

INTRODUCTION AND GENERAL PROPERTIES
OF L - MULTIFUNCTIONS

MARIAN MATŁOKA

Department of Mathematics, University of Economics,
Poznań, Poland.

1. Introduction

The notion of Cartesian product plays an important role in the usual theory of functions and multifunctions. The Cartesian product of two fuzzy subset $A \in I^X$ and $B \in I^Y$ may be defined as the subset $A \times B$ of $X \times Y$ characterized by $(A \times B)(x,y) = \min(A(x), B(y))$. This definition has the inconvenience that when $A \times B$ is known and $A \times B \neq \emptyset$, it is impossible to retrieve again the subsets A and B . The notion of fuzzy Cartesian product (of ordinary sets and of fuzzy sets) which is introduced in paper [1] is free from this inconvenience. The L-multifunctions which we introduce in this paper are the subsets of a special case of Cartesian product and are also free from this inconvenience.

2. Definitions

Let X, Y and Z denote arbitrary but for further considerations fixed reference spaces. Next $P(X)$, $P(Y)$ and $P(Z)$ denote respectively the families of all non - void subsets of X, Y and Z .

D e f i n i t i o n 1. An L-multifunction, $F: X \rightarrow P(Y)$ say, is a subset of the Cartesian product $X \times P(Y) \times I \times I^Y$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) If $(x, B, r, f) \in F$, then $r=0$ implies $B=\emptyset$ and $r=1$ implies $f(y)=1$ for any $y \in B$.
- (ii) If $(x, B, r_1, f_1) \in F$ and $(x, B, r_2, f_2) \in F$, then $r_1 > r_2$ implies $f_1 \supseteq f_2$.
- (iii) If $(x, B, r, f) \in F$ then $\text{supp } f = B$.

Let $\{x, r\}$ denote a fuzzy singleton in X with support x and value r . This fuzzy singleton is now transformed by F to a family of the fuzzy subsets in Y .

D e f i n i t i o n 2. A converse L -multifunction, F^{-1} say, to an L -multifunction $F: X \rightsquigarrow P(Y)$ is a subset of the Cartesian product $Y \times P(X) \times I \times I^X$ satisfying the following condition:

- $(y, A, t, h) \in F^{-1}$ if there exists $(x, B, r, f) \in F$ such that $x \in A$, $y \in B$, $f(y) = t$, $h(x) = r$.

D e f i n i t i o n 3. A composite, $G \circ F: X \rightsquigarrow P(Z)$ say, of two L -multifunctions $F: X \rightsquigarrow P(Y)$ and $G: Y \rightsquigarrow P(Z)$ is an L -multifunction such that

- $(x, C, r, h) \in G \circ F$ iff there exist $(x, B, r, f) \in F$ and $(y, C, t, h) \in G$ such that $y \in B$, $f(y) = t$.

Let X, Y and Z denote the linear spaces.

D e f i n i t i o n 4. An L -multifunction, $F: X \rightsquigarrow P(Y)$ say, is called conical iff for any $(x, B, r, f) \in F$ and for any $\alpha > 0$ $(\alpha x, \alpha B, r, \alpha f) \in F$, where $(\alpha f)(y) = f(1/\alpha y)$ for any $y \in Y$.

D e f i n i t i o n 5. An L-multifunction, $F: X \rightarrow P(Y)$ say is called superadolitive iff for any $(x_1, B_1, r_1, f_1) \in F$ and $(x_2, B_2, r_2, f_2) \in F$ $(x_1+x_2, B_1+B_2, \min(r_1, r_2), f_1+f_2) \in F$, where $(f_1+f_2)(y) = \sup_{y_1+y_2=y} \min(f_1(y_1), f_2(y_2))$ for any $y \in Y$.

3. Some properties of L-multifunctions.

T h e o r e m 1. If an L-multifunction is conical, then its converse L-multifunction is conical too.

