

RELATIONS BETWEEN THE QUANTORS AND THE MODAL TYPE OF OPERATORS IN  
INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY LOGICS

Krassimir T. Atanassov

Math. Research Lab. - IPACT, P.O.Box 12, Sofia-1113, BULGARIA

Following the ideas and using the notation from [1-3], we shall introduce the basic relations between the quantors (see [4,5]) and modal type of operators (see [1-3,5,6]) in the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Modal Logic (IFML).

Initially, following [4], we shall define the quantors " $\forall$ " and " $\exists$ ".

Let us assume that the language is without functional symbols (for simplicity of presentation), i.e. atomic formulae are of the kind  $P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , where  $P$  is an  $n$ -ary predicate symbol,  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are  $n$  individual variables. Predicate logic formulae are built up from atomic formulae by means of the propositional operations " $\&$ ", " $\vee$ ", " $\supset$ ", " $\neg$ ", " $\equiv$ " and by application of quantifiers, i.e., if  $A$  is a formula,  $x$  - a variable, then  $\forall xA$  and  $\exists xA$  are formulae.

Truth values of predicate formulae are obtained, if a domain of interpretation  $E$  is fixed, usually called the universe of the interpretation. Atomic formulae get their meaning through interpretation functions  $i$  which assign to each variable  $x$  an element  $i(x) \in E$ . The truth value of a given atomic formula  $P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  under the interpretation function  $i$  is determined by an evaluation function  $V$  which assigns to each  $n$ -ary predicate symbol  $P$  a function  $V(P): E^n \rightarrow [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . The pair  $(E, V)$  is called a model. In this situation we have (for a given  $i$ ):

$$V(P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) = V(P)(i(x_1), i(x_2), \dots, i(x_n)).$$

The evaluation  $V$  can be extended for arbitrary formulae by the inductive clauses for " $\&$ ", " $\vee$ ", " $\supset$ ", " $\neg$ ", " $\equiv$ ".

The definition for the quantifiers is as follows:

$$V(\forall xA) = \langle \min_{a \in E} \mu(A(i(x)=a)), \max_{a \in E} \gamma(A(i(x)=a)) \rangle$$

$$V(\exists xA) = \langle \max_{a \in E} \mu(A(i(x)=a)), \min_{a \in E} \gamma(A(i(x)=a)) \rangle$$

which can be denoted simpler (where "x ranges over E"):

$$V(\forall xA) = \langle \min_x \mu(A), \max_x \gamma(A) \rangle$$

$$V(\exists xA) = \langle \max_x \mu(A), \min_x \gamma(A) \rangle.$$

For the formula A and for the variable x, by analogy with the operations over IF logic (see [7]), it will be convenient to define the following:

$$V(\forall xA) = \forall xV(A)$$

$$V(\exists xA) = \exists xV(A).$$

Predicate IFTs can be defined just as their propositional counterparts: these are the formulae which get the valuation with  $\mu \geq \gamma$  for every model and interpretation. The logical axioms of the theory K (see [8]):

$$A \supset (B \supset A),$$

$$(A \supset (B \supset C)) \supset ((A \supset B) \supset (A \supset C)),$$

$$(\neg A \supset \neg B) \supset ((\neg A \supset B) \supset A)$$

$$\forall xA(x) \supset A(t), \text{ for the fixed variable } t,$$

$$\forall x(A \supset B) \supset (A \supset \forall xB),$$

are IFTs (see [4]). The same is also valid for

$$(\forall xA(x) \supset B) \equiv \exists x(A(x) \supset B),$$

$$\exists xA(x) \supset B \equiv \forall x(A(x) \supset B),$$

$$B \supset \forall xA(x) \equiv \forall x(B \supset A(x)),$$

$$B \supset \exists xA(x) \equiv \exists x(B \supset A(x)),$$

$$(\forall xA \ \& \ \forall xB) \equiv \forall x(A \ \& \ B),$$

$$(\forall xA \ \vee \ \forall xB) \supset \forall x(A \ \vee \ B),$$

$$\neg \forall xA \equiv \exists x \neg A,$$

$$\neg \exists xA \equiv \forall x \neg A,$$

$$\forall x \forall yA \equiv \forall y \forall xA,$$

$$\exists x \exists yA \equiv \exists y \exists xA,$$

$$\exists x \forall yA \supset \forall y \exists xA$$

$$\forall x(A \supset B) \supset (\forall xA \supset \forall xB),$$

The link between the interpretations of quantifiers and the topological operators  $C$  (closure) and  $I$  (interior) defined over IFS [9] is obvious. Thus, the equalities from [10] can be transformed for the IFML. At the result, we can prove the following

