

Some properties of fuzzy semi-topological elements

Ma Bao-guo

Department of Mathematics, Yan'an University, Yan'an China

Abstract: In this paper, first the concept of the semi-boundary of an element in a fuzzy topological space is definition, and further investigate some properties of fuzzy semi-boundary element, fuzzy semi-interior element and fuzzy semi-closure element, and its application.

Throughout this paper L will denote a fuzzy lattice. Let $0, 1$ denote the least element and the greatest element in L respectively. M will denote the collection of all molecules in L , therefore we also use $L(M)$ to denote L . Let δ be a topology on L . Then (L, δ) is called a fuzzy topological space, or briefly fts. The elements of δ are called open elements and the elements of δ' are called closed elements. Where $\delta' = \{A' \mid A \in \delta\}$. Let

$$A^{\circ} = \bigvee \{B \in \delta \mid B \leq A\},$$

called the interior of A , and let

$$A^{-} = \bigwedge \{B \in \delta' \mid A \leq B\},$$

called the closure of A .

A element $B \in L$ is called a semi-open element of δ if there exists $O \in \delta$, such that $O \leq B \leq O^{-}$, where O^{-} expresses the closure of O . $FSO(L, \delta)$ will denote the family of all semi-open elements in (L, δ) . If O is open in (L, δ) , then O is semi-open in (L, δ) .

If B is semi-open element of δ then B' are called semi-closed element of δ . $FSC(L, \delta)$ will denote the family of all semi-closed element in (L, δ) .

For each element A in L , let $A_{\circ} = \bigvee \{B \in FSO(L, \delta) \mid B \leq A\}$ called the semi-interior of A , and let $A_{_} = \bigwedge \{B \in FSC(L, \delta) \mid A \leq B\}$ called the semi-closure of A .

Theorem 1 Let (L, δ) be a fts, $A, B \in L$, then

- (1) $A \in FSO(L, \delta)$ iff $A = A_{\circ}$.
- (2) $A \in FSC(L, \delta)$ iff $A = A_{_}$.
- (3) $A_{_}^{-} = A_{_}$, $A_{\circ\circ} = A_{\circ}$.
- (4) if $A \leq B$, then $A_{\circ} \leq B_{\circ}$, $A_{_} \leq B_{_}$.
- (5) $A_{_} \vee B_{_} \leq (A \vee B)_{_}$.

$$(6) (A \wedge B)_- \leq A_- \wedge B_-$$

$$(7) A_0 \vee B_0 \leq (A \vee B)_0$$

$$(8) (A \wedge B)_0 \leq A_0 \wedge B_0$$

Theorem 2 Let (L, δ) be a fts, $A \in L$, then

$$(1) (A_-)^- = (A^-)_- = A^-$$

$$(2) (A_0)^\circ = (A^\circ)_0 = A^\circ$$

Theorem 3 Let (L, δ) be a fts, $A, B \in L$, then

$$(1) \text{ if } A_- = B_- \text{, then } A^- = B^-$$

$$(2) \text{ if } A_0 = B_0 \text{, then } A^\circ = B^\circ$$

The inverse of Theorem 3 is not valid in general.

Theorem 4 Let (L, δ) be a fts, $A, B \in L$, then

$$(1) \text{ if } A \text{ or } B \in \delta' \text{, then } (A \vee B)_- = A_- \vee B_-$$

$$(2) \text{ if } A \text{ or } B \in \delta \text{, then } (A \wedge B)_0 = A_0 \wedge B_0$$

Definition 1 Let (L, δ) be a fts, $A \in L$, and put

$$[A] = \{a \in M \mid a \leq A_- \text{ and } a \leq A_0\}$$

$$A_* = \vee [A]$$

Then the points in $[A]$ are called semi-boundary points of A , and A_* is called the fuzzy semi-boundary of A .

Theorem 5 Let (L, δ) be a fts, $A \in L$, then

$$(1) 0_* = 0, 1_* = 0,$$

$$(2) A_* \leq A_-$$

Proof. By Definition 1 it is clear.

