

THE F-INTEGRAL OF F-FUNCTIONS AND R-N THEOREM OF F-NUMBER MEASURES

Li Lushu

Department of Mathematics, Huaiyin Teachers' College

Jiangsu Province, P.R.China

The purpose of this paper is to define the f-integral of F-functions and investigate the relation between this F-integral and the F-number measures. The Radon-Nikodym theorem of F-number measures is also proved.

Keywords: F-function, F-number measure, F-integral, set-valued function, set-valued measure, Aumann's integral.

1. Preliminaries

Let X be a nonempty set; R^m the m -dimensional Euclidean space; $P(R^m)$, $C(R^m)$, $K(R^m)$, $CoP(R^m)$, $CoK(R^m)$ and $F(R^m)$ the family of all subsets, closed subsets, compact subsets, convex subsets, compact convex subsets and fuzzy subsets of R^m , respectively. Moreover, the classical measures mentioned in this paper are non-atomic measures.

Definition 1.1.^[1] Let (X, A) be a measurable space. A set-valued mapping $\Pi: A \rightarrow P(R^m) - \{\emptyset\}$ is called a set-valued measure, if $v \{A_j\} \subseteq A$ and $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset (i \neq j) \Rightarrow \Pi(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Pi(A_n) \triangleq \{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n, r_n \in \Pi(A_n), n \geq 1, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|r_n\| < \infty \}$. If $\Pi(A) \in K(R^m) (CoP(R^m), CoK(R^m))$, $v A \in A$, then Π is called compact (convex, compact convex) set-valued measure.

Definition 1.2.^[3] A fuzzy number on R^m is a fuzzy subset $\mu \in F(R^m)$ with the property: $\mu_\alpha \triangleq \{r \in R^m: \mu(r) \geq \alpha\} \subseteq CoK(R^m) - \{\emptyset\}$ for $v \alpha \in (0, 1]$. We denote $F^*(R^m)$ by the family of all fuzzy numbers on R^m .

For $v r \in R^m$ and $v u_n \in F^*(R^m) (n \geq 1)$, let $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n)(r) \triangleq \sup_{n \geq 1} \{ \inf_{n \geq 1} \mu_n(r_n) : r = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n, r_n \in R^m, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|r_n\| < \infty \}$.

Definition 1.3.^[3] Let (X, \mathbf{A}) be a measurable space. A F -valued mapping $F: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^*(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is called a F -number measure, if $\forall \{A_i\} \in \mathbf{A}$ and $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ ($\forall i \neq j$) $\implies F(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F(A_n)$.

Definition 1.4.^[4] Let (X, \mathbf{A}) be a measurable space. A set-valued function $\tau: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is said to be measurable, if $\tau^{-1}(C) \in \mathbf{A}$ for $\forall C \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Definition 1.5.^[2] Let (X, \mathbf{A}, ν) be a finite measure space, $\tau: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ a set-valued function. For $\forall A \in \mathbf{A}$, if $\nu(A) > 0$, then the Aumann's integral of τ over A is defined as

$$(A) \int_A \tau d\nu \triangleq \left\{ \int_A g d\nu : g \in S(\tau) \right\}$$

Where $S(\tau) \triangleq \{g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \text{ is integrable with respect to } \nu, \text{ and } g(x) \in \tau(x) \text{ } \nu\text{-a.e.}\}$. If $(A) \int_A \tau d\nu \neq \emptyset$ then τ is called A -integrable over A . If there exists a integrable (with respect to ν) function $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|r\| \leq h(x)$ for $\forall x \in A, \forall r \in \tau(x)$, then τ is said to be integrably bounded on A .

Proposition 1.1.^[2] Let $\tau: X \rightarrow \text{CoP}(\mathbb{R}^m) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ be a closed convex measurable set-valued function. If τ is integrably bounded with respect to a finite measure ν , then $(A) \int_A \tau d\nu \in \text{CoK}(\mathbb{R}^m) - \{\emptyset\}$, $A \in \mathbf{A}$.

2. F -measurable F -functions and F -integrals

Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ be a F -function. For $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1]$, let $f_\alpha(x) \triangleq [f(x)]_\alpha = \{r \in \mathbb{R}^m : [f(x)](r) \geq \alpha\}$, $\forall x \in X$; $[f^{-1}(u)]_\alpha = f_\alpha^{-1}(u_\alpha) \triangleq \{x \in X : f_\alpha(x) \cap u_\alpha \neq \emptyset\}$, $\forall u \in \mathbf{F}(\mathbb{R}^m)$. Then $f_\alpha: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is a set-valued function, and $f^{-1}: \mathbf{F}(\mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(X)$. Where $f^{-1}(u) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in (0, 1]} \alpha \cdot [f^{-1}(u)]_\alpha$ and $\mathbf{F}(X)$ is the family of all fuzzy subsets of X .

