### ON MARTINGALES IN GENERAL ORDERED SYSTEMS

# BELOSLAV RIEČAN

In the contribution the martingale convergence theorem is proved in general ordered structure including the quantum logics as well as the F-quantum spaces.

# 1. QUASIORTHOCOMPLEMENTED POSETS

We shall assume that there is given a partially ordered set P with a mapping  $a \mapsto a'$  from P to P satisfying the following conditions: a) If  $a \leq b$ , then  $b' \leq a'$ . b)  $(a')' \geq a$  for every  $a \in P$ . c) If  $a_i \in P$  (i = 1, 2, ...) and  $a_i \leq a_{j'}$   $(i \neq j)$ , then there is the supremum  $\forall a_i$ . The set P will be called quasi-orthocomplemented  $\sigma$ -poset.

Recall that this axiom system was used in [1], too.

**Example 1.1.** Every quantum logic [2] satisfies the assumptions. A very special but important case is the logic P of all linear subspaces of a Hilbert space. P is ordered by inclusion and a' is the orthogonal complement of a.

**Example 1.2.** Every F-quantum space satisfies the assumptions stated above. F-quantum space is a set of functions  $f: X \to \langle 0, 1 \rangle$  containing 0, closed under complementation  $f \mapsto 1 - f$  and countable unions  $\bigvee_{n} f_n = \sup_{n} n f_n$ . The notion of

F-quantum space was inspired by Piasecki considerations [3] and it was introduced in [4] and [5]. Recently J. Pykacz [6] suggested from a physical point of view the following modification: F is closed under countably unions of pairwise orthogonal sequences  $(f_n)_n$  (i. e.  $f_n \leq 1 - f_m$  for  $n \neq m$ ). Evidently also this modification satisfies the above assumptions. (For recent development see [7].) Recall that every Gudder's  $q - \sigma$ -algebra of sets [8] is such a generalized F-quantum space, if we identify sets with their characteristic functions.

**Definition 1.1.** An observable is a  $\sigma$ -homomorphism x from the  $\sigma$ -algebra B of Borel subsets of the real line R to P, i.e. such a mapping  $x: B \to P$  that a) x(A') = x(A)' for every  $A \in B$  and b)  $x(\bigcup A_n) = \bigvee x(A_n)$  for every  $A_n \in B$  (n = 1, 2, ...).

If f is a random variable defined on a probability space (X, S, P), then the mapping  $x: B \to S$  assigning to every  $A \in B$  the pre-image  $f^{-1}(A)$ , is an observable. Also every observable in the quantum logic theory and every F-observable are observables in the sense of Definition 1.1.

Our results will be based on the following representation theorems ([1], [2]).

**Theorem 1.1.** If Q is a countably generated sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of P, then there is an observable y such that Q = y(B).

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $y, z: B \to P$  be observables such that  $x(B) \subset y(B)$ . Then there exists a Borel measurable function  $f: R \to R$  such that  $z = y \circ f^{-1}$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A state is a mapping  $m: P \to \langle 0, 1 \rangle$  satisfying the following two conditions: a)  $m(a \lor a') = 1$  for every  $a \in P$ . b)  $m(\lor a_n) = \sum m(a_n)$  for every  $a_n \in P$  such that  $a_n \leq a'_m$   $(n \neq m)$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** If  $x: B \to P$  is an observable and  $m: P \to \langle 0, 1 \rangle$  is a state, then the mapping  $m_x: B \to \langle 0, 1 \rangle$  defined by  $m_x(A) = m(x(A))$  is a probability measure.

**Definition 1.3.** An observable x is called integrable, if there exists  $\int_{R} t \ dm_x(t)$ .

The previous integral will be denoted by  $\int x \ dm$ . Our purpose is to define the indefinite integral  $\int x \ dm$ .

#### CONDITIONAL EXPECTATION

**Definition 2.1.** Let x, y be observables,  $x(B) \subset y(B) = Q, a \in Q$ . Then we define  $x_a : B \to P$  by the formula  $x_a(U) = y(T^{-1}(h^{-1}))$ , where  $h : R_2 \to R$  is defined by h(u, v) = uv and  $T : R \to R_2$  is defined by  $T(u) = (f(u), 1_A(u)), x = y \circ f^{-1}$  and a = y(A).

As a motivation we shall consider the classical case, where y is induced by a random variable g, hence  $y = g^{-1}$ ,  $x = g^{-1} \circ f^{-1} = k^{-1}$ ,  $k = f \circ g$ .

