Fuzzy Ideals Generated by Fuzzy Point in Semigroups

Wang Xue-ping, Mo Zhi-wen and Liu Wang-jin Department of Mathematics, Sichuan Normal University, Chengdu, 610066, P. R. China

## Abstract

In this paper, fuzzy left (right) ideals generated by fuzzy point in semigroups are introduced. Some characteristics of them are given and some equivalent conditions of a fuzzy inverse subsemigroup are obtained.

Keywords: Fuzzy ideal generated by fuzzy point, Fuzzy inverse subsemigroup, Fuzzy regular element.

## 1. Introduction

In [2, 3, 4], N. Kuroki introduced a fuzzy subsemigroup, a fuzzy left (right) ideal, a fuzzy ideal etc., [5] discussed a fuzzy regular subsemigroup, a fuzzy completely regular subsemigroup etc. and some characteristics of them are given. In this paper, the definitions of fuzzy left (right) ideals generated by fuzzy point, fuzzy inverse subsemigroups are given. Some characteristics are obtained and we discuss the properties of fuzzy regular elements.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we always suppose that S is a semigroup. For any  $x, y \in S$ ,  $xy \in S$  means  $x \cdot y \in S$ , where " $\cdot$ " is a binary operation in S. Define (see [1], P4)

$$S^{1} = \begin{cases} S & \text{if } 1 \subseteq S, \\ S & \text{if } 1 \text{ otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

A map A from S to the closed interval [0, 1] is called a fuzzy set in S. Let F(S) denote the set of all fuzzy sets in S. For any A,  $B \subseteq F(S)$ ,  $A \subseteq B$  if and only if  $A(x) \leq B(x)$ , in the ordering of [0, 1], for all  $x \in S$ .

Definition 2.1. For A,  $B \in F(S)$ . Then the product  $A \cdot B$  is defined as follows:

follows:  

$$(A \circ B) (x) = \begin{cases} \sup \min \{A(y), B(z)\} & \text{for } y, z \in S, x = yz, \\ 0 & \text{for any } y, z \in S, x \neq yz; \end{cases}$$

for all  $x \in S$ . It is clear that  $A \cdot B \in F(S)$  (cf. [6]). And for

 $C \subseteq F(S)$ ,  $A \circ (B \circ C) = (A \circ B) \circ C$ . If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $A \circ C \subseteq B \circ C$  and  $C \circ A \subseteq C \circ B(cf. [6, 7])$ . A and B are commutative with each other we mean  $A \circ B = B \circ A$ .

Pu and Liu gave the definition of a fuzzy point (cf. [8], Definition 2.1 and Definition 2.2). Clearly that every  $A \subseteq F(S)$ ,

$$A = \bigcup_{X_{\lambda} \in A} x_{\lambda} ,$$

where  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ ,  $x_{\lambda} \subseteq A$  if and only if  $x_{\lambda} \subseteq A$ ,

$$x_{\lambda}(y) = \begin{cases} \lambda & \text{if } y = x, \\ 0 & \text{if } y \neq x; \end{cases}$$

for all  $y \in S$ . For  $x_{\lambda}$ ,  $y_{\mu} \in F(S)$ ,  $x_{\lambda} \subseteq y_{\mu}$  if and only if x = y and  $\lambda \leq \mu$ .

Note. For all  $x \in S$ ,  $A_i \in F(S)$ ,  $i \in I$  (indexing set),

$$(\bigcup_{i} A_{i}) (x) = \bigvee_{i} A_{i} (x) = \sup_{i} A_{i} (x), \quad (\bigcap_{i} A_{i}) (x) = \bigwedge_{i} A_{i} (x) = \inf_{i} A_{i} (x).$$

By [6], the following proposition is obvious.

Proposition 2.2. For any  $x_{\lambda}$ ,  $A \subseteq F(S)$ , then

$$x_{\lambda} \circ A = \bigcup_{X_{\lambda}} x_{\lambda} \circ y_{\mu}$$
,  $A \circ x_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{Y_{\mu}} x_{\lambda}$ .

Definition 2.3.  $A \subseteq F(S)$  is called a fuzzy subsemigroup of S if  $A(xy) \ge \min \{A(x), A(y)\}$  for all x,  $y \in S$ , and is called a fuzzy left (right) ideal of S if  $A(xy) \ge A(y)$  ( $A(xy) \ge A(x)$ ) for all x,  $y \in S$ .  $A \in F(S)$  is called a fuzzy ideal of S if it is both a fuzzy left and a fuzzy right ideal of S.

Proposition 2.4.  $A \subseteq F(S)$  is a fuzzy subsemigroup of S if and only if  $A \cdot A \subseteq A$ .

Proof. See Proposition 1.3 of [6].

