

COMPOSITIONS OF INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY RELATIONS

Darinka Stoyanova - CICTT, P.O.Box 12, Varna-9010

Let E be a fixed set. Following the notations in [1] an IFS A in E is an object having the form:

$$A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle / x \in E \},$$

where functions $\mu_A: E \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\gamma_A: E \rightarrow [0, 1]$ define the degree of membership and the degree of nonmembership of the element $x \in E$ to the set A , which is a subset of E and for every $x \in E$ $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \gamma_A(x) \leq 1$.

An intuitionistic fuzzy relation (IFR) is an intuitionistic fuzzy set on a cartesian product of universes.

Let E_1, E_2 and E_3 be three universes. Let R and P be two intuitionistic fuzzy binary relations on $E_1 \times E_2$ and $E_2 \times E_3$ respectively. Similarly to the composition of fuzzy relations introduced by Zadeh [2] the first and second composition of the IFRs R and P will be noted with Ro_1P and Ro_2P respectively and defined by:

$$Ro_1P = \{ \langle (x,z), \max_{y \in E_2} (\min(\mu_R(x,y), \mu_P(y,z))),$$

$$\min(\max(\gamma_R(x,y), \gamma_P(y,z))) \rangle / x \in E_1 \text{ \& } y \in E_2 \text{ \& } z \in E_3 \}$$

$$Ro_2P = \{ \langle (x,z), \min_{y \in E_2} (\max(\mu_R(x,y), \mu_P(y,z))),$$

$$\max(\min(\gamma_R(x,y), \gamma_P(y,z))) \rangle / x \in E_1 \text{ \& } y \in E_2 \text{ \& } z \in E_3 \}$$

It can be easily checked that Ro_1P and Ro_2P are still IFRs.

Let cR and cP be cylindrical extentions in $E_1 \times E_2 \times E_3$ of IFRs R and P respectively.

Theorem 1. (a) $Ro_1P = (cR \cap cP) \overset{1}{E_1 \times E_3}$

(b) $Ro_2P = (cR \cup cP) \overset{2}{E_2 \times E_3}$

Proof:

For (a):

from

$$\mu_{Ro_1P}(x,z) = \max_{y \in E_2} (\min(\mu_R(x,y), \mu_P(y,z))) =$$

$$= \max_{y \in E_2} (\min(\mu_{cR}(x,y,z), \mu_{cP}(x,y,z))) = \mu_{(cR \cap cP) \overset{1}{E_1 \times E_3}}(x,z)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{R \circ_1 P}(x, z) &= \min_{y \in E_2} (\max(\gamma_R(x, y), \gamma_P(y, z))) = \\ &= \min_{y \in E_2} (\max(\gamma_{cR}(x, y, z), \gamma_{cP}(x, y, z))) = \gamma_{(cR \cap cP)_{E_1 \times E_3}^1}(x, z)\end{aligned}$$

follows $R \circ_1 P = (cR \cap cP)_{E_1 \times E_3}^1$.

(b) is proved analogically.

Let $R_{E_2}^q$ and $P_{E_2}^q$ be q -projections of IFRs R and P respectively over E_2 . The IFR $cR \cap cP$ will be called a connection of IFRs R and P when $R_{E_2}^1 = P_{E_2}^1$. The IFS $cR \cup cP$ will be called a connection of IFRs R and P when $R_{E_2}^2 = P_{E_2}^2$.