**THEOREM 1:** Let  $A$  be a formula and  $x$  be a variable. Then

- (a)  $V(\forall x \Box A) = V(\Box \forall x A)$ ,
- (b)  $V(\exists x \Box A) = V(\Box \exists x A)$ ,
- (c)  $V(\forall x \Diamond A) = V(\Diamond \forall x A)$ ,
- (d)  $V(\exists x \Diamond A) = V(\Diamond \exists x A)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof: (a) } V(\forall x \Box A) &= \langle \min_x \mu(A), \max_x 1 - \mu(A) \rangle \\ &= \langle \min_x \mu(A), 1 - \min_x \mu(A) \rangle = V(\Box \forall x A). \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 2:** Let  $A$  be a formula and  $x$  be a variable. Then

- (a)  $V(\Box \exists x \Box A) = V(\Diamond \exists x \Box A) = V(\Box \forall x \Diamond A) = V(\Diamond \forall x \Diamond A)$
- (b)  $V(\Box \exists x \Diamond A) = V(\Diamond \exists x \Diamond A) = V(\Box \forall x \Box A) = V(\Diamond \forall x \Box A)$
- (c)  $V(\Box \forall x \Box A) = V(\Diamond \forall x \Box A) = V(\Box \exists x \Diamond A) = V(\Diamond \exists x \Diamond A)$
- (d)  $V(\Box \forall x \Diamond A) = V(\Diamond \forall x \Diamond A) = V(\Box \exists x \Box A) = V(\Diamond \exists x \Box A)$
- (e)  $V(\Box \exists x \Diamond A) = V(\Diamond \exists x \Diamond A) = V(\Box \forall x \Box A) = V(\Diamond \forall x \Box A)$
- (f)  $V(\Box \exists x \Box A) = V(\Diamond \exists x \Box A) = V(\Box \forall x \Diamond A) = V(\Diamond \forall x \Diamond A)$
- (g)  $V(\Box \forall x \Box A) = V(\Diamond \forall x \Box A) = V(\Box \exists x \Diamond A) = V(\Diamond \exists x \Diamond A)$
- (h)  $V(\Box \forall x \Diamond A) = V(\Diamond \forall x \Diamond A) = V(\Box \exists x \Box A) = V(\Diamond \exists x \Box A)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof: (a) } V(\Box \exists x \Box A) &= \Box \exists x \Diamond V(A) = \Box \exists x \langle \mu(A), 1 - \mu(A) \rangle \\ &= \Box \langle \max_x \mu(A), \min_x (1 - \mu(A)) \rangle = \langle \max_x \mu(A), 1 - \max_x \mu(A) \rangle; \\ V(\Diamond \exists x \Box A) &= \Diamond \langle \max_x \mu(A), \min_x (1 - \mu(A)) \rangle \\ &= \langle 1 - \min_x (1 - \mu(A)), \min_x (1 - \mu(A)) \rangle = \langle \max_x \mu(A), 1 - \max_x \mu(A) \rangle; \\ V(\Box \forall x \Diamond A) &= \Box \forall x \Diamond \langle \mu(A), \mu(A) \rangle = \Box \forall x \langle 1 - \mu(A), \mu(A) \rangle \\ &= \Box \langle \min_x (1 - \mu(A)), \max_x \mu(A) \rangle = \langle \min_x (1 - \mu(A)), 1 - \min_x (1 - \mu(A)) \rangle \\ &= \langle 1 - \min_x (1 - \mu(A)), \min_x (1 - \mu(A)) \rangle = \langle \max_x \mu(A), 1 - \max_x \mu(A) \rangle; \end{aligned}$$

$$V(\overline{\forall x \phi A}) = \overline{\langle \min_x (1 - \mu(A)), \max_x \mu(A) \rangle} = \langle 1 - \max_x \mu(A), \max_x \mu(A) \rangle \\ = \langle \max_x \mu(A), 1 - \max_x \mu(A) \rangle.$$

$$(e) V(\overline{\exists x \phi A}) = \overline{V(\overline{\exists x \phi A})} = \overline{\langle 1 - \min_x \gamma(A), \min_x \gamma(A) \rangle} \\ = \langle \min_x \gamma(A), 1 - \min_x \gamma(A) \rangle$$

e. t. c.

All other assertions are proved analogically.

