

# FUZZY CONVEX FUNCTIONS: A RUDIMENTARY THEORY AND ITS USE IN FUZZY-VALUED INEQUALITIES

ZHOU Feiyue

Department of Mathematics, Xiangtan Teachers' College,  
Hunan, China

This paper intends to establish a rudimentary convexity theory for the fuzzy-valued functions of a fuzzy variable. Some operations on fuzzy convex functions are investigated and the convexity of fuzzy extension functions of ordinary convex functions is checked. Moreover, two fuzzy-valued inequalities have been proved by using this fuzzy convex function theory.

Keywords: Compact normal convex fuzzy set, Fuzzy convex region, Fuzzy number, Fuzzy convex function, Fuzzy-valued inequality.

## 1. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we shall denote by  $\mathbf{R}$  the set of all real numbers, by  $\mathbf{R}^+$  the set of all positive real numbers and by  $\mathbf{R}^-$  the set of all negative real numbers. If  $\tilde{a}$  is a fuzzy set on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $\tilde{a}(x)$  will denote the grade of membership of  $x$  in  $\tilde{a}$ ,  $S(\tilde{a})$  the support of  $\tilde{a}$  and for each  $r \in ]0, 1]$   $[\tilde{a}]_r$  the  $r$ -level set of  $\tilde{a}$ :  $[\tilde{a}]_r = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \tilde{a}(x) \geq r\}$ .

If  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}$  are two fuzzy sets on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\lambda$  a real number, as special cases of Zadeh's extension principle [14] we define  $\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}$  and  $\lambda \tilde{a}$  as

$$(\tilde{a} + \tilde{b})(x) = \sup_{y+z=x} \tilde{a}(y) \wedge \tilde{b}(z) \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda \tilde{a})(x) = \begin{cases} \tilde{a}(\frac{1}{\lambda}x), & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0, \\ \tilde{a}_a(x), & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

respectively, where  $\theta$  is the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $a = \sup\{\tilde{a}(x): x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  and  $\tilde{\theta}_a$  is the fuzzy set defined by

$$\tilde{\theta}_a(x) = \begin{cases} a, & \text{if } x = \theta, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \neq \theta. \end{cases}$$

It is well known ( see Nguyen's theory [9] ) that for each  $r \in ]0,1[$  we have

$$[\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}]_r = [\tilde{a}]_r + [\tilde{b}]_r \quad \text{and} \quad [\lambda\tilde{a}]_r = \lambda[\tilde{a}]_r, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $[\tilde{a}]_r + [\tilde{b}]_r = \{x+y: x \in [\tilde{a}]_r, y \in [\tilde{b}]_r\}$  and  $\lambda[\tilde{a}]_r = \{\lambda x: x \in [\tilde{a}]_r\}$ .

Let  $\tilde{a}$  be a fuzzy set on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Following Zadeh [13] and Weiss [12] we shall call  $\tilde{a}$  a compact normal convex fuzzy set if for each  $r \in ]0,1[$   $[\tilde{a}]_r$  is a non-empty compact convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

We shall denote by  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^n}$  the family of all compact normal convex fuzzy sets on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and, for each non-empty ordinary convex subset  $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , by  $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$  the family of all compact normal convex fuzzy sets with supports contained in  $\mathbf{C}$ :  $\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \{\tilde{a} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}^n}: S(\tilde{a}) \subset \mathbf{C}\}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a non-empty ordinary convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A non-empty subset  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$  is called a fuzzy convex region on  $\mathbf{C}$  if and only if for all  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$  we have  $\lambda\tilde{a} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{b} \in \mathcal{D}$ .

Note that every singleton of  $\mathbf{C}$  can also be regarded as an element of  $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$ , so that each non-empty ordinary convex subset of  $\mathbf{C}$  is a fuzzy convex region on  $\mathbf{C}$ . Further note that, for a fuzzy set  $\tilde{a} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}^n}$ ,  $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathbf{C}}$  if and only if  $[\tilde{a}]_r \subset \mathbf{C}$  for all  $r \in ]0,1[$ , thus it follows from (1.1) that for any  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{\mathbf{C}}$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$  we have  $\lambda\tilde{a} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{b} \in \tilde{\mathbf{C}}$ , hence  $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$  itself is a fuzzy convex region on  $\mathbf{C}$ .