Definition 2.1. Let \mathbf{A} be a σ -algebra on X and \mathbf{B}_m the Borel algebra on \mathbb{R}^m . A F -function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is said to be F -measurable, if $f^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_m) \subseteq \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$.

Where $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} \cong \{\mu \in \mathbf{F}(X) : \mu^{-1}(B_1 \cap [0, 1]) \subseteq \mathbf{A}\}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_m \cong \{\mu \in \mathbf{F}(R^m) : \mu^{-1}(B_1 \cap [0, 1]) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_m\}$ are the fuzzy σ -algebras induced from \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B}_m , respectively, in the sense of [5], [6].

Theorem 2.1. A \mathbf{F} -function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(R^m)$ is \mathbf{F} -measurable iff the set-valued function $f_\alpha: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(R^m)$ is measurable, $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Proof. Suppose f is \mathbf{F} -measurable, then $f^{-1}(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_m) \subseteq \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$. For $\forall C \in \mathcal{C}(R^m)$, we have $C \subseteq \mathbf{B}_m$ and $1_C \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_m$. Thus $f^{-1}(1_C) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$, and consequently, $[f^{-1}(1_C)]_\alpha = \{x \in X : f_\alpha(x) \cap (1_C)_\alpha \neq \emptyset\} = \{x \in X : f_\alpha(x) \cap C \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathbf{A}$. Hence f_α is measurable, $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Conversely, suppose f is measurable. Then $\mu_\alpha \in \mathbf{B}_m$ for $\forall \mu \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_m$, and consequently, μ_α is the countable intersections or unions or complements of elements in $\mathcal{C}(R^m)$. Since \mathbf{A} is a σ -algebra on X , we have $[f^{-1}(\mu)]_\alpha = f_\alpha^{-1}(\mu_\alpha) = \{x \in X : f_\alpha(x) \cap \mu_\alpha \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathbf{A}$ and $f^{-1}(\mu) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in (0, 1]} \alpha [f^{-1}(\mu)]_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$. Hence $f^{-1}(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_m) \subseteq \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$. This means that f is \mathbf{F} -measurable. The proof is finished.

Definition 2.2. Let (X, \mathbf{A}, ν) be a finite measure space, $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(R^m)$ a \mathbf{F} -function. For $\forall A \in \mathbf{A}$, if $\nu(A) \neq 0$, the \mathbf{F} -integral of f over A is a fuzzy subsets $(\mathbf{F}) \int_A f d\nu \in \mathbf{F}(R^m)$ defined by

$$((\mathbf{F}) \int_A f d\nu)(r) = \sup\{\alpha : r \in (A) \int_A f_\alpha d\nu, \alpha \in [0, 1]\} \quad \forall r \in R^m.$$

Since $r \in (A) \int_A f_0 d\nu = (A) \int_A R^m d\nu$ for $\forall r \in R^m$, and $(A) \int_A f_\beta d\nu \subseteq (A) \int_A f_\alpha d\nu$ for $\forall A \in \mathbf{A}$ and $\forall 0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$, we know that $(\mathbf{F}) \int_A f d\nu$ is well defined.

Theorem 2.2. $((\mathbf{F}) \int_A f d\nu)_\alpha = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} ((A) \int_A f_\beta d\nu) = (A) \int_A f_\alpha d\nu, \quad \forall \alpha \in (0, 1]$

Proof. Straightforward.

Theorem 2.3. Suppose $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^*(R^m)$ is \mathbf{F} -measurable and f_α is integrably bounded on A for $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1]$. Then $(\mathbf{F}) \int_A f d\nu \in \mathbf{F}^*(R^m)$, $A \in \mathbf{A}$.

Proof. By theorem 2.1, we know that $f: X \rightarrow \text{CoK}(R^m) - \{\emptyset\}$ is measurable for $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1]$. Therefore it follows from proposition 1.1 and theorem 2.2

that $((\mathbf{F}) \int_A f d\nu)_\alpha = (A) \int_A f_\alpha d\nu \in \text{CoK}(R^m) - \{\emptyset\}$. Hence $(\mathbf{F}) \int_A f d\nu \in \mathbf{F}^*(R^m)$, $A \in \mathbf{A}$.

Definition 2.3. A F-function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is said to be bounded on A ($A \subseteq X$), if $\bigcup_{x \in A} \text{supp} f(x) = \bigcup_{x \in A} \{r \in \mathbb{R}^m, (f(x))(r) > 0\}$ is bounded on \mathbb{R}^m .

Theorem 2.4. Let (X, \mathbf{A}, ν) be a finite measure space and $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ a bounded convex F-function. If f_α is closed and \mathbf{A} -integrable on \mathbf{A} , then the F-valued mapping $F: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ defined by

$$F(A) = (F) \int_A f d\nu, \quad A \in \mathbf{A}$$

is a F-number measure on (X, \mathbf{A}) .