Theorem 2. If $cR \cap cP$ is a connection of IFRs R and P :

- (a) $R = (cR \cap cP)_{E_1 \times E_2}^1$ & $P = (cR \cap cP)_{E_2 \times E_3}^1$
- (b) $c(R \circ_1 P) \cap cR$ is a connection of IFRs $R \circ_1 P$ and R
- (c) $c(R \circ_1 P) \cap cP$ is a connection of IFRs $R \circ_1 P$ and P

Proof:

For (a):

from

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{(cR \cap cP)_{E_1 \times E_3}^1}(x, y) &= \max_{z \in E_3} (\min(\mu_{cR}(x, y, z), \mu_{cP}(x, y, z))) = \\ &= \min(\mu_R(x, y), \max_{z \in E_3} \mu_{cP}(x, y, z)) = \min(\mu_R(x, y), \max_{z \in E_3} \mu_P(y, z)) = \\ &= \min(\mu_R(x, y), \max_{x \in E_1} \mu_R(x, y)) = \mu_R(x, y)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{(cR \cap cP)_{E_1 \times E_3}^1}(x, y) &= \min_{z \in E_3} (\max(\gamma_{cR}(x, y, z), \gamma_{cP}(x, y, z))) = \\ &= \max(\gamma_R(x, y), \min_{z \in E_3} \gamma_{cP}(x, y, z)) = \max(\gamma_R(x, y), \min_{z \in E_3} \gamma_P(y, z)) = \\ &= \max(\gamma_R(x, y), \min_{x \in E_1} \gamma_R(x, y)) = \gamma_R(x, y)\end{aligned}$$

follows $R = (cR \cap cP)_{E_1 \times E_2}^1$

Analogically $P = (cR \cap cP)_{E_2 \times E_3}^1$.

For (b):

from

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{(R \circ_1 P)_{E_1}^1}(x) &= \max_{z \in E_3} \mu_{R \circ_1 P}(x, z) = \\ &= \max_{z \in E_3} (\max_{y \in E_2} (\min(\mu_R(x, y), \mu_P(y, z)))) = \\ &= \max_{y \in E_2} (\min(\mu_R(x, y), \max_{z \in E_3} \mu_P(y, z))) =\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \max_{y \in E_2} (\min (\mu_R(x, y), \max_{x \in E_1} \mu_R(x, y))) = \\
&= \max_{y \in E_2} \mu_R(x, y) = \mu_{R_{E_1}}^1(x)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{(R \circ_1 P)_{E_1}}^1(x) &= \min_{z \in E_3} \tau_{R \circ_1 P}(x, z) = \\
&= \min_{z \in E_3} (\min_{y \in E_2} (\max (\tau_R(x, y), \tau_P(y, z)))) = \\
&= \min_{y \in E_2} (\max (\tau_R(x, y), \min_{z \in E_3} \tau_P(y, z))) = \\
&= \min_{y \in E_2} (\max (\tau_R(x, y), \min_{x \in E_1} \tau_R(x, y))) = \\
&= \min_{y \in E_2} \tau_R(x, y) = \tau_{R_{E_1}}^1(x)
\end{aligned}$$

follows $(R \circ_1 P)_{E_1}^1 = R_{E_1}^1$.

(c) is proved analogically.

Theorem 3. If $cRUcP$ is a connection of IFRs R and P :

- (a) $R = (cRUcP)_{E_1 \times E_2}^2$ & $P = (cRUcP)_{E_2 \times E_3}^2$
- (b) $c(R \circ_2 P)UcR$ is a connection of IFRs $R \circ_2 P$ and R .
- (c) $c(R \circ_2 P)UcP$ is a connection of IFRs $R \circ_2 P$ and P .

Proof: Analogically to the theorem 2.

Let E be an universe and IFSSs $A_i \subset E$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let α_i and β_i be such numbers that $\alpha_i \geq 0$ & $\beta_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i + \beta_i) = 1$. The convex combination of IFSSs A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n will be defined by:

$$A = \{ \langle x, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \cdot \mu_{A_i}(x), \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \cdot \tau_{A_i}(x) \rangle / x \in E \}$$

It can be easily checked that A is still an IFS.

REFERENCES

- [1] Atanassov K. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and systems Vol. 20 (1986) No 1, 87-96
- [2] Zadeh L.A. The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning. American Elsevier Publishing Company, New York 1973