Now let us recall some knowledge about fuzzy numbers. We shall only consider the class of all compact normal convex fuzzy numbers. Namely, a fuzzy set  $\tilde{a}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is called a fuzzy number if and only if for every  $r \in ]0,1[$   $[\tilde{a}]_r$  is a non-empty bounded closed interval. Thus, in our

case,  $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$  is the set of all fuzzy numbers,  $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^+$  ( resp.  $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^-$  ) is the set of all positive ( resp. negative ) fuzzy numbers.

For the arithmetic operations on  $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$  we shall follow those of [1,2,7 and 8], namely, for  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{\mathbb{R}}$  we have

$$(\tilde{a} + \tilde{b})(x) = \sup\{\tilde{a}(y) \wedge \tilde{b}(z) : y \in S(\tilde{a}), z \in S(\tilde{b}), y + z = x\},$$

$$(\tilde{a} - \tilde{b})(x) = \sup\{\tilde{a}(y) \wedge \tilde{b}(z) : y \in S(\tilde{a}), z \in S(\tilde{b}), y - z = x\},$$

$$(\tilde{a} \cdot \tilde{b})(x) = \sup\{\tilde{a}(y) \wedge \tilde{b}(z) : y \in S(\tilde{a}), z \in S(\tilde{b}), y \cdot z = x\},$$

and if  $0 \in S(\tilde{b})$ ,

$$(\tilde{a}/\tilde{b})(x) = \sup\{\tilde{a}(y) \wedge \tilde{b}(z) : y \in S(\tilde{a}), z \in S(\tilde{b}), y/z = x\},$$

where we admit  $\sup \emptyset = 0$  for the empty set  $\emptyset$ .

It is known that both addition and multiplication are associative and commutative. Furthermore, one can easily check that for any  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\lambda(\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}) = \lambda\tilde{a} + \lambda\tilde{b}. \quad (1.2)$$

and for any  $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ , if both  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$  or both  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^-$ , we have

$$(\alpha + \beta)\tilde{a} = \alpha\tilde{a} + \beta\tilde{a}. \quad (1.3)$$

We shall also use the following proposition which was given by Dubois and Prade [1,2]:

**Proposition 1.1.** Provided that  $\tilde{a}$  is either a positive or a negative fuzzy number and that  $\tilde{b}$  and  $\tilde{c}$  are together positive or negative fuzzy numbers, then

$$\tilde{a} \cdot (\tilde{b} + \tilde{c}) = \tilde{a} \cdot \tilde{b} + \tilde{a} \cdot \tilde{c}.$$

In order to define fuzzy convex functions, we have to use an inequality relation between fuzzy numbers. The following definition is very popular ( see, for examples, [3-7] and [10] ):

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ . Then  $\tilde{a} \leq \tilde{b}$ , or write  $\tilde{b} \geq \tilde{a}$ , if and only if for all  $r \in ]0, 1]$  we have  $\inf[\tilde{a}]_r \leq \inf[\tilde{b}]_r$  and  $\sup[\tilde{a}]_r \leq \sup[\tilde{b}]_r$ .

For the properties of  $\leq$ , we give the following proposition which will be used in the sequel.

**Proposition 1.2.** Let  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}, \tilde{d} \in \tilde{\mathbf{R}}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ .

- (1). If  $\tilde{a} \leq \tilde{b}$  and  $\tilde{b} \leq \tilde{c}$ , then  $\tilde{a} \leq \tilde{c}$ .
- (2). If  $\tilde{a} \leq \tilde{b}$  and  $\tilde{c} \leq \tilde{d}$ , then  $\tilde{a} + \tilde{c} \leq \tilde{b} + \tilde{d}$ .
- (3). If  $\tilde{a} \leq \tilde{b}$ , then for  $\lambda \geq 0$  we have  $\lambda \tilde{a} \leq \lambda \tilde{b}$  and for  $\lambda \leq 0$   $\lambda \tilde{a} \geq \lambda \tilde{b}$ .
- (4). If  $\tilde{a} \leq \tilde{b}$  and  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^+ \cup \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^-$ , then for  $\tilde{c} \in \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^+$  we have  $\tilde{c} \cdot \tilde{a} \leq \tilde{c} \cdot \tilde{b}$  and for  $\tilde{c} \in \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^-$   $\tilde{c} \cdot \tilde{a} \geq \tilde{c} \cdot \tilde{b}$ .

