

IS FUZZY SETS A GOOD SET THEORY FOR MULTIPLE-VALUED LOGIC?

Francesc Esteva
Centre d'Estudis Avancats de Blanes (CSIC)
Camí de Sta. Barbara s/n 17300 Blanes (Spain)
email: ESTEVA@CEAB.ES

This abstract has two parts. The first one is devoted to the representation of algebras and classes of algebras by Fuzzy Sets. The second one is devoted to some applications to algebraic logic specially to the applicability of truth table methods to propositional calculi of some logics.

1.- Classes of algebras representable by Fuzzy Sets

Let L and A be algebras of the same type and let X be a set. Let $P_L(X)$ be the algebra of L -fuzzy sets with operations pointwise defined by the operations of L . It is well known that this algebra is isomorphic to the direct product of copies of L ($P_L(X) \approx \prod_X L$).

Definition 1.- A mapping $m : A \rightarrow L$ is said to be a *multiple-valuation* if m is a morphism.

Given a set M of multiple-valuations from A to L , the relation \sim defined on A by $a \sim b$ iff $\text{DEF } m(a) = m(b)$ for every m of M , is a congruence relation on A . Let A/M be the quotient algebra and let $[a]$ be the class that contains a .

Proposition 1.- A/M is representable as subalgebra of $P_L(M)$.
(The mapping $f: A/M \rightarrow P_L(M)$ defined by $f([a])(m) = m(a)$ is a monomorphism)

Definition 2.- A family of multiple-valuations M from A to L is said to be *separating* if $A/M \approx A$.

Hence, proposition 1 gives a representation theorem for A if M is a separating family. The reciprocal of this result is also true as the following proposition shows.

Proposition 2.- If an algebra A is representable as subalgebra of $P_L(X)$ for some algebra L and some set X , the set X can be identified as a separating family of multiple-valuations.

(If $f: A \rightarrow P_L(X)$ is a monomorphism we can identify every $x \in X$ with the mapping $m_x: A \rightarrow L$ defined by $m_x(a) = f(a)(x)$).

Finally if Σ is an equational class of algebras, the following theorem holds:

Theorem 1.- There exists an algebra L of Σ such that every algebra A of Σ is representable as subalgebra of $P_L(X)$ for some set X if, and only if, there exists an algebra L of Σ that contains all subdirectly irreducible algebras of Σ as subalgebras.

An algebra L of Σ satisfying the condition of theorem 1, is called an *algebra of values* for Σ and such a class Σ is said to be *representable by Fuzzy Sets*.

In this sense, Hilbert algebras, Implication algebras, relative pseudo-complemented lattices, contrapositionally complemented lattices, semi-complemented lattices, pseudo-complemented lattices, Heyting algebras and topological boolean algebras are not representable by Fuzzy Sets. But Tetravalent modal algebras, Lukasiewicz and Post algebras of order n , Ockham algebras and some subvarieties of this (varieties $H_{p,q}$, varieties of p -symmetric algebras, De Morgan algebras, Kleene algebras and Boolean algebras) are representables by Fuzzy Sets.*

2.- Some applications to logic.

The problem of algebraization of logic began with Tarski's earliest papers. At the beginning, the most part of works in algebraic logic are devoted to the study of the so called Tarski-Lindembaun algebra. Posteriorly some works began to associate a class of algebras to a logic. In the book "An algebraic Approach to Non-Classical Logic", Rasiowa defines Logics as classes of the Standard Systems of implicative extensional propositional calculi, she had defined. These classes are defined by means of the class of algebras associated to every Standard System and all these classes of algebras are equationally definable. In this frame, we point out the equivalence between the possibility to establish a calculi by means of truth tables in a logic and the possibility to represent the class of algebras associated to this logic, by Fuzzy Sets. This logical calculi is an effective proof calculi if the algebra of values of the associated class of algebras, is finite. For example, the n -valued logic of Lukasiewicz has the Post algebras as its associated class of algebras and this class has the Post algebra defined on a chain of n elements, as algebra of values. Therefore an effective proof calculi based on truth tables valued on the n -elements Post algebra exists, as Rasiowa pointed out.

REFERENCES.-

- Rasiowa, H. "An algebraic approach to non-classical logic" North-Holland (1974)
 Balbes, R & Dwinger, P. "Distributive lattices" University of Missouri Press (1974)
 Font, J.M. & Rius, M "A four-valued modal logic arising from Monteiro's last algebras"
 Math. Preprints n.73 Fac.Math. Univ. Barcelona 1989
 Garcia, P & Esteva, F "Representation theorem for Ockham algebras and their
 subdirectly irreducible algebras" To be published in Studia Logica.

Francesc Esteva
Centre d'Estudis Avancats de Blanes (CSIC)
Camí de Sta. Barbara s/n 17300 Blanes (Spain)

* See references for nomenclature, definitions and basic results of these classes of algebras.