

## A note on the quotient operator in fuzzy relational databases

**Didier Dubois – Henri Prade**

*Institut de Recherche en Informatique de Toulouse*

*Université Paul Sabatier, 118 route de Narbonne*

*31062 Toulouse Cedex – France*

Recently Yager (1991) has proposed an approach based on Ordered Weighted Averages (OWA) to extend the quotient operator to a fuzzy database where each tuple of a relation is weighted by a number between 0 and 1. This number is supposed to be an estimate of the degree of association between the elements of the tuple. Quotient operations aim to find out the sub-tuples of a relation R which are associated with each element of a set S of complementary sub-tuples, as in the example below.

R :	<table style="border-collapse: collapse; width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="padding: 2px 10px;">Name</th> <th style="padding: 2px 10px;">Skill-type</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">Jean</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">I</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">Jean</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">II</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">Jean</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">III</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">Barbara</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">I</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">Barbara</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">II</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">Debbie</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">I</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">Debbie</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">II</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">Debbie</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">III</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">Debbie</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">IV</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">Tina</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">II</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Name	Skill-type	Jean	I	Jean	II	Jean	III	Barbara	I	Barbara	II	Debbie	I	Debbie	II	Debbie	III	Debbie	IV	Tina	II	÷	S :	<table style="border-collapse: collapse; width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="padding: 2px 10px;">Skill-type</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">I</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">II</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">III</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Skill-type	I	II	III	=	<table style="border-collapse: collapse; width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="padding: 2px 10px;">Name</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">Jean</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">Debbie</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Name	Jean	Debbie
Name	Skill-type																																		
Jean	I																																		
Jean	II																																		
Jean	III																																		
Barbara	I																																		
Barbara	II																																		
Debbie	I																																		
Debbie	II																																		
Debbie	III																																		
Debbie	IV																																		
Tina	II																																		
Skill-type																																			
I																																			
II																																			
III																																			
Name																																			
Jean																																			
Debbie																																			

When tuples in R and S are weighted, i.e. when R and S become fuzzy, the natural extension of the quotient operation is given by

$$\mu_{R \div S}(r) = \inf_S \mu_S(s) \rightarrow \mu_R(r,s) \quad (1)$$

where  $\rightarrow$  is a multiple-valued implication connective. If  $\rightarrow$  stands for Gödel implication ( $a \rightarrow b = 1$  if  $a \leq b$ ;  $a \rightarrow b = b$  if  $a > b$ ), it expresses that r should be associated with s at least as much as the extent to which s belongs to S, in order that r be regarded as an element of  $R \div S$  with full membership. In the example, it expresses that all the skill-types required by S

are included in the set of skill-types with which the name  $r$  is associated. (1) is basically the lower image of  $S$  via  $R$  (Dubois and Prade, 1992).

Clearly (1) extends to the case where  $S$  is allowed to be a compound fuzzy set defined on a sub-domain of  $R$ . (1) can be also extended in order to only require that *most* of the elements in  $S$  are included in  $R$  (instead of 'all'); see (Dubois and Prade, 1992). We are then more in the spirit of Yager's OWA-based proposal.

It is also worth noticing that the quotient operation (1) plays a basic role in abductive reasoning (e.g., Dubois and Prade, 1993) where  $R \div S$  is then the set of elements that alone "cause"  $S$  according to the association  $R$ , interpreted in a causal way.

## References

- Dubois D., Prade H. (1992) Upper and lower images of a fuzzy set induced by a fuzzy relation: application to fuzzy inference and diagnosis. *Information Sciences*, 64, 203-232.
- Dubois D., Prade H. (1993) Possibilistic abduction. In: *IPMU'92 — Advanced Methods in Artificial Intelligence (Proc. of the 4th Inter. Conf. on Information Processing and Management of Uncertainty in Knowledge-Based Systems, Palma de Mallorca, Spain, July 1992)* (B. Bouchon-Meunier, L. Valverde, R.R. Yager, eds.), *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Vol. 682, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 3-12.
- Yager R.R. (1991) Fuzzy quotient operators for fuzzy relational data bases. In: *Fuzzy Engineering toward Human Friendly Systems, Vol. 1* (T. Terano, M. Sugeno, M. Mukaidono and K. Shigemasu, eds.) (*Proc. of the Inter. Fuzzy Engineering Symp. (IFES'91), Yokohama, Japan, Nov. 13-15, 1991*), 289-296. Available from IOS Press, Amsterdam.