In the following, let

 $I_R(S) = \{A \subseteq F(S) \mid A \text{ is a fuzzy right ideal of } S\},$ 

 $I_L(S) = \{A \subseteq F(S) \mid A \text{ is a fuzzy left ideal of } S\}.$ 

Proposition 2.5. Let A be a fuzzy subsemigroup of S, then for  $a_{\lambda} \subseteq A$ ,

$$\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle = \bigcap_{\alpha_{\lambda} \in \beta \in I_{L}(S)} B$$

is the smallest fuzzy left (right) ideal of S containing  $a_{\lambda}$ . Proof. Directly from Definition 2.3.

3. Fuzzy left (right) ideals generated by fuzzy point

In the following, let  $\delta_s$  be the characteristic function of S, then  $\delta_s$  is the greatest fuzzy set in S and a fuzzy subsemigroup of S.

Definition 3.1. Let  $a_{\lambda} \in \delta_s$ , the smallest fuzzy left (right) ideal of S containing  $a_{\lambda}$  is called a fuzzy left (right) ideal of S generated by  $a_{\lambda}$ .

By Proposition 2.5,  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle$  is a fuzzy left (right) ideal of S generated by  $a_{\lambda}$ . We let  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L}$  ( $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{R}$ ) denote the fuzzy left (right) ideal of S generated by  $a_{\lambda}$ . The following two theorems give the construction of  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L}$  ( $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{R}$ ).

Theorem 3.2. Let  $a_{\lambda} \subseteq \delta_s$ , then  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_L = J$  ( $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_R = J$ ), where  $J(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda & \text{if there exists } b \subseteq S^1 \text{ such that } x = ba \quad (x = ab), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$ 

for all  $x \in S$ .

Proof. For any  $x, y \in S$ , if J(y) = 0, then  $J(xy) \ge 0 = J(y)$ ; If  $J(y) \ne 0$ , then by the defining way of J, we have  $J(y) = \lambda$  and there exists  $b \in S^1$  such that y = ba. Since  $S^1$  is a semigroup,  $xb \in S^1$ . Then  $J(xy) = J(x(ba)) = J((xb)a) = \lambda \ge J(y)$ , that is J is a fuzzy left ideal of S. Since  $a = 1 \cdot a$ , thus  $J(a) = \lambda$ ,  $a_{\lambda} \in J$ . Let I be a fuzzy left ideal of S containing  $a_{\lambda}$ , then  $J(a) \ge \lambda$ . For all  $x \in S$ , if J(x) = 0, then  $J(x) \ge 0 = J(x)$ ; Otherwise  $J(x) = \lambda$  and there exists  $b \in S^1$  such that x = ba. If b = 1, then J(x) = J(x) = J(x). So J(x) = J(x) = J(x) = J(x). Hence J(x) = J(x) = J(x) = J(x) = J(x) = J(x). So J(x) = J(x) = J(x) = J(x) = J(x).

In the same manner we can prove the right case.

Theorem 3.3. Let  $a_{\lambda} \subseteq \delta_s$ , then

$$\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L} = a_{\lambda} \bigcup \delta_{s} \circ a_{\lambda} \quad (\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{R} = a_{\lambda} \bigcup a_{\lambda} \circ \delta_{s}).$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.2,

$$\delta_{s} \circ a_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{\mathbf{x}_{\mu}} \mathbf{x}_{\mu} \circ a_{\lambda}.$$

For any  $x_{\mu} \circ a_{\lambda} \in \delta_{s} \circ a_{\lambda}$ ,  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L}(xa) \geqslant \langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L}(a)$  since  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L}$  is a fuzzy left ideal of S. But  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L}(a) \geqslant_{\lambda}$ , so  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L}(xa) \geqslant_{\lambda}$ , thus  $(xa)_{\lambda} \in \langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L}$ . Since

 $x_{\mu} \circ a_{\lambda} = (xa)_{\inf\{\lambda, \mu\}} \subseteq (xa)_{\lambda} \in \langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L},$ that is  $x_{\mu} \circ a_{\lambda} \in \langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L}$ . So

$$\delta_{s} \circ a_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{\chi_{\mu} \in G} x_{\mu} \circ a_{\lambda} \subseteq \langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L},$$

that is  $a_{\lambda} \cup \delta_{s} \circ a_{\lambda} \subseteq \langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L}$ . On the other hand, let  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L} = \bigcup_{\chi_{\mu} \in \langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L}} x_{\mu}$ ,

then for any  $x_{\mu} \in \langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L}$ , we have  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L}(x) \geqslant \mu > 0$ . So by Theorem 3.2,  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L}(x) = \lambda$  and there exists  $b \in S^{1}$  such that x = ba. If b = 1, then x = a and  $x_{\mu} = a_{\mu} \subseteq a_{\lambda} \subseteq a_{\lambda} \cup \delta_{s} \circ a_{\lambda}$  since  $\lambda \geqslant \mu$ . If  $b \neq 1$ , then  $b \in S$ 