Finally we conclude this preliminary section with a definition:

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $\underline{\mathbf{E}}$  be a subset of  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}$ . A mapping  $f$  from  $\underline{\mathbf{E}}$  to  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}$  is said to be non-decreasing on  $\underline{\mathbf{E}}$  if and only if for all  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \underline{\mathbf{E}}$  such that  $\tilde{a} \leq \tilde{b}$  we have  $f(\tilde{a}) \leq f(\tilde{b})$ .

## 2. Fuzzy convex functions

Throughout this section,  $\underline{\mathbf{D}}$  will always denote a fuzzy convex region on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A mapping  $f$  from  $\underline{\mathbf{D}}$  to  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}$  is called a fuzzy convex function, or say that  $f$  is F-convex on  $\underline{\mathbf{D}}$ , if and only if for all  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \underline{\mathbf{D}}$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$  we have

$$f(\lambda \tilde{a} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{b}) \leq \lambda f(\tilde{a}) + (1-\lambda)f(\tilde{b}). \quad (2.1)$$

**Definition 2.2.** A mapping  $f$  from  $\underline{\mathbf{D}}$  to  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}$  is called a fuzzy concave function, or say that  $f$  is F-concave on  $\underline{\mathbf{D}}$ , if and only if for all  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \underline{\mathbf{D}}$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$  the inverse inequality of (2.1) holds.

It is easy to see from (1.2) and Proposition 1.2 (3) that a mapping  $f$  from  $\underline{\mathbf{D}}$  to  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}$  is F-concave iff  $-f$  is F-convex. Thus in the following we shall mainly discuss fuzzy convex functions.

Let  $C$  be a non-empty ordinary convex subset of  $R^n$  and  $f$  be an ordinary convex function on  $C$ . Since  $C$  is a special fuzzy convex region and  $R$  is a set of special fuzzy numbers, of course  $f:C \rightarrow R$  is a special fuzzy convex function. Furthermore, Zadeh's extension principle [14] tells us that, for each fuzzy convex region  $\underline{D}$  on  $C$ ,  $f$  can be extended as a mapping from  $\underline{D}$  to the family of all fuzzy sets on  $R$  such that for  $\tilde{a} \in \underline{D}$

$$f(\tilde{a})(t) = \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(t)} \tilde{a}(x) \quad \text{for } t \in R, \quad (2.2)$$

and Nguyen [9] tells us that in the case  $f$  is continuous on  $C$  we have

$$[f(\tilde{a})]_r = f([\tilde{a}]_r) \quad \text{for } r \in ]0,1],$$

and thus, by the compactness and convexity of  $[\tilde{a}]_r$ , for each  $r \in ]0,1]$   $[f(\tilde{a})]_r$  is a bounded closed interval, namely the fuzzy extension function defined by (2.2) is in fact a mapping from  $\underline{D}$  to  $\tilde{R}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $C$  be a non-empty ordinary convex subset of  $R^n$  and  $f$  be an ordinary convex function on  $C$ . If  $f$  is continuous on  $C$ , then for any fuzzy convex region  $\underline{D} \subset \tilde{C}$  the fuzzy extension function  $f:\underline{D} \rightarrow \tilde{R}$  defined by (2.2) is a fuzzy convex function.

**Proof.** Let  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \underline{D}$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Since for each  $r \in ]0,1]$   $[\tilde{a}]_r, [\tilde{b}]_r$  and  $[\lambda\tilde{a} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{b}]_r = \lambda[\tilde{a}]_r + (1-\lambda)[\tilde{b}]_r$  are all non-empty compact subset of  $C$ , and since  $f$  is continuous, there should exist  $x_{\min} \in [\tilde{a}]_r, y_{\min} \in [\tilde{b}]_r$  and  $\lambda x^* + (1-\lambda)y^* \in [\lambda\tilde{a} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{b}]_r$  ( $x^* \in [\tilde{a}]_r, y^* \in [\tilde{b}]_r$ ) such that

$$f(x_{\min}) = \inf f([\tilde{a}]_r), \quad f(y_{\min}) = \inf f([\tilde{b}]_r) \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$f(\lambda x^* + (1-\lambda)y^*) = \sup f([\lambda\tilde{a} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{b}]_r). \quad (2.4)$$