Proof. For $\nu \in (0, 1]$, let $\pi_\alpha(A) = (A) \int_A f d\nu$ ($A \in \mathbf{A}$). Then π_α is a set-valued measure on (X, \mathbf{A}) ^[1], and π_α is bounded convex. We proceed to prove that $\pi_\alpha(A)$ is compact for $\forall A \in \mathbf{A}$. In fact, suppose $r_n = \int_A g_n d\nu$ ($g_n \in S(f)$), $n \geq 1$, and $r_n \rightarrow r$. Then (cf.[2]) there exists a subsequence $\{g_{nk}\}$ of $\{g_n\}$ and a subsequence $\{h_{nk_i}\}$ of $\{h_{nk}\}$, such that $g_{nk} \xrightarrow{W} g$ and $h_{nk_i} \rightarrow g$ ν -a.e. Where $\{h_{nk}\}$ is the convex combination sequence of $\{g_{nk}\}$. Since f_α is closed convex, we get that $h_{nk} \in f_\alpha$ ν -a.e, consequently, $g \in f_\alpha$ ν -a.e. and $\int_A g d\nu = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_A g_{nk} d\nu = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_{nk} = r$. Thus $r \in (A) \int_A f_\alpha d\nu$. This means that $(A) \int_A f_\alpha d\nu \in \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is compact. Therefore π_α is a bounded compact convex set-valued measure.

Moreover, $\pi_\beta(A) \subseteq \pi_\alpha(A)$ whenever $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$. Hence $F(A)(r) = ((F) \int_A f d\nu)(r) = \sup\{\alpha : r \in \pi_\alpha(A)\}$ is a F-number measure on (X, \mathbf{A}) ^[3].

3. Radon-Nikodym Theorem of F-number Measures

Definition 3.1. Let (X, \mathbf{A}, ν) be a finite measure space, $F: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^*(\mathbb{R}^m)$ a fuzzy number measure on (X, \mathbf{A}) . If there exists a F-function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $F(A) = \int_A f d\nu$ ($\forall A \in \mathbf{A}$), then we call f the Radon-Nikodym derivative of F with respect to ν .

Definition 3.2. Let (X, \mathbf{A}, ν) be a finite measure space, $\pi(F)$ a set-valued (F-number) measure on (X, \mathbf{A}) . $\pi(F)$ is said to be absolutely

continuous with respect to ν , written as $\pi \ll \nu$ ($F \ll \nu$), if $\nu(A) = 0 \implies \pi(A) = \{0\}$ ($F(A) = 1_{\{0\}}$).

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, \mathbf{A}, ν) be a finite measure space and F a F -number measure on (X, \mathbf{A}) . If $F \ll \nu$, then there exists a F -measurable R - N derivative of F .

Proof. For $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1]$, $\pi_\alpha(A) \triangleq \{r \in R^m : F(A)(r) \geq \alpha\}$, ($A \in \mathbf{A}$), is a compact convex set-valued measure^[3]. $F \ll \nu$ means that if $\nu(A) = 0$ then $F(A) = 1_{\{0\}}$.

Thus $\pi_\alpha(A) = \{r \in R^m : F(A)(r) \geq \alpha\} = \{0\}$, i.e. $\pi_\alpha \ll \nu$. Hence^[2] there exists a F -measurable compact convex set-valued function π_α such that

$\pi_\alpha(A) = (A) \int_A \pi_\alpha d\nu$ ($A \in \mathbf{A}$) and $\pi_\alpha(x) \subseteq \pi_\beta(x)$ whenever $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$. Let $f = \bigcup_{\alpha \in (0, 1]} \alpha \cdot \pi_\alpha$, then $f: X \rightarrow F^*(R^m)$ is F -measurable and $F(A)(r) = \sup\{\alpha : r \in \pi_\alpha(A)\} = \sup\{\alpha : r \in (A) \int_A \pi_\alpha d\nu\} = ((F) \int_A f d\nu)(r)$, $\forall r \in R^m$.

i.e. $F(A) = (F) \int_A f d\nu$, $\forall A \in \mathbf{A}$. Therefore f is a F -measurable R - N derivative of F . We finish the proof of theorem 3.1.

References:

- [1]. Z.V. Artstein, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 165(1972) 103-125.
- [2]. R.J. Aumann, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 12(1965) 1-12.
- [3]. Zhang Wenxiu, Kexue Tongbao 23 (1986) pp.1833.
- [4]. Zhang Wenxiu, J. Xi'an Jiaotong University 13(1986) 1-9.
- [5]. E.P. Klement, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 4(1980) 83-93.
- [6]. Song Fengxi, Fuzzy Systems and Mathematics, vol.2 No.2 (1988) 77-82.
- [7]. Hou Renen, Fuzzy Systems and Mathematics, vol.3 No.1 (1989) 10-19.
- [8]. Wang Wenping, J. Sichuan Normal University 1(1990) 103-106.