FUZZY PREFERENCES IN LINEAR PROGRAMMING

Jaroslav Ramík

This paper presents a practical application of the fuzzy approach to the problems of operations research, namely problems of linear programming (LP). We deal with problem of LP with inexact coefficients, which is a practical problem in many applications. Our attention is concentrated on the special area of ranking (comparing) fuzzy values (numbers) in constraints of LP problem. In certain sense this paper continues the paper [5], combining it stimulating paper [3].

The problem of LP with inexact coefficients reads as follows:

 $c^{T}x$, $c \in \mathcal{C} \subseteq E_{n}$, maximize

subject to

$$A \times \leq b$$
, $A \in \mathcal{A} \subseteq E_{mxn}$, $b \in \mathcal{B} \subseteq E_{m}$, $x \geq 0$,

coefficients A, b, c are taken from the corresponding sets ${\mathscr A}$, ${\mathscr B}$ and ${\mathscr C}$, denoted by capital script letters, ${\mathbf x}$ is a crisp decision variable vector. If &, B, & contain just one element then we have a classical LP problem. The feasible solution is defined as a set

$$X = \{x \in E_n^+ ; A x \le b\}.$$

If \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} or \mathcal{C} has more than one element then there arises problem how to define or understand a corresponding feasible solution set. In operation research we meet four types "feasible" sets:

$$\begin{aligned} & X_1 &= \{x \in E_n^+ \ ; \ \forall \ A \in \mathscr{A}, \ \forall \ b \in \mathscr{B} \ : \ A \ x \leq \ b\}, \\ & X_2 &= \{x \in E_n^+ \ ; \ \forall \ A \in \mathscr{A}, \ \exists \ b \in \mathscr{B} \ : \ A \ x \leq \ b\}, \\ & X_3 &= \{x \in E_n^+ \ ; \ \exists \ A \in \mathscr{A}, \ \forall \ b \in \mathscr{B} \ : \ A \ x \leq \ b\}, \\ & X_4 &= \{x \in E_n^+ \ ; \ \exists \ A \in \mathscr{A}, \ \exists \ b \in \mathscr{B} \ : \ A \ x \leq \ b\}. \end{aligned}$$

In a fuzzy linear programming problem (FLP) we

 $\tilde{c}_1 x_1 + \ldots + \tilde{c}_n x_n$ maximize subject to

$$\tilde{a}_{i1}x_1\tilde{+}...\tilde{+} \tilde{a}_{in}x_n \tilde{\varrho}^{con} \tilde{b}_i,$$
 $x_i \geq 0, i=1,...m, j=1,...n,$

the constraints are compared by a fuzzy preference relation

 $\tilde{\varrho}^{\text{con}}$ on fuzzy sets. Denoting $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{i}} \; \tilde{\varrho}^{\text{con}} \; \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathbf{i}} \equiv \; \tilde{\varrho}^{\text{con}} (\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{i}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathbf{i}}) \; \mathbf{a} \; fuzzy$ feasible solution set is understood as a fuzzy set on E_n^+ . For this purpose we consider 4 constraint fuzzy preference relations:

$$\begin{split} &\widetilde{\varphi}_{1}(\widetilde{\mathbf{u}},\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}) = \\ &= \max\{0,\sup\{\delta\in\langle0,1\rangle\;;\; 0\leq\lambda\leq\delta=\rangle\;\sup[\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}]_{1-\lambda}\leq\;\inf[\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}]_{1-\lambda}\}\}\\ &\widetilde{\varphi}_{2}(\widetilde{\mathbf{u}},\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}) = \\ &= \max\{0,\sup\{\delta\in\langle0,1\rangle\;;\; 0\leq\lambda\leq\delta=\rangle\;\sup[\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}]_{1-\lambda}\leq\;\sup[\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}]_{1-\lambda}\}\}\\ &\widetilde{\varphi}_{3}(\widetilde{\mathbf{u}},\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}) = \\ &= \max\{0,\sup\{\delta\in\langle0,1\rangle\;;\; 0\leq\lambda\leq\delta=\rangle\;\inf[\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}]_{1-\lambda}\leq\;\inf[\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}]_{1-\lambda}\}\}\\ &\widetilde{\varphi}_{4}(\widetilde{\mathbf{u}},\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}) = \end{split}$$

 $= \max\{ 0, \sup\{ \delta \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle ; 0 \le \lambda \le \delta = \rangle \inf[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}]_{\lambda} \le \sup[\tilde{\mathbf{v}}]_{\lambda} \} \}.$

Here, $[\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}]_{\tau} = \{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{E}_1; \quad \mu_{\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}}(\mathbf{t}) \geq \tau \}$. Simpler formulae

symmetric fuzzy numbers are derived. It is shown that adopting the fuzzy approach to the crisp problem we the same result as when adopting classical approach. Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}$ be fuzzy numbers in a semicommon shape, i.e.

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{t}) = \tilde{\mathbf{n}}(\frac{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{u}}{\sigma_{\mathbf{u}}}), \quad \tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{t}) = \tilde{\mathbf{n}}(\frac{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{v}}{\sigma_{\mathbf{v}}}),$$

then the above fuzzy preference relations can be expressed in a very simple and explicit formulae as is demonstrated in the paper.

References.

- [1] Avenhaus, R., Beedgen, R. et al.: Handling uncertainties in a LP models, WP-80-170, IIASA, Laxenburg (1980)
- Dantzig, G.B.: Linear programming Princeton, 1963
- [3] Dubois, D., Prade, H.: Ranking fuzzy numbers in the of possibility theory, Inf.Sci.30 (1983),183-224
- [4] Dumitru, V., Luban, F.: On some optimization problems under uncertainties, FSS 18 (1986) 257-272
- [5] Ramík, J., Rímánek, J.: Linear constraints with data, Tekhnicheskaya kibernetika 2 (1987) 41-48
- [6] Ramík, J., Rímánek, J.: Inequality relation between numbers and its use in fuzzy optimization, FSS 16 (1986)
- [7] Soyster, A.L.: Convex programming with set-inclusive constraints, and application to inexact LP, Oper.Res.21

Address:

J. Ramík, VÚROM (Research Institute for Regions and Town Development, Čujkovova 30, 704 08 Ostrava, C.S.F.R.