Note that we always have

$$f(\lambda x_{\min} + (1-\lambda)y_{\min}) \geq \inf f([\lambda\tilde{a} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{b}]_r), \quad (2.5)$$

$$f(x^*) \leq \sup f([\tilde{a}]_R) \text{ and } f(y^*) \leq \sup f([\tilde{b}]_R), \quad (2.6)$$

and note that also by applying Nguyen's theory [9] we have

$$\inf f([\tilde{a}]_R) = \inf [f(\tilde{a})]_R, \quad \inf f([\tilde{b}]_R) = \inf [f(\tilde{b})]_R, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\sup f([\tilde{a}]_R) = \sup [f(\tilde{a})]_R, \quad \sup f([\tilde{b}]_R) = \sup [f(\tilde{b})]_R, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\sup f([\lambda\tilde{a} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{b}]_R) = \sup [f(\lambda\tilde{a} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{b})]_R, \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\inf f([\lambda\tilde{a} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{b}]_R) = \inf [f(\lambda\tilde{a} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{b})]_R. \quad (2.10)$$

Moreover, by the convexity of  $f$  we have

$$f(\lambda x_{\min} + (1-\lambda)y_{\min}) \leq \lambda f(x_{\min}) + (1-\lambda)f(y_{\min}), \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$f(\lambda x^* + (1-\lambda)y^*) \leq \lambda f(x^*) + (1-\lambda)f(y^*). \quad (2.12)$$

Thus we have by (2.10), (2.5), (2.11), (2.3) and (2.7)

$$\inf [f(\lambda\tilde{a} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{b})]_R \leq \lambda(\inf [f(\tilde{a})]_R) + (1-\lambda)(\inf [f(\tilde{b})]_R), \quad (2.13)$$

and by (2.9), (2.4), (2.12), (2.6) and (2.8)

$$\sup [f(\lambda\tilde{a} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{b})]_R \leq \lambda(\sup [f(\tilde{a})]_R) + (1-\lambda)(\sup [f(\tilde{b})]_R). \quad (2.14)$$

Furthermore, one can easily check that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\inf [f(\tilde{a})]_R) + (1-\lambda)(\inf [f(\tilde{b})]_R) &= \inf(\lambda[f(\tilde{a})]_R + (1-\lambda)[f(\tilde{b})]_R) \\ &= \inf [\lambda f(\tilde{a}) + (1-\lambda)f(\tilde{b})]_R, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\sup [f(\tilde{a})]_R) + (1-\lambda)(\sup [f(\tilde{b})]_R) &= \sup(\lambda[f(\tilde{a})]_R + (1-\lambda)[f(\tilde{b})]_R) \\ &= \sup [\lambda f(\tilde{a}) + (1-\lambda)f(\tilde{b})]_R. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Consequently we have by (2.13), (2.14), (2.15) and (2.16)

$$\inf [f(\lambda\tilde{a} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{b})]_R \leq \inf [\lambda f(\tilde{a}) + (1-\lambda)f(\tilde{b})]_R$$

and

$$\sup [f(\lambda\tilde{a} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{b})]_R \leq \sup [\lambda f(\tilde{a}) + (1-\lambda)f(\tilde{b})]_R,$$

namely we have

$$f(\lambda\tilde{a} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{b}) \leq \lambda f(\tilde{a}) + (1-\lambda)f(\tilde{b}).$$

This completes the proof.

Now let us discuss some fundamental operations on fuzzy convex functions.

**Theorem 2.2.** If both  $f$  and  $g$  are  $F$ -convex on  $\underline{D}$ , then so are  $f + g$  and  $\lambda f$  ( $\lambda \geq 0$ ). Namely the family of all fuzzy convex functions on  $\underline{D}$  is closed under positive linear combinations.

**Proof.** Immediate from (1.2) and Proposition 1.2 (2) and (3).

**Theorem 2.3.** If  $f$  is  $F$ -convex on  $\underline{D}$  and if for all  $\tilde{a} \in \underline{D}$  we have  $f(\tilde{a}) \in \tilde{R}^+$  or for all  $\tilde{a} \in \underline{D}$  we have  $f(\tilde{a}) \in \tilde{R}^-$ , then for any  $\tilde{\lambda} \in \tilde{R}^+$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda} \cdot f$  is a fuzzy convex function on  $\underline{D}$ .