and  $a_{\mu} \subseteq a_{\lambda}$ . So  $\delta_{s} \circ a_{\mu} \subseteq \delta_{s} \circ a_{\lambda}$ . Then  $b_{\mu} \in \delta_{s}$  and  $x_{\mu} = b_{\mu} \circ a_{\mu} \in \delta_{s} \circ a_{\mu} \subseteq \delta_{s} \circ a_{\lambda} \subseteq a_{\lambda} \cup \delta_{s} \circ a_{\lambda}$ , that is  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L} \subseteq a_{\lambda} \cup \delta_{s} \circ a_{\lambda}$ . This follows that  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L} = a_{\lambda} \cup \delta_{s} \circ a_{\lambda}$ .

Similarly we can prove  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{R} = a_{\lambda} \cup a_{\lambda} \cdot \delta_{S}$ .

Definition 3.4. Let  $a_{\lambda} \subset \delta_s$ , the smallest fuzzy ideal of S containing  $a_{\lambda}$  is called a fuzzy ideal of S generated by  $a_{\lambda}$ . Denoted by  $a_{\lambda}$ .

Theorem 3.5. Let  $a_{\lambda} \subseteq \delta_s$ , then  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle = J$ , where

$$J(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda & \text{if there exist b, c} \in S^1 \text{ such that } x = bac, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

for all  $x \leftarrow S$ .

Theorem 3.6. Let  $a_{\lambda} \subseteq \delta_s$ , then

$$\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle = a_{\lambda} \cup a_{\lambda} \circ \delta_{s} \cup \delta_{s} \circ a_{\lambda} \cup \delta_{s} \circ a_{\lambda} \circ \delta_{s}$$
.

The proofs of Theorem 3.5, 3.6, similar to Theorem 3.2, 3.3 respectively, are omitted.

4. Fuzzy regular elements and fuzzy inverse subsemigroups

Proposition 4.1. Let A be a fuzzy subsemigroup of S, then for any  $a_{\lambda} \subseteq A$ ,  $a_{\lambda} \circ A \subseteq A$ ,  $A \circ a_{\lambda} \subseteq A$ .

Proof. Using Proposition 2.2 and 2.4.

Proposition 4.2. Let A be a fuzzy subsemigroup of S, then for any  $a_{\lambda} \subseteq A$ ,  $A \circ a_{\lambda} \subseteq \langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L}$   $(a_{\lambda} \circ A \subseteq \langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{R})$ .

Proof. By  $A \subseteq \delta_s$  and Theorem 3.3.

Definition 4.3. Let  $A \subseteq F(S)$ , for  $x_{\lambda} \subseteq A$ ,  $x_{\lambda}$  is called a fuzzy regular element of A, or simply regular, if and only if there exists  $y_{\lambda} \subseteq A$  such that  $x_{\lambda} = x_{\lambda} \circ y_{\lambda} \circ x_{\lambda}$ .

It is convenient to set  $A \cdot A = A^2$  in the following.

Proposition 4.4. Let A be a fuzzy subsemigroup of S and  $a_{\lambda}$  a fuzzy regular element of A, then

- $(1) \quad a_{\lambda} \subseteq a_{\lambda} \circ A \subseteq a_{\lambda} \circ A^{2} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq a_{\lambda} \circ A^{n} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq A;$
- (2)  $a_{\lambda} \circ A = a_{\lambda} \circ A^{2}$ .

Proof. Since  $a_{\lambda}$  is a fuzzy regular element of A, there exists  $y_{\lambda} \subseteq A$  such that  $a_{\lambda} \cdot y_{\lambda} \cdot a_{\lambda} = a_{\lambda}$ , then a = aya,

$$(a_{\lambda^{\circ}} A) (a) = \sup_{\alpha = uv} \min \{a_{\lambda}(u), A(v)\} \geqslant \min \{a_{\lambda}(a), A(ya)\}.$$

Since A is a fuzzy subsemigroup of S, we have  $A(ya) \geqslant \min \{A(a), A(y) \} \geqslant \min \{\lambda, \lambda\} = \lambda$ . Then  $(a_{\lambda} \circ A)(a) \geqslant \lambda$ , that is  $a_{\lambda} \sqsubseteq a_{\lambda} \circ A$ . Hence  $a_{\lambda} \sqsubseteq a_{\lambda} \circ A \sqsubseteq a_{\lambda} \circ A^{2} \sqsubseteq \cdots \sqsubseteq a_{\lambda} \circ A^{n} \sqsubseteq \cdots$ .

By Proposition 24 and 4.1,

$$a_{\lambda} \subseteq a_{\lambda} \circ A \subseteq \cdots \subseteq a_{\lambda} \circ A^{n} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq A.$$

Again using Proposition 2.4,  $A^2 \subseteq A$ , so  $a_\lambda \circ A = a_{\lambda^0} A^2$ .