P r o o f. As a matter of fact, let F be a conical L-multifunction. Let $(y, A, t, h) \in F^{-1}$. So, taking into account Definition 2. there exists $(x, B, r, f) \in F$ such that $x \in A, y \in B, f(y) = t, h(x) = r$. So, with respect to Definition 4 for any $\alpha > 0$ we have $(\alpha x, \alpha B, r, \alpha f) \in F$. Moreover $\alpha x \in \alpha A, \alpha y \in \alpha B, \alpha f(\alpha y) = f(y) = t, \alpha h(\alpha x) = h(x) = r$. This means that $(\alpha y, \alpha A, t, \alpha h) \in F^{-1}$. So, F^{-1} is a conical L-multifunction.

T h e o r e m 2. If F and G are conical L-multifunctions, then $G \circ F$ is a conical L-multifunction too.

P r o o f. Let $(x, C, r, h) \in G \circ F$. So, taking into account Definition 3 there exist $(x, B, r, f) \in F$ and $(y, C, t, h) \in G$ such that $y \in B, f(y) = t$. F and G are conical L-multifunctions, so for any $\alpha > 0$ we have

$$(\alpha x, \alpha B, r, \alpha f) \in F \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha y, \alpha C, t, \alpha h) \in G.$$

Because $y \in B, f(y) = t$ so $\alpha y \in \alpha B, \alpha f(\alpha y) = f(y) = t$.

This means that

$$(\alpha, x, \alpha C, r, \alpha h) \in G \circ F.$$

T h e o r e m 3. If an L-multifunction is superadolitive then its converse L-multifunction is superadolitive as well.

P r o o f. In point of fact, let an L-multifunction, $F: X \rightarrow P(Y)$ say, satisfy the assumption of the Theorem.

Let

$$(y_1, A_1, t_1, h_1) \in F^{-1} \text{ and } (y_2, A_2, t_2, h_2) \in F^{-1}$$

Then from the Definition 2. it follows that there exist

$$(x_1, B_1, r_1, f_1) \in F \text{ and } (x_2, B_2, r_2, f_2) \in F$$

such that

$$x_1 \in A_1, y_1 \in B_1, x_2 \in A_2, y_2 \in B_2, h_1(x_1) = r_1, h_2(x_2) = r_2,$$

$$f_1(y_1) = t_1, f_2(y_2) = t_2.$$

We can assume that x_1, x_2, f_1 and f_2 are such that

$$h_1(x_1) = \sup_{x \in A_1} h_1(x) = r_1, \quad h_2(x_2) = \sup_{x \in A_2} h_2(x) = r_2$$

and

$$f_1(y_1) = \sup_{y \in B_1} f_1(y) = t_1, \quad f_2(y_2) = \sup_{y \in B_2} f_2(y) = t_2$$

Because F is superadolitive L-multifunction, so

$$(x_1 + x_2, B_1 + B_2, \min(r_1, r_2), f_1 + f_2) \in F.$$

Moreover

$$x_1 + x_2 \in A_1 + A_2, \quad (h_1 + h_2)(x_1 + x_2) = \min(r_1, r_2)$$

and

$$y_1 + y_2 \in B_1 + B_2, \quad (f_1 + f_2)(y_1 + y_2) = \min(t_1, t_2).$$

This means that

$$(y_1+y_2, A_1+\dot{A}_2, \min(t_1, t_2), h_1+h_2) \in F^{-1}.$$

So, F^{-1} is superadditive L-multifunction.

T h e o r e m 4. If F and G are superadditive L-multifunctions then $G \circ F$ is a superdolitive L-multifunction too.

P r o o f. Let $(x_1, C_1, r_1, h_1) \in G \circ F$ and $(x_2, C_2, r_2, h_2) \in G \circ F$. Then, from the Definition 3 it follows that there exist

$$(x_1, B_1, r_1, f_1) \in F, (y_1, C_1, t_1, h_1) \in G$$

such that $y_1 \in B_1$, $f_1(y_1) = t_1$

and there exist

$$(x_2, B_2, r_2, f_2) \in F, (y_2, C_2, t_2, h_2) \in G$$

such that

$$y_2 \in B_2, f_2(y_2) = t_2.$$

We can assume that

$$f_1(y_1) = \sup_{y \in B_1} f_1(y) = t_1$$

and

$$f_2(y_2) = \sup_{y \in B_2} f_2(y) = t_2$$

Because F and G are superadditive L-multifunctions, so

$$(x_1+x_2, B_1+B_2, \min(r_1, r_2), f_1+f_2) \in F$$

and

$$(y_1+y_2, C_1+C_2, \min(t_1, t_2), h_1+h_2) \in G$$

Moreover

$$y_1+y_2 \in B_1+B_2 \quad \text{and} \quad (f_1+f_2)(y_1+y_2) = \min(t_1, t_2).$$