**Proof.** Immediate from Proposition 1.1 and Proposition 1.2 (4).

**Theorem 2.4.** If  $f$  is a fuzzy convex function from  $\underline{D}$  to a fuzzy convex region  $\underline{E} \subset \tilde{R}$ , then for any a non-decreasing fuzzy convex function  $g$  on  $\underline{E}$ , the compound function  $g(f(\tilde{x}))$  is  $F$ -convex on  $\underline{D}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \underline{D}$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Since it is known that we have

$$f(\lambda \tilde{a} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{b}) \leq \lambda f(\tilde{a}) + (1-\lambda)f(\tilde{b}),$$

and since  $g$  is non-decreasing, of course we have

$$g(f(\lambda \tilde{a} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{b})) \leq g(\lambda f(\tilde{a}) + (1-\lambda)f(\tilde{b})),$$

and hence by the  $F$ -convexity of  $g$  we can get

$$g(f(\lambda \tilde{a} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{b})) \leq \lambda g(f(\tilde{a})) + (1-\lambda)g(f(\tilde{b})).$$

This completes the proof.

In order to obtain some more operations on fuzzy convex functions we need the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $\mathbf{I}$  be a non-empty interval of  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $f$  be an ordinary non-decreasing function on  $\mathbf{I}$ . If  $f$  is continuous on  $\mathbf{I}$ , then for any

subset  $\underline{E}$  of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{I}}$ , the fuzzy extension function  $f:\underline{E}\rightarrow\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}$  defined by (2.2) is also non-decreasing.

**Proof.** Straightforward.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $\mathbf{I}$  be a non-empty interval of  $\mathbf{R}$ , and let  $f$  be a fuzzy convex function from  $\underline{D}$  to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{I}}$ . Then for any a non-decreasing ordinary convex function  $g$  on  $\mathbf{I}$ , the compound function  $g(f(\tilde{x}))$  is also a fuzzy convex function on  $\underline{D}$ .

**Proof.** Immediate from Lemma 2.5, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.4.

**Corollary 2.7.** If  $f$  is  $F$ -convex on  $\underline{D}$ , then so is  $e^{f(\tilde{x})}$ .

**Corollary 2.8.** If  $f$  is  $F$ -convex and positive on  $\underline{D}$ , then so is  $[f(\tilde{x})]^p$  for  $p > 1$ .

**Corollary 2.9.** If  $f$  is  $F$ -concave and positive on  $\underline{D}$ , then  $1/f(x)$  is  $F$ -convex on  $\underline{D}$ .

**Proof.** It is known that  $-f$  is  $F$ -convex on  $\underline{D}$  and for all  $\tilde{a} \in \underline{D}$  we have  $f(\tilde{a}) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{R}}^-$ . Further we know that  $g(t) = -1/t$  is an ordinary increasing convex function on  $\mathbf{R}^-$ . Hence by virtue of Theorem 2.6 the compound function  $g(f(x)) = -1/(-f(x)) = 1/f(x)$  is  $F$ -convex on  $\underline{D}$ .

Finally we conclude our this fuzzy convex function theory with the following fuzzy extension of Jensen's inequality which will be useful in the theory of fuzzy-valued inequalities.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $f$  be a mapping from  $\underline{D}$  to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}$ . Then  $f$  is  $F$ -convex on  $\underline{D}$  if and only if for any  $\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_m \in \underline{D}$

$$f(\lambda_1 \tilde{a}_1 + \dots + \lambda_m \tilde{a}_m) \leq \lambda_1 f(\tilde{a}_1) + \dots + \lambda_m f(\tilde{a}_m)$$

whenever  $\lambda_1 \geq 0, \dots, \lambda_m \geq 0, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_m = 1$ .



**Proof.** Analogous to the proof of Jensen's inequality in the non-fuzzy case.

### 3. Applications to fuzzy-valued inequalities

In paper [15], we have established a rudimentary theory of fuzzy-valued inequalities and have extended four classical inequalities to fuzzy-valued case. In this section we shall now use our fuzzy convex function theory to prove two more fuzzy-valued inequalities.

**Inequality 1.** Let  $\lambda_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ) be  $n^2$  positive real numbers satisfying  $\lambda_{i1} + \dots + \lambda_{in} = 1$  and  $\lambda_{1j} + \dots + \lambda_{nj} = 1$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ) and let  $\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_n \in \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^+$ . If  $\tilde{b}_i = \lambda_{i1}\tilde{a}_1 + \dots + \lambda_{in}\tilde{a}_n$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), then we have

$$\tilde{b}_1 \cdots \tilde{b}_n \geq \tilde{a}_1 \cdots \tilde{a}_n. \quad (3.1)$$