Proposition 4.5. Let A be a fuzzy subsemigroup of S and  $a_{\lambda}$  a fuzzy regular element of A. Then

(1) 
$$a_{\lambda} \subseteq A \circ a_{\lambda} \subseteq A^{2} \circ a_{\lambda} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq A^{n} \circ a_{\lambda} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq A$$
;

(2) 
$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{\lambda} = \mathbf{A}^2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_{\lambda}$$
.

Proof. Similar to Proposition 4.4.

Remark. The example below shows that generally:

- (1) In Theorem 3.3, a fuzzy set A  $(\neq \delta_s)$  in S can not be instead of  $\delta_s$  even if  $a_{\lambda}$  is a fuzzy regular element.
- (2) For  $a_{\lambda} \subseteq A \ (\neq \delta_s)$ ,  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_L \not\subseteq A \ (\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_R \not\subseteq A)$ . Example. Let  $S = \{x, y\}$ , the binary operation on S is defined as follows:

Evidently S is a semigroup with respect to the binary operation as above. Let  $A = x_{\alpha 7}$ , then  $A \subseteq F(S)$ . Since

$$A(xx) = A(x) \ge \min \{A(x), A(x)\},$$
  
 $A(xy) = A(y) \ge \min \{A(x), A(y)\},$   
 $A(yx) = A(xy),$   
 $A(yy) = A(y) \ge \min \{A(y), A(y)\}.$ 

So A is a fuzzy subsemigroup of S. Obviously  $a_{\lambda} = x_{0.5} \subset A$  and  $a_{\lambda}$  is a fuzzy regular element of A since  $x_{0.5} \circ x_{0.5} \circ x_{0.5} = (xxx)_{0.5} = x_{0.5}$ . By Proposition 4.5,

$$a_{\lambda} \bigcup A \circ a_{\lambda} = A \circ a_{\lambda} = x_{07} \circ x_{05} = x_{05}$$

then 
$$(a_{\lambda} \bigcup A \cdot a_{\lambda})$$
  $(y) = 0$ . Since  $x = xx$ ,  $y = yx$ , then by Theorem 3.2,  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_L(x) = 0.5$ ,  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_L(y) = 0.5$ .

Hence  $a_{\lambda} \bigcup A \circ a_{\lambda} \neq \langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L}$ . Let  $B \subseteq F(S)$  hold  $a_{\lambda} \subseteq B \subseteq A$ , then B can not be a fuzzy left ideal of S for ever, this follows  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_{L} \not\subseteq A$ . Indeed, for  $x, y \subseteq S$ ,

$$B(yx) = B(y) \le A(y) = 0$$
, but  $B(x) \ge a_{\lambda}(x) = 0.5$ .

That is B(yx) < B(x), B is not a fuzzy left ideal of S.

Definition 4.6. Let  $A \subseteq F(S)$ , for  $e_{\lambda} \subseteq A$ ,  $e_{\lambda}$  is called a fuzzy idempotent element of A if and only if  $e_{\lambda} \circ e_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda}$ .

Proposition 4.7. Let A be a fuzzy subsemigroup of S and  $a_{\lambda}$  is a fuzzy regular element of A. Then there exist fuzzy idempotent elements  $e_{\lambda}$ ,  $f_{\mu} \subseteq A$ 

such that:

- (1)  $a_{\lambda} \cup A \cdot a_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda} \cup A \cdot e_{\lambda};$
- (2)  $a_{\lambda} \cup a_{\lambda} \circ A = f_{\mu} \cup f_{\mu} \circ A$ .

Proof. Obviously a fuzzy idempotent element is also a fuzzy regular element. So by Proposition 4.5, we only need to prove there exists a fuzzy idempotent element  $e_{\lambda} \subseteq A$  such that  $A \circ a_{\lambda} = A \circ e_{\lambda}$ . Firstly, since  $a_{\lambda}$  is a fuzzy regular element of A, there exists  $x_{\lambda} \subseteq A$  such that  $a_{\lambda} \circ x_{\lambda} \circ a_{\lambda} = a_{\lambda}$ . Let  $e_{\lambda} = x_{\lambda} \circ a_{\lambda}$ , then

$$e_{\lambda} \circ e_{\lambda} = (x_{\lambda} \circ a_{\lambda}) \circ (x_{\lambda} \circ a_{\lambda}) = x_{\lambda} \circ (a_{\lambda} \circ x_{\lambda} \circ a_{\lambda}) = e_{\lambda},$$

that is  $e_{\lambda}$  is a fuzzy idempotent element of A. And  $a_{\lambda} \cdot e_{\lambda} = a_{\lambda} \cdot x_{\lambda} \cdot a_{\lambda} = a_{\lambda}$ . By Proposition 2.2, let