Let for a fixed formula A and for a variable x:

$$S(A) = \{ \overline{\exists x \phi A}, \overline{\exists x \phi A}, \overline{\forall x \phi A}, \overline{\forall x \phi A} \},$$

$$T(A) = \{ \overline{\exists x \phi A}, \overline{\exists x \phi A}, \overline{\forall x \phi A}, \overline{\forall x \phi A} \},$$

$$U(A) = \{ \overline{\forall x \phi A}, \overline{\forall x \phi A}, \overline{\exists x \phi A}, \overline{\exists x \phi A} \},$$

$$V(A) = \{ \overline{\forall x \phi A}, \overline{\forall x \phi A}, \overline{\exists x \phi A}, \overline{\exists x \phi A} \},$$

$$W(A) = \{ \overline{\exists x \phi A}, \overline{\exists x \phi A}, \overline{\forall x \phi A}, \overline{\forall x \phi A} \},$$

$$X(A) = \{ \overline{\exists x \phi A}, \overline{\exists x \phi A}, \overline{\forall x \phi A}, \overline{\forall x \phi A} \},$$

$$Y(A) = \{ \overline{\forall x \phi A}, \overline{\forall x \phi A}, \overline{\exists x \phi A}, \overline{\exists x \phi A} \},$$

$$Z(A) = \{ \overline{\forall x \phi A}, \overline{\forall x \phi A}, \overline{\exists x \phi A}, \overline{\exists x \phi A} \}.$$

**THEOREM 3:** Let A be a formula and x be a variable. Then:

- (a) if  $P \in S(A)$  and  $Q \in T(A)$ , then  $V(P) \leq V(\exists x A) \leq V(Q)$ ,
  - (b) if  $P \in U(A)$  and  $Q \in V(A)$ , then  $V(P) \leq V(\forall x A) \leq V(Q)$ ,
  - (c) if  $P \in W(A)$  and  $Q \in X(A)$ , then  $V(P) \leq V(\forall x A) \leq V(Q)$ ,
  - (d) if  $P \in Y(A)$  and  $Q \in Z(A)$ , then  $V(P) \leq V(\exists x A) \leq V(Q)$ ,
- where  $V(X) \leq V(Y)$  for the formulae X and Y iff  $\mu(X) \leq \mu(Y)$  and  $\gamma(X) \geq \gamma(Y)$ .

**THEOREM 4:** Let A be a formula and x be a variable. Then for every  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ :

$$V(\forall x G_{\alpha, \beta}(A)) = V(G_{\alpha, \beta}(\forall x A))$$

**THEOREM 5:** Let A be a formula and x be a variable. Then for every  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ , such that  $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$ :

$$(a) \quad V(\exists x P_{\alpha, \beta}(A)) = V(P_{\alpha, \beta}(\exists x A)),$$

$$(b) \quad V(\forall x Q_{\alpha, \beta}(A)) = V(Q_{\alpha, \beta}(\forall x A)).$$

$$\text{Proof: } (a) \quad V(\exists x P_{\alpha, \beta}(A)) = \exists x \langle \max(\alpha, \mu(A)), \min(\beta, \nu(A)) \rangle$$

$$= \langle \max_x(\max(\alpha, \mu(A))), \min_x(\min(\beta, \nu(A))) \rangle$$

$$= \langle x, \max(\alpha, \max_x \mu(A)), \min(\beta, \min_x \nu(A)) \rangle = V(P_{\alpha, \beta}(\exists x A)).$$

The other relations having the above form between quantors and modal type of operators are not valid.

#### REFERENCES:

- [1] Atanassov K., Some modal type of operators in intuitionistic fuzzy modal logic. Part I, submitted to BUSEFAL.
- [2] Atanassov K., Some modal type of operators in intuitionistic fuzzy modal logic. Part II, submitted to BUSEFAL.
- [3] Atanassov K., Level operators on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, BUSEFAL Vol. 54, 1993, 4-8.
- [4] Atanassov K., Gargov G., Intuitionistic fuzzy logic. Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci., Tome 43, N. 3, 1990, 9-12.
- [5] Gargov G., Atanassov K., Two results in intuitionistic fuzzy logic. Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci., Tome 45, N. 12, 1992, 29-32.
- [6] Atanassov K., Two variants of intuitionistic fuzzy modal logic. Preprint IM-MFAIS-3-89, Sofia, 1989.
- [7] Atanassov K., Two variants of intuitionistic fuzzy propositional calculus. Preprint IM-MFAIS-5-88, Sofia, 1988.
- [8] Mendelson E., Introduction to mathematical logic, Princeton, NJ: D. Van Nostrand, 1964.
- [9] Atanassov K., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and Systems, Vol. 20 (1986), No. 1, 87-96.
- [10] Atanassov, K., More on intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Fuzzy sets and systems, 33, 1989, No. 1, 37-46.