Then 
$$(k \cdot 1_a)^{-1}(U) = ((f \circ g) \cdot (1_A \circ g))^{-1}(U) = ((f \cdot 1_A) \circ g)^{-1}(U) = (h \circ T \circ g)^{-1}(U) = g^{-1} \circ T^{-1} \circ (h^{-1}(U) = y(T^{-1}(h^{-1}(U))).$$

Of course, we must prove the corectness of Definition 2.1.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $x, y, z : B \to P$  be observables and  $f, g : R \to R$  be Borel functions such that  $x = y \circ f^{-1} = z \circ g^{-1}$ . Let  $a \in y(B) = z(B)$  and let a = y(A) = x(C). Put  $T : R_2 \to R$ ,  $S : R_2 \to R$  by  $T(u) = (f(u), 1_A(u))$ ,  $S(u) = (g(u), 1_C(u))$ . Then  $y \circ T^{-1} \circ h^{-1} = z \circ S^{-1} \circ h^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* Put first  $D = h^{-1}(U)$  and assume that  $D = E \times F$ , where  $E, F \in B$ . then

$$\begin{split} y\big(T^{-1}(h^{-1}(U))\big) &= y\big(T^{-1}(D)\big) = y\big(T^{-1}(E\times F) = y(f^{-1}(E)\circ 1_A^{-1}(F)\big) = \\ &= y\big(f^{-1}(E)\big) \wedge y\big(1_A^{-1}(F)\big) \,. \end{split}$$

But  $y(f^{-1}(E)) = x(E) = z(g^{-1}(E))$  by the assumption. We prove that also  $y(1_A^{-1}(F)) = z(1_C^{-1}(F))$ . If e. g.  $0 \notin F$  and  $1 \in F$ , then  $1_A^{-1}(F) = A$ ,  $1_C^{-1}(F) = C$ , hence  $y(1_A^{-1}(F)) = y(A) = a = z(C) = z(1_C^{-1}(F))$ ; similarly also all other cases can be examined. So

$$\begin{split} y\big(T^{-1}(h^{-1}(U))\big) &= y\big(f^{-1}(E)\big) \wedge y\big(1_A^{-1}(F)\big) = \\ &= z\big(g^{-1}(E)\big) \wedge z\big(1_C^{-1}(F)\big) = z\big(S^{-1}(h^{-1}(U))\big) \,. \end{split}$$

Since the family  $K = \{U \in B_2; y(T^{-1}(h^{-1}(U))) = z(S^{-1}(h^{-1}(U)))\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing the family  $\{E \times F; E \in B, F \in B\}, K \supset B_2$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** If x is integrable, then  $x_a$  is integrable, too. Moreover, if we define  $\int x dm = \int x_a$ , then  $\int x dm = \int f dm_y$ .

**Proof.** By the definition and the integral transformation formula (i is the identity map)

$$\int_{R} i \ dm_{x} = \int_{f^{-1}(R)} i \ dm_{y} \circ f^{-1} = \int_{R} f \ dm_{y}.$$

Therefore f is  $m_y$ -integrable, hence  $f \cdot 1_A$  is  $m_y$ -integrable, too.

Moreover

$$\int\limits_A f \ dm_y = \int_R f \cdot 1_A \, dm_y = \int\limits_R i \ dm_y \circ (f \cdot 1_A)^{-1} \, .$$

But

$$y \circ (f \cdot 1_A)^{-1} = y \circ (h(f, 1_A))^{-1} = y \circ T^{-1} \circ h^{-1} = x_a$$

hence

$$m_y \circ (f \cdot 1_A)^{-1} = m \circ y \circ (f \cdot 1_A)^{-1} = m(x_a) = \int_a x \ dm.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $Q_0$ ,  $Q \subset P$  be Boolean sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of P,  $Q_0 \subset Q$ . Let  $x \colon B \to P$  be an observable such that  $x(B) \subset Q$ . Then there exists an observable  $z \colon B \to P$  such that  $z(B) \subset Q_0$  and

$$\int\limits_a z \ dm = \int\limits_a x \ dm$$

for every  $a \in Q_0$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1 there is an  $m_y$ -integrable function  $f: R \to R$  such that

$$\int\limits_A f\ dm_y = \int\limits_a x\ dm$$

for every  $a \in Q$  and every  $A \in B$  such that y(A) = a. Put  $S_0 = \{E \in B; y(E) \in Q_0\}$ . Then  $S_0$  is a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of B. Put  $g = E(f \mid S_0)$ . Then g is  $S_0$ -measurable and

$$\int\limits_A f \, dm_y = \int\limits_A g \, \, dm_y$$

for every  $A \in S_0$ . Put  $z = y \circ g^{-1}$ . Then  $z(B) = z(g^{-1}(B)) \subset z(S_0) = Q_0$ . Futher, if  $a \in Q_0$ , then  $A \in S_0$ , hence

$$\int_a x \ dm = \int_A f \ dm_y = \int_A g \ dm_y.$$

By the integral transformation formula

$$\int\limits_R g \ dm_y = \int\limits_R i \ dm_y \circ g^{-1} = \int\limits_R i \ dm_z \,,$$

since  $m_z(E) = m(z(E)) = m(x(g^{-1}(E))) = m_y(g^{-1}(E))$ . Hence z is integrable,  $z = y \circ g^{-1}$ . Therefore by Theorem 2.1

$$\int_{a} z \ dm = \int_{A} g \ dm_{y}.$$

## 3. MARTINGALE CONVERGENCE THEOREM

The classical convergence theorem says about the convergence almost everywhere. Therefore we must first define this kind of convergence of observables in the general case.