$$A \circ a_{\lambda} = \bigcup y_{\mu} \circ a_{\lambda}, A \circ e_{\lambda} = \bigcup y_{\mu} \circ e_{\lambda}.$$

$$y_{\mu} \in A$$

$$y_{\mu} \in A$$

For any  $y_{\mu} \circ a_{\lambda} \subseteq A \circ a_{\lambda}$ ,  $y_{\mu} \circ a_{\lambda} = y_{\mu} \circ (a_{\lambda} \circ e_{\lambda}) = (y_{\mu} \circ a_{\lambda}) \circ e_{\lambda}$ , thus  $y_{\mu} \circ a_{\lambda} \subseteq A \circ e_{\lambda}$  since  $y_{\mu} \circ a_{\lambda} \subseteq A$ , so  $A \circ a_{\lambda} \subseteq A \circ e_{\lambda}$ . On the other hand, for any  $y_{\mu} \circ e_{\lambda} \subseteq A \circ e_{\lambda}$ ,  $y_{\mu} \circ e_{\lambda} = y_{\mu} \circ (x_{\lambda} \circ a_{\lambda}) = (y_{\mu} \circ x_{\lambda}) \circ a_{\lambda}$ , thus  $y_{\mu} \circ e_{\lambda} \subseteq A \circ a_{\lambda}$  since  $y_{\mu} \circ x_{\lambda} \subseteq A$ , so  $A \circ e_{\lambda} \subseteq A \circ a_{\lambda}$ . Consequently,  $A \circ a_{\lambda} = A \circ e_{\lambda}$ . Similarly we can prove (2).

Proposition 4.8. Let A be a fuzzy subsemigroup of S and  $a_{\lambda} \subseteq A$ , where S is a finite semigroup. Then  $a_{\lambda}$  is a fuzzy regular element of A if and only if there exists a fuzzy idempotent element  $e_{\lambda} \subseteq A$  such that  $a_{\lambda} \cup A \circ a_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda} \cup A \circ e_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda} \cup A \circ e_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda} \cup A \circ A = e_{\lambda} \cup e_{\lambda} \circ A$ .

Proof. By Proposition 4.7 we only need to prove the sufficiency. Suppose there exists a fuzzy idempotent  $e_{\lambda} \subseteq A$  such that  $a_{\lambda} \cup A \circ a_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda} \cup A \circ e_{\lambda}$ . Since  $a_{\lambda} \subseteq a_{\lambda} \cup A \circ a_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda} \cup A \circ e_{\lambda}$ ,  $a_{\lambda} \neq e_{\lambda}$ , then  $a_{\lambda} \subseteq A \circ e_{\lambda}$ , that is  $(A \circ e_{\lambda})$  (a)  $\geqslant \lambda$ . Thus

$$(A \circ e_{\lambda})$$
 (a) =  $\sup \min \{A(x), e_{\lambda}(y)\} = \sup \min \{A(x), \lambda\}$ .  
 $\alpha = xy$ 

Since S is finite, we have  $(A \cdot e_{\lambda})$  (a) =  $\max_{\alpha = xe} \min \{A(x), \lambda\}$ .

Then there exists  $x \in S$  such that a = xe and min  $\{A(x), \lambda\} \geqslant \lambda$ , thus A  $(x) \geqslant \lambda$ , that is  $x_{\lambda} \in A$ . Similarly, by  $e_{\lambda} \in a_{\lambda} \cup A \cdot a_{\lambda}$ ,  $a_{\lambda} \neq e_{\lambda}$ , we can prove there exists  $y \in S$  such that e = ya and  $y_{\lambda} \in A$ . This follows that

$$a_{\lambda} \cdot y_{\lambda} \cdot a_{\lambda} = a_{\lambda} \cdot e_{\lambda} = (x_{\lambda} \cdot e_{\lambda}) \cdot e_{\lambda} = x_{\lambda} \cdot (e_{\lambda} \cdot e_{\lambda}) = x_{\lambda} \cdot e_{\lambda} = a_{\lambda}.$$

That is  $a_{\lambda}$  is a fuzzy regular element of A.

Similarly we can verify the other case.

Theorem 4.9. For  $a_{\lambda} \subseteq \delta_{s}$ ,  $a_{\lambda}$  is a fuzzy regular element of  $\delta_{s}$  if and only if there exists a fuzzy idempotent element  $e_{\lambda} \subseteq \delta_{s}$  such that

$$a_{\lambda} \cup \delta_{s} \circ a_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda} \cup \delta_{s} \circ e_{\lambda} \quad (a_{\lambda} \cup a_{\lambda} \circ \delta_{s} = e_{\lambda} \cup e_{\lambda} \circ \delta_{s}).$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.7, we only need to prove the sufficiency. Assume