**Proof.** Theorem 2.1 tells us that  $f(\tilde{x}) = -\ln \tilde{x}$  is F-convex on  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^+$ . Hence for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  we have by Theorem 2.10

$$\ln \tilde{b}_i = \ln(\lambda_{i1}\tilde{a}_1 + \dots + \lambda_{in}\tilde{a}_n) \geq \lambda_{i1} \ln \tilde{a}_1 + \dots + \lambda_{in} \ln \tilde{a}_n.$$

So that by (1.3) we have

$$\ln \tilde{b}_1 + \dots + \ln \tilde{b}_n \geq \ln \tilde{a}_1 + \dots + \ln \tilde{a}_n. \quad (3.2)$$

Since  $\ln t$  is strictly increasing on  $\mathbf{R}^+$ , so one can easily check that for any  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^+$  we have

$$\ln \tilde{a} + \ln \tilde{b} = \ln(\tilde{a} \cdot \tilde{b}) \quad (3.3)$$

and that  $\ln \tilde{a} \leq \ln \tilde{b}$  iff  $\tilde{a} \leq \tilde{b}$ . Therefore (3.2) is equivalent to (3.1).

**Inequality 2.** If  $\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_n \in \tilde{\mathbf{I}}$  ( $\mathbf{I} = ]0, 1[$ ), then for any  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbf{R}^+$  such that  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = 1$  we have

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{1+\tilde{a}_1} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_n}{1+\tilde{a}_n} \leq \frac{1}{1+\tilde{a}_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots \tilde{a}_n^{\lambda_n}} \quad (3.4)$$

**Proof.** Let  $\tilde{b}_i = \ln \tilde{a}_i$ . Then we have  $\tilde{b}_i \in \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^-$ . Further it is easy to check

that  $\tilde{a}_i = e^{\tilde{b}_i}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Since  $f(t) = -1/(1+e^t)$  is convex on  $\mathbb{R}^-$ , it follows at once from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.10 that we have

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{1+e^{\tilde{b}_1}} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_n}{1+e^{\tilde{b}_n}} \leq \frac{1}{1+e^{\lambda_1 \tilde{b}_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \tilde{b}_n}}. \quad (3.5)$$

Note that for  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  we also have  $e^{\tilde{a}+\tilde{b}} = e^{\tilde{a}} \cdot e^{\tilde{b}}$  and  $e^{\lambda \tilde{a}} = (e^{\tilde{a}})^\lambda$  ( see [2] ). Hence (3.5) is equivalent to (3.4).

## References

- [1] D.Dubois and H.Prade, Fuzzy real algebra: Some results, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 4(1979) 327 - 348.
- [2] D.Dubois and H.Prade, Fuzzy Sets and Systems: Theory and Applications, ( Academic Press, New York, 1980 ).
- [3] D.Dubois and H.Prade, Comment on tolerance analysis using fuzzy sets "and" a procedure for multiple aspect decision making, Int. J. Systems Sci., 9(1978) 357 - 348.
- [4] A.N.S.Freeling, Fuzzy sets and decision analysis, IEEE Trans. Systems, Man Cybernet. 10(1980) 341 - 354.
- [5] R.Goetschel and W.Voxman, Eigen fuzzy number sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 16(1985) 75 - 85.
- [6] O.Kaleva and S.Seikkala, On fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 14(1984) 215 - 229.
- [7] M.Mizumoto and K.Tanaka, Some properties of fuzzy numbers, in: M.M. Gupta, R.K.Ragade and R.R.Yager, Eds., Advances in Fuzzy Set Theory and Applications ( North - Holland, Amsterdam, 1979 ) 153 - 164.
- [8] M.Mizumoto and K.Tanaka, The four operations of arithmetic on fuzzy numbers, Systems - Comput. - Controls, 5(1976) 73 - 81.
- [9] H.T.Nguyen, A note on the extension principle for fuzzy sets, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 64(1978) 369 - 380.
- [10] J.Ramík and J.Římanek, Inequality relation between fuzzy numbers and its use in fuzzy optimization, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 16(1985) 123 - 138.
- [11] R.T.Rockafellar, Convex Analysis ( Princeton University Press, second printing, 1972 ).
- [12] M.D.Weiss, Fixed points, separation and induced topologies for fuzzy sets, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 50(1975) 142 - 150.
- [13] L.A.Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8(1965) 338 - 353.
- [14] L.A.Zadeh, The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning, Part 1,2 and 3, Inform. Sci. 8, 199 - 249; 8, 301 - 357; 9, 43 - 80(1975).
- [15] Zhou Feiyue, On fuzzy-valued inequalities, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, To appear.