X , $f: D \rightarrow D$ is continuous, Then there exists $x_0 \in D$, such that $f(x_0) = x_0$.

Proof. define fuzzy function $T: D \rightarrow F(D)$ as follows:

$$\forall x \in D \quad T_x(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \mu = f(x) \\ \frac{1}{2} & \mu \neq f(x) \end{cases}, \quad \forall \mu \in D$$

Then $\forall x \in D \quad T'_x(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \mu = f(x) \\ 0 & \mu \neq f(x) \end{cases}$, $\forall \mu \in D$ it is easy to deduce that $T': D \rightarrow F(D)$ is F-Continuous, hence conclusion follows from theorem 2. 2.

COROLLARY 2. 2. 2 ([4]) Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, D is a compact convex subset of X , $T: D \rightarrow F(D)$ is a fuzzy founction, and $T': D \rightarrow F(D)$ is F-Continuous, Then there exists $x_0 \in D$ such that $T_{x_0}(x_0) = \max_{\mu \in D} T_{x_0}(\mu)$.

Proof. conclusion follows from theorem 2. 2.

COROLLORY 2. 2. 3 (Brouwer) Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, D is a compact convex subset of X , $f: D \rightarrow D$ is continuous, Then there exists $x_0 \in D$, x_0 is a fixed point of f .

Proof. The same that proof of corollary 2. 2. 1, define fuzzy function $T: D \rightarrow F(D)$ and $T': D \rightarrow F(D)$, conclusion follows from corollory 2. 2. 2.

THEOREM 2. 3 Let $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ is an H-Space, D is a nonempty compact subset of X , and H-Convex, $T: D \rightarrow F(D)$ is fuzzy mapping such that: (1) there exists a real function $\alpha(x): D \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that $\forall x \in D (T_x)_{\alpha(x)} \neq \Phi$, $(T_x)_{\alpha(x)}$ is H-Convex, $\forall y \in D$ there exists a open set $O_y \subset T_{\alpha}^{-1}(y) = \{x \in D | y \in (T_x)_{\alpha(x)}\}$ and $\bigcup_{y \in D} O_y = D$, Then there exists $x_0 \in D$ such that $T_{x_0}(x_0) \geq \alpha(x_0)$, (2) In particular, if $\bar{\alpha}(x) = \max_{\mu \in D} T_x(\mu): D \rightarrow (0, 1]$ satisfies condition (1), Then there exists $x_0 \in D$, x_0 is a fixed point of T .

Proof. We can define set-valued mapping $T_\alpha: D \rightarrow 2^D$ as follows: $\forall x \in D, T_\alpha(x) = (T_x)_{\alpha(x)}$, $\forall x \in D, T_\alpha(x) \neq \Phi$ and $T_\alpha(x)$ is H-Convex. Since D is compact subset and $D = \bigcup_{y \in D} O_y$, where $O_y \subset T_{\alpha}^{-1}(y)$, therefore there exists a finite set $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset D$ such that $D \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n O_{y_i}$, and there exists a continuous partition of unity $\{\beta_i(x) | i=1, 2, \dots, n\}: D \rightarrow [0, 1]$, Let $\Delta_{n-1} = \{e_1 \dots e_n\}$ is a standard $(n-1)$ —simplex, we can define mapping $g: D \rightarrow \Delta_{n-1}$ as follows: $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i(x) e_i$, $\forall x \in D$, Then $g: D \rightarrow \Delta_{n-1}$ and continuous, by lemma 1. 14, there exists continuous mapping $h: \Delta_{n-1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{(y_1, \dots, y_n)} \subset D$ such that $h(e_1, \dots, e_n) \subset \Gamma(y_1 \dots y_n) \subset D$, where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a subset of $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, Since mapping $g \circ h: \Delta_{n-1} \rightarrow \Delta_{n-1}$ is continuous, therefore there exist fixet point e such that $g \circ h(e) = e$, Let $x_0 = h(e)$, then $\therefore \text{hog}(x_0) = \text{hogo } h(e) = h(e) = x_0$, $\therefore x_0$ is a fixed point of hog , On the other land, Since $\text{hog}(x_0) = h(\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i(x_0) e_i) \in \Gamma_{(y_i, i \in I(x_0))}$, where $I(x_0) =$