there exists a fuzzy idempotent element  $e_{\lambda}$  of  $\delta_s$  such that  $a_{\lambda}U\delta_s \circ a_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda} \cup \delta_s \circ e_{\lambda}$ . From Theorem 3.3 we have  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_L = \langle e_{\lambda} \rangle_L$ . Since  $a_{\lambda} \in \langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_L = \langle e_{\lambda} \rangle_L$ , then  $\langle e_{\lambda} \rangle_L (a) \geqslant \lambda$ . From Theorem 3.2,  $\langle e_{\lambda} \rangle_L (a) = \lambda$  and there exists  $x \in S^1$  such that a = xe. Similarly from  $e_{\lambda} \in \langle e_{\lambda} \rangle_L = \langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_L$ , we can prove there exists  $y \in S^1$  such that e = ya. If x = 1 or y = 1, then a = e and  $a_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda}$  is a fuzzy regular element of  $\delta_s$ ; If  $x \neq 1$  and  $y \neq 1$ , then x,  $y \in S$ ,  $a_{\lambda} \circ y_{\lambda} \circ a_{\lambda} = a_{\lambda} \circ e_{\lambda} = (x_{\lambda} \circ e_{\lambda}) \circ e_{\lambda} = x_{\lambda} \circ (e_{\lambda} \circ e_{\lambda}) = x_{\lambda} \circ e_{\lambda} = a_{\lambda}$  while  $y_{\lambda} \in \delta_s$ . Hence  $a_{\lambda}$  is a fuzzy regular element of  $\delta_s$ .

Definition 4.10. Let A be a fuzzy subsemigroup of S. For  $x_{\lambda}$ ,  $y_{\mu} \in A$ ,  $x_{\lambda}$  and  $y_{\mu}$  are called inverses of each other if  $x_{\lambda} = x_{\lambda} \circ y_{\mu} \circ x_{\lambda}$  and  $y_{\mu} = y_{\mu} \circ x_{\lambda} \circ y_{\mu}$ . We also call  $y_{\mu}$  an inverse of  $x_{\lambda}$  and  $x_{\lambda}$  an inverse of  $y_{\mu}$ .

By Definition 4.10, it is easy to see that  $\lambda = \mu$  and the following proposition is obvious.

Proposition 4.11. Let A be a fuzzy subsemigroup of S. If  $a_{\lambda}$  is a fuzzy regular element of A, then  $a_{\lambda}$  has at least one inverse in A.

Proposition 4.12. If  $e_{\lambda}$ ,  $f_{\mu}$ ,  $e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu}$ ,  $f_{\mu} \circ e_{\lambda}$  are all fuzzy idempotent elements of a fuzzy subsemigroup of S, then  $e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu}$  and  $f_{\mu} \circ e_{\lambda}$  are inverses of each other.

The proof is immediate.

Definition 4.13. A fuzzy subsemigroup A of S is called a fuzzy regular subsemigroup if every element of A is regular.

It is easy to verify that Definition 4.13 is equivalent to Definition 2.1 of [5].

Definition 4.14. A fuzzy subsemigroup A of S is called a fuzzy inverse subsemigroup if and only if every element of A has a unique inverse in A. Proposition 4.15. If A is a fuzzy regular subsemigroup of S, and any two fuzzy idempotent elements of A compared with a selements of A.

fuzzy idempotent elements of A commute with each other, then for any  $a_{\lambda} \in A$ , there exist fuzzy idempotent elements  $e_{\lambda} \in A$  and  $f_{\mu} \in A$  such that  $a_{\lambda} \cup A \circ a_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda} \cup A \circ e_{\lambda}$  and  $a_{\lambda} \cup a_{\lambda} \circ A = f_{\mu} \cup f_{\mu} \circ A$ , respectively. And  $e_{\lambda}$  and  $f_{\mu}$  are both unique.

Proof. By Proposition 4.7, there exists a fuzzy idempotent element  $e_{\lambda} \subseteq A$  such that  $a_{\lambda} \cup A \circ a_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda} \cup A \circ e_{\lambda}$ . Assume there exists another fuzzy idempotent element  $e_{\lambda}^{*} \subseteq A$  holds  $a_{\lambda} \cup A \circ a_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda}^{*} \cup A \circ e_{\lambda}^{*}$ . Then  $e_{\lambda}^{*} \cup A \circ e_{\lambda}^{*} = e_{\lambda} \cup A \circ e_{\lambda}^{*}$ . By Proposition 4.5,  $e_{\lambda}^{*} \subseteq A \circ e_{\lambda}^{*}$ ,  $e_{\lambda} \subseteq A \circ e_{\lambda}^{*}$ , then  $A \circ e_{\lambda}^{*} = A \circ e_{\lambda}$ . From  $e_{\lambda}^{*} \subseteq A \circ e_{\lambda}$  we have  $(A \circ e_{\lambda})$   $(e^{*}) \geqslant \lambda$ , that is

$$(A \cdot e_{\lambda}) (e^{\bullet}) = \sup_{e^{\bullet} = \pi y} \min \{A(x), e_{\lambda}(y)\} \geqslant \lambda.$$

