## SOFT FUZZY <-ALGEBRAS

## Radko MESIAR

We generalize some notions of the classical measure theory to the fuzzy case preserving as much classical properties as possible. We use the Zadeh's fuzzy connectives. Let  $X \neq \emptyset$  be a universum. Then

S is a **G-algebra** 

**6** is fuzzy **6**-algebra [1]

Ø. Xes

0<sub>X</sub>, 1<sub>X</sub> 65

 $A \in S \Longrightarrow A^{\circ} \in S$   $\{A_{n}\} \subset S \Longrightarrow \bigvee A_{n} \in S$   $\{A_{n}\} \subset S \Longrightarrow \bigvee A_{n} \in S$ 

The next notions (in fuzzy set theory) are due to Piasecki.

A  $\cap A^{C} = \emptyset$  empty set

A  $\cup A^{C} = X$  universum

W-empty set

W-universum

 $W_{\cap}(\, \, \boldsymbol{\varsigma} \, \, )$  is the system of all W-empty sets of  $\boldsymbol{\varsigma}$ 

 $W_1(c)$  is the system of all W-universums of c

 $A \cap B = \emptyset$  disjoint sets  $\bigwedge M \in W_{\cap}(C)$  W-disjointness The original Piasecki's W-disjointness follows from A is disjoint with B iff  $A \subset B^{C}$  [3], i.e. M is W-disjoint with m iff m ≤ m'(i.e. the Lukasiewicz's conjunction of m and  $\gamma$  is  $0_{\gamma}$ ). However, both principles lead to the same results. It is natural to demand no W-empty set be a W-universum, simultaneously, i.e.  $W_0(\mathcal{C}) \cap W_1(\mathcal{C}) = \emptyset$ . This is equivalent to the condition  $(1/2)_X \neq C$ . If  $(1/2)_X$  is not contained in a fuzzy & -algebra &, then is called a soft fuzzy 6 -algebra.

Example 1. Let 6 be any fuzzy 6-algebra and let MCW1(6), m= (1/2) I then fm = {mes, yvy' >m} is a soft fuzzy €-algebra and Mis a lower bound of the system W1(6m).

It is easy to see that the smallest soft fuzzy sub-6 algebra of 6 is  $\{0_X,1_X\}$  and that the greatest one needn't exist. We ask if any soft fuzzy &-algebra & is of a similar form as  $f_{M}$ , i.e. there is (in some sense) a lower bound of  $W_1(C)$  contained in  $W_1(C)$ . This is not true (see Example 2.), in general, nor in the form presented in Example 1., neither in a weaker form: there is  $m \in W_1(G)$  such that for any  $\eta \in W_1(G)$  there is  $T \in G$ ,  $\{\eta \notin V\} \subset \{T = 1/2\}$ .

Example 2. Let X = [0,1],  $G = \{u, u(x) \in \{0,\frac{1}{4},\frac{3}{4},1\}$ ,  $u(x) \in \{1,\frac{1}{4},\frac{3}{4},1\}$  at most in countably many x s  $\{1,\frac{1}{4},\frac{3}{4},1\}$  a soft fuzzy  $\{1,\frac{1}{4},\frac{3}{4},1\}$  at most in countably many  $\{1,\frac{1}{4},\frac{3}{4},1\}$  of above mentioned types.

Some results for C being a soft fuzzy C-algebra [2],[4]:  $K(C) = \{ACX, \exists_M \in C: \{M > 1/2\} \subseteq A \subseteq \{M \geq 1/2\} \}$  is a C-algebra of crisp subsets of X. Let  $M \in W_1$  (C). Then  $M = \{ \gamma \in C, \gamma \lor \gamma' = M \} \cup \{ 0_X, 1_X \}$  is a soft fuzzy C-algebra. We have  $C = \bigcup_{W_1} C \in C$ ,  $K(M) = \bigcup_{W_1} K(C \in C)$ .

Let f be a random variable on (X,K(6)). Then there is  $M \in W_1(S)$  such that f is  $K(S_n^{\frac{1}{2}})$ -measurable. The same is true for any denumerable system {f<sub>n</sub>} of random variables on (X,K(S)). This fact is of great importance in the theory of fuzzy observables [5] . For more details see [2]. probability measure P on (X,S) fuzzy P-measure p on (X,S) P(X) = 1,  $X = AUA^{c}$  p(NN') = 1  $\{A_{n}\}CS$  pairwise disjoint  $\{M_{n}\}CS$  pairwise W-disjoint  $P(UA_{n}) = \sum P(A_{n})$   $p(NM_{n}) = \sum p(M_{n})$ It is easy to see that wast be a soft fuzzy -algebra. Problem(Dvurečenskij): Let 6 be a soft fuzzy 6-algebra. Does there exist a fuzzy P-measure p on (X, 6)? If U = 1/2 f X, then the answer is positive. In general, this problem remains open. p on (X, < ) is equivalent to P on (X,K(C)), p(M) = P(M > 1/2) and P(A) = p(M) if  $\{m>1/2\} \subseteq A \subseteq \{m\geq 1/2\}$ . More, for any  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , P(m = 1/2)=0. Hypothesis. Let 6 be a soft fuzzy &-algebra and let p be a fuzzy P-measure on C . Then there is  $M \in W_1(C)$  such that  $K(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{M}}^{\mathbf{H}}) = K(\mathbf{C}), P-\mathbf{a.e.}, i.e. for any <math>A \in K(\mathbf{C})$  there is  $B \in K(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $P(A \cup B) = P(A \cap B)$ . REFERENCES

- [1] Khalili S. (1979), Fuzzy measures and mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 68, 92-99.
- [2] Mesiar R., Fuzzy observables, to appear.
- [3] Piasecki K. (1985), Probability of fuzzy events defined as denumerable additivity measure, FSS 17, 271-284.
- [4] Piasecki K. (1987), Extension of fuzzy P-measure generated by usual measure, Fuzzy Mathematics 7, No. 3-4, 117-124.
- [5] Riečan B. (1988), A new approach to some notions of statistical quantum mechanics, Busefal 35, 4-6.

Authors address: Radko Mesiar, Radlinského 11,81368Bratislava, CSFR.