**Definition 3.1.** We say that a sequence  $(x_n)$  of observables converges to 0 m-almost everywhere, if

$$m\left(\bigwedge_{k=1}^{\infty}\bigvee_{n=k}^{\infty}x_{n}(R\setminus(-\varepsilon,\,\varepsilon))\right)=0$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Generally  $(x_n)$  converges to x, if  $(x_n-x)$  converges to 0. Of course, it is necessary to define the difference of observables.

Again we shall follow the classical example. Let x be an observable induced by a Borel function f, i.e.  $x = f^{-1}$ , y be induced by g, i. e.  $y = g^{-1}$ . Put  $h: R_2 \to R$ , h(u,v) = u - v,  $T: X \to R_2$ , T(u) = (f(u), g(u)). Then

$$(f-g)^{-1} = (h(f,g))^{-1} = (h \circ T)^{-1} = T^{-1} \circ h^{-1}$$
.

Here  $T^{-1}: B_2 \to S$  is such a  $\sigma$ -homomorphism, that  $T^{-1}(E \times F) = f^{-1}(E) \wedge g^{-1}(F)$ . So we can generalize.

**Definition 3.2.** Let x, y be observables. We shall say that there exists the difference z = x - y, if there is a  $\sigma$ -homomorphism  $k \colon B_2 \to P$  such that  $k(E \times F) = x(E) \wedge y(F)$ . Then we define  $x - y(C) = k(h^{-1}(C))$ , where  $h \colon R_2 \to R$  is defined by h(u, v) = u - v.

**Definition 3.3.** We say that a sequence  $(x_n)$  of observables converges to an observable x m-almost everywhere, if  $x_n - x$  there exists for every n (sufficiently large) and  $(x_n - x)$  converges to 0 m-a.e.

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $z_1, z_2$  are two observables satisfying the conditions stated in Theorem 2.2, then  $z_1 = z_2$  m-a.e., i.e.  $m((z_1 - z_2)(\{0\})) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z_1 = y \circ g_1^{-1}$ ,  $z_2 = y \circ g_2^{-1}$ . Then  $k = y \circ (g_1, g_2)^{-1}$  satisfies the condition stated in Definition 3.2, hence  $z_1 - z_2 = k \circ h^{-1} = y \circ (g_1, g_2)^{-1} \circ h^{-1} = y \circ (h \circ (g_1, g_2))^{-1} = y \circ (g_1 - g_2)^{-1}$ . Further  $g_1 = g_2 \ m_y$ -a.e., since  $g_1, g_2$  are variants of the same conditional expectation  $E(f \mid S_0)$ . Therefore

$$0 = m_y(\{u; g_1(u) = g_2(u)\}) = m(y((g_1 - g_2)^{-1}(\{0\}')) = m(z_1 - z_2(\{0\})')).$$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(Q_n)$  be a sequence of sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of P such that  $Q_n \subset Q_{n+1} \subset Q$   $(n=1,2,\ldots)$  and Q is countably generated. Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence of integrable observables such that  $x_n(B) \subset Q_n$   $(n=1,2,\ldots)$ . Assume that  $E(x_{n+1} | Q_n) \geq x_n$  for every n and that  $\sup_n \int x_n \, dm < \infty$ . Then there exists an observable x such that  $x_n \to x$  m-a.e.

*Proof.* Let y be an observable such that Q = y(B). Let  $f_n$  be Borel measurable functions such that  $x_n = y \circ f_n^{-1}$ . Finally let  $S_n = \{E \in B; y(E) \in Q_n\}$ . Then  $((f_n, S_n))$  is submartingale with  $\sup \int f_n dm_y \leq \infty$ . Therefore there is an integrable random variable f (defined on the probability space  $(R, B, m_y)$ ) such that  $f_n \to f m_y$ -a.e. Put  $x = y \circ f^{-1}$ . Then  $x_n \to x$  m-a.e.

### REFERENCES

- Kôpka, F. Riečan, B., On representation of observables by Borel measurable functions., In: Proc. of the First Winter School on Measure Theory (Liptovský Ján, January 10 - 15, 1988), 68-71.
- 2. Varadarajan, V. S., Geometry of quantum theory, Van Nostrand, New York (1968).
- 3. Piasecki, K., On the extension of fuzzy P-measure generated by outer measure., In: Proc. 2nd Napoli Meeting on the Mathematics of Fuzzy Systems (1985), 119-135.
- 4. Riečan, B., A new approach to some notions of statistical quantum mechanics, Busefal 35 (1988), 4-6.
- 5. Riečan, B. Dvurečenskij, J., On randomness and fuzziness. In. Progress in Fuzzy Sets in Europe, (Warszaw, 1986), PAN, Warszawa (1988), 321-327.
- 6. Pykacz, J., Quantum logics and soft fuzzy probability spac, Busefal 32 (1987).
- 7. Dvurečenskij, A. Chovanec, F., Fuzzy quantum spaces and compatibility., Int. J. Theor. Phys. 27 (1988), 1069–1082.
- 8. Gudder, S.P., Generalized measure theory., Foundations of Physics 3 (1973), 399-411.

TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, JABLOŇOVÁ 518/1, 031 01 LIPTOVSKÝ MIKULÁŠ, CZECHO-SLOVAKIA