Thus there exist x, y  $\subseteq$  S such that  $e^* = xy$ ,  $A(x) \neq 0$  and  $e_{\lambda}(y) = \lambda$ . Then y = e, hence  $e^* = xe$ ,  $e^*_{\lambda} = x_{\lambda} \circ e_{\lambda} = x_{\lambda} \circ (e_{\lambda} \circ e_{\lambda}) = (x_{\lambda} \circ e_{\lambda}) \circ e_{\lambda} = e^*_{\lambda} \circ e_{\lambda}$ . Similarly from  $e_{\lambda} \subseteq A \circ e^*_{\lambda}$ , we can prove  $e_{\lambda} \circ e^*_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda}$ . By hypothesis  $e_{\lambda} \cdot e^{*}_{\lambda} = e^{*}_{\lambda} \cdot e_{\lambda}$ , and hence  $e_{\lambda} = e^{*}_{\lambda}$ . Similarly we can prove the fuzzy idempotent element  $f_{\mu}$  of A satisfying  $a_{\lambda} \cup a_{\lambda} \cdot A = f_{\mu} \cup f_{\mu} \cdot A$  is unique.

Proposition 4.16. If A is a fuzzy inverse subsemigroup of S, then A is a fuzzy regular subsemigroup, and any two fuzzy idempotent elements of A commute with each other.

Proof. Evidently A is a fuzzy regular subsemigroup of S. Let  $e_{\lambda}$  and  $f_{\mu}$  be any two fuzzy idempotent elements of A. Since  $e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu} \subseteq A$ , let  $a_{\nu}$  be the unique inverse of  $e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu}$ . Then

$$(e_{\lambda}\circ f_{\mu})\circ a_{\nu}\circ (e_{\lambda}\circ f_{\mu})=e_{\lambda}\circ f_{\mu},\quad a_{\nu}\circ (e_{\lambda}\circ f_{\mu})\circ a_{\nu}=a_{\nu}.$$
 Let  $b_{u}=a_{\nu}\circ e_{\lambda},\quad$  then

$$(e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu}) \circ b_{u} \circ (e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu}) = e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu} \circ a_{v} \circ e_{\lambda} \circ e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu}$$

$$= e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu} \circ a_{v} \circ e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu}$$

$$= e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu},$$

$$b_{u} \circ (e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu}) \circ b_{u} = a_{v} \circ e_{\lambda} \circ (e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu}) \circ a_{v} \circ e_{\lambda}$$

$$= a_{v} \circ e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu} \circ a_{v} \circ e_{\lambda}$$

$$= a_{v} \circ e_{\lambda}$$

$$= a_{v} \circ e_{\lambda}$$

$$= b_{u}.$$

Hence  $b_u$  is also an inverse of  $e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu}$ . By the uniqueness of  $a_v$ , we have  $a_v \circ e_{\lambda} = b_u = a_v$ . Similarly we can show  $f_{\mu} \circ a_v = a_v$ . Hence  $a_v \circ a_v = (a_v \circ e_{\lambda}) \circ (f_{\mu} \circ a_v) = a_v \circ (e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu}) \circ a_v = a_v$ .

That is  $a_{\nu}$  is a fuzzy idempotent element of A. But a fuzzy idempotent element of A is an inverse of itself, and, again using the uniqueness of  $a_{\nu}$ , we conclude that  $a_{\nu} = e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu}$ . Hence  $e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu}$  is a fuzzy idempotent element of A. By the foregoing,  $f_{\mu} \circ e_{\lambda}$  are also a fuzzy idempotent of A. By Proposition 4.12,  $e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu}$  and  $f_{\mu} \circ e_{\lambda}$  are inverses of each other. Thus  $e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu}$  and  $f_{\mu} \circ e_{\lambda}$  are both inverses of  $e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu}$ , and so  $e_{\lambda} \circ f_{\mu} = f_{\mu} \circ e_{\lambda}$ .

Theorem 4.17. The following three conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\delta_s$  is a fuzzy regular subsemigroup, and any two fuzzy idempotent elements of  $\delta_s$  commute with each other;
- (ii) For any  $a_{\lambda} \subset \delta_s$ , there exist fuzzy idempotents  $e_{\lambda}$ ,  $f_{\mu} \subset \delta_s$  such that  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_L = \langle e_{\lambda} \rangle_L$ ,  $\langle a_{\lambda} \rangle_R = \langle f_{\mu} \rangle_R$ . And  $e_{\lambda}$  and  $f_{\mu}$  are both unique;
  - (iii)  $\delta_s$  is a fuzzy inverse subsemigroup.