This means that

$$(x_1+x_2, C_1+C_2, \min(r_1, r_2), h_1+h_2) \in \text{GoF},$$

i.e. GoF is superadditive L -multifunction.

D e f i n i t i o n 6. By the graph of an L -multifunction, $F: X \rightarrow P(Y)$ say, it is understood a set W_F of the elements $(x, y, r, t) \in X \times Y \times I \times I$ such that there exist $B \in P(Y)$ and $f \in I^Y$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $y \in B$,
- $t = f(y)$,
- $(x, B, r, f) \in F$.

D e f i n i t i o n 7. Let $\alpha, \beta \in I$. An α, β - cut of W_F , $W_F^{\alpha, \beta}$ in symbol, is a set of the elements $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ such that for $r \succ \alpha$ and $t \succ \beta$ $(x, y, r, t) \in W_F$.

T h e o r e m 5. If $F: X \rightarrow P(Y)$ is a conical L -multifunction then for any α, β from I α, β - cut of W_F is a cone.

P r o o f. Let $(x, y) \in W_F^{\alpha, \beta}$. Then for $r \succ \alpha$ and $t \succ \beta$ $(x, y, r, t) \in W_F$. This means that there exist $B \in P(Y)$ and $f \in I^Y$ such that $y \in B$, $t = f(y)$ and $(x, B, r, f) \in F$. Because F a conical L -multifunction, so for any $\lambda > 0$ $(\lambda x, \lambda B, r, \lambda f) \in F$. Moreover $\lambda y \in \lambda B$, $t = \lambda f(\lambda y) = f(y)$. This means that $(\lambda x, \lambda y, r, t) \in W_F$ and finally $(\lambda x, \lambda y) \in W_F^{\alpha, \beta}$.

T h e o r e m 6. If F is a conical and superadditive L -multifunction then for any α, β from I $W_F^{\alpha, \beta}$ is a convex set.

P r o o f. Let $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in W_F^{\alpha, \beta}$. Then for any $r_1, r_2 \succ \alpha$ and $t_1, t_2 \succ \beta$

$$(x_1, y_1, r_1, t_1) \in W_F, (x_2, y_2, r_2, t_2) \in W_F.$$

This means that there exist $B_1, B_2 \in P(Y)$ and $f_1, f_2 \in I^Y$ such that $y_1 \in B_1, t_1 = f_1(y_1)$,

$$y_2 \in B_2, f_2(y_2) = t_2 \text{ and } (x_1, B_1, r_1, f_1) \in F, (x_2, B_2, r_2, f_2) \in F.$$

Because F is a conical and superadollitive L -multifunction, so for any $\lambda > 0$

$$(\lambda x_1, \lambda B_1, r_1, \lambda f_1) \in F, ((1-\lambda)x_2, (1-\lambda) B_2, r_2, (1-\lambda)f_2) \in F$$

and

$$(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2, \lambda B_1 + (1-\lambda) B_2, \min(r_1, r_2), \lambda f_1 + (1-\lambda)f_2) \in F.$$

This means that

$$(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2, \lambda y_1 + (1-\lambda)y_2, \min(r_1, r_2), \min(t_1, t_2)) \in W_F.$$

Because $\min(r_1, r_2) \geq \alpha$, $\min(t_1, t_2) \geq \beta$ so

$$(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2, \lambda y_1 + (1-\lambda)y_2) \in W_F^{\alpha, \beta},$$

i.e. a set $W_F^{\alpha, \beta}$ is convex.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] K.A.Dib, Nabil L. Youssel, Fuzzy Cartesian product, fuzzy relations and fuzzy functions, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 41 (1991) 299 - 315.