Theorem 6 Let (L, δ) be a fts, $A \in L$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

$$(1) A_* = 0$$

$$(2) A \text{ is an semi-open and semi-closed element.}$$

Proof. The conclusion is obvious.

Theorem 7 Let (L, δ) be a fts, $A \in L$. Then

$$(1) A_- = A_0 \vee A_*$$

$$(2) A_- = A \vee A_*$$

Proof (1) This follows from Definition 1.

$$(2) \text{ By } A_- = A_0 \vee A_* \leq A \vee A_* \leq A_-$$

Theorem 8 Let (L, δ) be a fts, $A \in L$. Then

$$(1) A \text{ is a semi-closed element iff } A_* \leq A$$

$$(2) A \text{ is a semi-open element iff } (A')_* \leq A'$$

Proof (1) suppose $A \in \text{FSC}(L, \delta)$, then $A = A_- = A \vee A_*$, by theorem 7 and hence $A_* \leq A$. conversely, suppose that $A_* \leq A$; then $A_- = A \vee A_* = A$ by theorem 7. Hence A is a closed element.

(2) The conclusion is obvious from (1).

Theorem 9 Let (L, δ) be a fts, $A \in L$. Then

- (1) if A_0 is a semi-closed element, then $A_{00} \leq A_0$.
- (2) $A_0 \leq A_0$,
- (3) $A_{00} \leq A_0$.

Proof. (1) By Theorem 8.

(2) If $A_0 = 0$, then the conclusion is clear. If $A_0 \neq 0$, then for each $a \in [A_0]$, We have $a \leq A_0 \leq A$ and $a \leq A_{00} = A_0$. Hence $a \leq A_0$ and $A_{00} \leq A_0$.

(3) If $A_{00} = 0$, then the conclusion is clear. If $A_{00} \neq 0$, then for each $a \in [A_{00}]$, We have $a \leq A_{00} = A_0$ and $a \leq A_0$, hence $a \leq A_0$ by $a \leq A_{00} = A_0$ and $A_0 \leq A_{00}$. Thus $a \leq A_0$ and $A_{00} \leq A_0$.

Theorem 10 Let (L, δ) be a fts, A, B and $C \in L$. Then

- (1) if $A \leq B$, then $A_0 \leq B \vee B_0$.
- (2) $C_0 = C_{00}$ iff $C_0 \leq C_{00}$.

Proof. (1) It follows that $A_0 \leq A \leq B = B \vee B_0$ from Theorem 5, Theorem 7 and $A \leq B$.

(2) The necessity is clear by Theorem 7. Now suppose that $C_0 = C_{00}$, then $C_0 = C_0 \vee C_0 \leq C_0 \vee C_{00} = C_{00}$ by Theorem 7. Hence $C_0 = C_{00}$.

Definition 2 Let $f: (L_1, \delta_1) \rightarrow (L_2, \delta_2)$ be an order-homomorphism (see [1]), If for each $B \in \text{FSO}(L_2, \delta_2)$, $f^{-1}(B) \in \text{FSO}(L_1, \delta_1)$, then f is said to be irresolute. If for each $A \in \text{FSO}(L_1, \delta_1)$, $f(A) \in \text{FSO}(L_2, \delta_2)$, then f is said to be semi-open. if for each $A \in \text{FSC}(L_1, \delta_1)$, $f(A) \in \text{FSC}(L_2, \delta_2)$, then f is said to be semi-closed.

Theorem 11 Let $f: (L_1, \delta_1) \rightarrow (L_2, \delta_2)$ be an order-homomorphism. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) f is irresolute,
- (2) For each $C \in \text{FSC}(L_2, \delta_2)$, $f^{-1}(C) \in \text{FSC}(L_1, \delta_1)$,
- (3) For each $A \in L_1$, $f(A_0) \leq (f(A))_0$,
- (4) For each $B \in L_2$, $(f^{-1}(B))_0 \leq f^{-1}(B_0)$,
- (5) For each $B \in L_2$, $f^{-1}(B_0) \leq (f^{-1}(B))_0$.