Proof. By Proposition 4.15 and 4.16, we only need to show that (ii) implies (iii). By Theorem 4.9, every  $a_{\lambda} \subset \delta_s$  is a fuzzy regular element of  $\delta_s$ . Therefore in the following, we prove every  $a_{\lambda} \subset \delta_s$  has a unique fuzzy inverse in  $\delta_s$ . Let  $x_{\lambda}$ ,  $y_{\lambda}$  are both inverses of  $a_{\lambda}$ . Then

$$a_{\lambda} \circ x_{\lambda} \circ a_{\lambda} = a_{\lambda}, \quad x_{\lambda} \circ a_{\lambda} \circ x_{\lambda} = x_{\lambda},$$
  
 $a_{\lambda} \circ y_{\lambda} \circ a_{\lambda} = a_{\lambda}, \quad y_{\lambda} \circ a_{\lambda} \circ y_{\lambda} = y_{\lambda}.$ 

By Proposition 4.1. For any  $b_{\lambda} \in \delta_s$ ,  $b_{\lambda} \circ \delta_s \subseteq \delta_s$ . Hence

$$a_{\lambda} \circ x_{\lambda} \circ \delta_{s} = a_{\lambda} \circ (x_{\lambda} \circ \delta_{s}) \subseteq a_{\lambda} \circ \delta_{s}$$

 $a_{\lambda} \circ \delta_s = (a_{\lambda} \circ x_{\lambda} \circ a_{\lambda}) \circ \delta_s = (a_{\lambda} \circ x_{\lambda}) \circ (a_{\lambda} \circ \delta_s) \sqsubseteq a_{\lambda} \circ x_{\lambda} \circ \delta_s$ . Therefore  $a_{\lambda} \circ x_{\lambda} \circ \delta_s = a_{\lambda} \circ \delta_s$ . Similarly we have  $a_{\lambda} \circ y_{\lambda} \circ \delta_s = a_{\lambda} \circ \delta_s$ . Then  $a_{\lambda} \circ x_{\lambda} \circ \delta_s = a_{\lambda} \circ y_{\lambda} \circ \delta_s$ . Hence  $a_{\lambda} \circ x_{\lambda} \cup a_{\lambda} \circ x_{\lambda} \circ \delta_s = a_{\lambda} \circ y_{\lambda} \cup a_{\lambda} \circ y_{\lambda} \circ \delta_s$ . Hence  $a_{\lambda} \circ x_{\lambda} \cup a_{\lambda} \circ x_{\lambda} \circ \delta_s = a_{\lambda} \circ y_{\lambda} \cup a_{\lambda} \circ y_{\lambda} \circ \delta_s$ , that is  $a_{\lambda} \circ x_{\lambda} = a_{\lambda} \circ y_{\lambda} \circ \delta_s = a_{\lambda} \circ y_{\lambda} \circ \delta_s$ . By (ii),  $a_{\lambda} \circ x_{\lambda} = a_{\lambda} \circ y_{\lambda} \circ \delta_s \circ \delta_s = a_{\lambda} \circ y_{\lambda} \circ \delta_s \circ \delta_s$ 

Proposition 4.18. If S is a finite semigroup, then the following three conditions are equivalent:

- (i) A is a fuzzy regular subsemigroup of S, and any two fuzzy idempotent elements of A commute with each other;
- (ii) For any  $a_{\lambda} \subseteq A$ , there exist fuzzy idempotent elements  $e_{\lambda} \subseteq A$  and  $f_{\mu} \subseteq A$  such that  $a_{\lambda} \cup A \circ a_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda} \cup A \circ e_{\lambda}$  and  $a_{\lambda} \cup a_{\lambda} \circ A = f_{\mu} \cup f_{\mu} \circ A$ , respectively. And  $e_{\lambda}$  and  $f_{\mu}$  are both unique;
- (iii) A is a fuzzy inverse subsemigroup of S. Proof. Using Proposition 4.8 and the proof way similar to Theorem 4.17, we can prove Proposition 4.18.

## References

- 1. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, Vol. I. Math. Surveys No. 7 (Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1961).
- 2. N. Kuroki, Fuzzy bi-ideals in semigroups, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul., 28 (1980) 17--21.
- 3. N. Kuroki, On fuzzy ideals and fuzzy bi-ideals in semigroups, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 5 (1981) 203--215.
- 4. N. Kuroki, Fuzzy semiprime ideals in semigroups, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 8 (1982) 71--79.
- 5. Jizhong Shen, On fuzzy regular subsemigroups of a semigroup, Inform. Sci., 51 (1990) 111--120.
- 6. Wang—jin Liu, Fuzzy invariant subgroups and fuzzy ideals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 8 (1982) 133—139.
- 7. Wang-jin Liu, Operations on fuzzy ideals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 11 (1983) 31--41.
- 8. P. M. Pu and Y. M. Liu, Fuzzy topology I, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 76 (1980) 571-599.
- 9. Wang Xue-ping and Liu Wang-jin, Fuzzy regular subsemigroups in semigroups, to appear.