$\{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \beta_i(x_0) > 0\}$, therefore $\forall i \in I(x_0)$ from $\beta_i(x_0) > 0$ we can obtain $x_0 \in O_{y_i} \subset T_{\alpha}^{-1}(y_i)$, i. e. $y_i \in T_{\alpha}(x_0) \forall i \in I(x_0)$, moreover $T_{\alpha}(x_0) = (T_{x_0})_{\alpha(x_0)}$ is H-Convex, hence we have $\Gamma_{\{y_i, i \in I(x_0)\}} \subset T_{\alpha}(x_0)$, then $x_0 = \text{hog}(x_0) \in T_{\alpha}(x_0)$, i. e. $T_{x_0}(x_0) \geq \alpha(x_0)$, In particular, when $\bar{\alpha}(x) = \max_{\mu \in D} T_x(\mu)$ such that condition (1), $T_{x_0}(x_0) \geq \bar{\alpha}(x_0) = \max_{\mu \in D} T_{x_0}(\mu)$, then x_0 is a fixed point of T . this completes the proof.

COROLLARY 2. 3. 1 Let $(X, \{\Gamma_{\Lambda}\})$ is an H-Space, D is a nonempty compact subset of X , and H-Convex, $T: D \rightarrow 2^D$ is a set-valued mapping, If $\forall x \in D$ $T(x)$ is nonempty and H-Convex, moreover $\forall y \in D$ there exists a open set $O_y \in T^{-1}(y)$, and $\bigcup_{y \in D} O_y = D$, Then there exists $x_0 \in D$ such that $x_0 \in T(x_0)$.

Proof. We can define mapping $\hat{T}: D \rightarrow F(D)$ as follows: $\forall x \in D$ $\hat{T}_x(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \mu \in T(x) \\ 0 & \mu \notin T(x) \end{cases} \forall \mu \in D, \alpha(x) = 1: D \rightarrow (0, 1]$ the conclusion follows from theorem 2. 3 directly.

COROLLARY 2. 3. 2 ([3]) Let $(X, \{\Gamma_{\Lambda}\})$ is an H-Space, D is a nonempty compact H-Convex subset of X , $T: D \rightarrow 2^D$ is set-valued mapping such that $\forall x \in D$, $T(x)$ is nonempty and H-Convex, $T^{-1}(x)$ is open, Then there exists $x_0 \in D$ such that $x_0 \in T(x_0)$.

COROLLARY 2. 3. 3 (Browder) Let X is a Hausdorff topological vector space, $D \subset X$ is nonempty compact and convex, $T: D \rightarrow 2^D$ is a set-valued mapping such that $\forall x \in X$, $T(x)$ is nonempty convex and $T^{-1}(x)$ is open, Then there exists $x_0 \in D$ such that x_0 is fixed point of T .

Proof. for any finite sub $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset X$, We can define $\Gamma_A = \text{Co}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, then $(X, \{\Gamma_{\Lambda}\})$ is an H-Space, it is easy to deduce that satisfies conditions of corollary 2. 3. 2, therefore the conclusion follows from Corollary 2. 3. 2 directly.

REMARK 2. 3. 4 Corollary 2. 1. 1 is the theorem 1 of [3], Corollary 2. 2. 1 is the schauder's fixed point theorem, corollary 2. 2. 2 is the theorem 2. 11 of [4], corollary 2. 2. 3 is the Brouwer fixed point theorem, they are all the special cases of theorem 2. 1, corollary 2. 3. 2 is the thorem 3 of [3], corollary 2. 3. 3 is the Browder's fixed point theorem, they are all the special cases of theorem 2. 3.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bardaro & R. Ceppitelli, J. Math. Anal. Appl, 132 (1988), 484-490.
- [2] Shih-Sen Chang & Yi-hai Ma, J. Mth. Anal. Appl., 163, No. 2 (1992), 406-421.
- [3] Shih-Sen Chang & Shuwen Xiang, Journal of mathematical research and exposition, Vol. 14 No. 4 (1994), 561-564.
- [4] D. Butnariu, Fuzzy sets and systems 7 (1982) 191-207.
- [5] C. Horvath, in Nonlinear and convex Analysis, Lecture Notes in pure and Appl. Math series, 107 (1987).