Proof By definition 2 and Theorem 1 it is clear.

Theorem 12 Let $f: (L_1, \delta_1) \rightarrow (L_2, \delta_2)$ be an order-homomorphism. Then the following condition are equivalent:

- (1) f is irresolute,
- (2) For each $A \in L_1$, $f(A_0) \leq (f(A))_0$,
- (3) For each $B \in L_2$, $(f^{-1}(B))_0 \leq f^{-1}(B_0)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) For each $A \in L_1$, it follows that $A_0 \leq A$ by Theorem 5, hence $f(A_0) \leq f(A)$ by (1) and Theorem 11.

(2) \implies (3) By (2) and Theorem 1.1 in [3], We have $f((f^{-1}(B))_o) \leq (ff^{-1}(B))_o \leq B_o$, and hence $(f^{-1}(B))_o \leq f^{-1}(B_o)$

(3) \implies (1) By virtue of theorem 7 and (3) it follows that $(f^{-1}(B))_o = f^{-1}(B_o) \vee (f^{-1}(B))_o \leq f^{-1}(B_o) \vee f^{-1}(B_o) = f^{-1}(B_o)$, for each $B \in L_2$, and hence f is irresolute by theorem 11.

Theorem 13 Let $f: (L_1, \delta_1) \rightarrow (L_2, \delta_2)$ be an order-homomorphism. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) f is semi-open.
- (2) For each $A \in L_1$, $f(A_o) \leq (f(A))_o$.
- (3) For each $A \in L_1$, $f(A_o) = (f(A_o))_o$

Proof (1) \implies (2). For each $A \in L_1$, it following that $f(A_o) \leq f(A)$ and hence $f(A_o) = (f(A_o))_o \leq (f(A))_o$ by (1).

(2) \implies (3) For each $A \in L_1$, we have $f(A_o) = f(A_{oo}) \leq (f(A_o))_o$ by (2), hence $f(A_o) = (f(A_o))_o$.

(3) \implies (1) For each $A \in \text{FSO}(L_1, \delta_1)$, it follows that $f(A) = f(A_o) = (f(A_o))_o \in \text{FSO}(L_2, \delta_2)$ by (3). Thus f is semi-open.

Theorem 14 Let $f: (L_1, \delta_1) \rightarrow (L_2, \delta_2)$ be an order-homomorphism. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) f is semi-closed.
- (2) For each $A \in L_1$, $(f(A))_o \leq f(A_o)$.
- (3) For each $A \in L_1$, $f(A_o) = (f(A_o))_o$.

Proof (1) \implies (2) For each $A \in L_1$, it follows that $(f(A))_o \leq (f(A_o))_o = f(A_o)$ on account of $A \leq A_o$ and (1).

(2) \implies (3) For each $A \in L_1$, we have $(f(A_o))_o \leq f(A_o) = f(A_o)$ by (2) and hence $f(A_o) = (f(A_o))_o$.

(3) \implies (1) For each $A \in \text{FSC}(L_1, \delta_1)$, it follows that $f(A) = f(A_o) = (f(A_o))_o \in \text{FSC}(L_2, \delta_2)$ by (3). Thus f is semi-closed.

References

- [1] Wang Guo-jun. Pointwise topology on completely distributive lattices (1), (2), J. Shaanxi Normal University (Nat. sci. Ed.) (1) (1985) 1-17, (2) (1985) 1-15 (in china)
- [2] Li Hou-yuan. Some propertise of Semi-topological Subsets. J. Math. Res. and Exp. 11(3) (1991) 355-358 (in china)
- [3] K.K. Azad. On fuzzy semi-continuity, fuzzy almost continuity, J. Math. Anal. Appl 82 (1981) 14-32.