Logical Basis of Approximate Reasoning with Quantifiers

Vilem Novak

Csechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Mining Institute Studentska 1768, 708 00 Ostrava-Poruba, Csechoslovakia

The core of vagueness consists in formation of groupings $X = \{x; \varphi(x)\}$ of elements x having a certain property φ . Fussy sets are mathematical models of these groupings. The words of natural language can be construed to be the names of the properties φ .

In [1, 2], first-order fussy logic (FOFL) is proved to have good formal properties, namely that the completeness theorem holds. Its language may be be extended by additional n-ary connectives o which are interpreted as n-ary operations $o: L^n \longrightarrow L$ provided that the latter keep the so called fitting condition (see [1, 2, 4]) The completeness property of first-order fussy logic is not harmed by introducing the additional connectives. This possibility has serious consequences, besides other also on approximate reasoning since it makes possible to put most of its theory on the formal basis of fussy logic with sound properties. Moreover, it is possible to introduce easily new connectives from few basic ones. Some important fitting operations on L = (0, 1) follow:

- (a) product a · b
- (b) bounded sum $a \oplus b = 1 \land (a + b)$
- (c) ϵ -concentration $CON_{\epsilon}(a) = (a \epsilon)^2$
- (d) ϵ -dilation DIL_{ϵ} $(a) = 2(a \epsilon) (a \epsilon)^2$
- (e) γ -moderation $MOD_{\gamma}(a) = a + \sin(\gamma a)$
- (f) γ -increasion INC $_{\gamma}(a) = a + \sin(\gamma)$

We may put $\gamma = 1 - \sin 1$.

These operations can be used as a basis for the new connectives. Let us interpret 'e' as '.', '+' as ' \oplus ', Vr as CON_c , Ml as DIL_c , In as INC_γ , and Md as MOD_γ .

As a special case, the linguistic operators introduced in fussy set theory can be interpreted as certain unary connectives. For example, very can be interpreted by means of the connective Vr, more or less by Ml, etc. as is usual in fussy set theory.

The translation rules in approximate reasoning are the following assignements:

- 1. A syntagm of the form
 - (a) < adjective > < noun >
 - (b) < noun > is < adjective >

is assigned an open formula A.

- 2. Let m be a linguistic modifier interpreted as a unary connective o_m . Then a syntagm m < adjective > < noun > or < noun > is <math>m < adjective > is assigned the formula $o_m A$.
- 3. Let A, B be syntagms of the previous form (first case). Then

A and B ... A & B A or B ... $A \lor B$ IF A THEN B ... $A \Rightarrow B$

The linguistic quantifiers (e.g. most, many, etc.) can be interpreted as shorts for certain formulae of FOFL. Let us demonstrate this on the quantifier most. "Most P's are Q's" can be interpreted as "Either all P's are Q's or, at least moderately, there exist P's being Q's but also P's not being Q's". This can be translated as a formula

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathsf{MS}x)(P(x),Q(x)) &:= (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)) + \\ \mathsf{Md}((\exists x)(P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x))\&(\exists x)(\neg(P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)))) \end{aligned}$$

where MS is a symbol for most. On the basis of this definition, we can introduce the sound inference rules of quantity and syllogism. Thus, we may add another translation rule in approximate reasoning, namely:

(f) Let \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} be syntagms of the form (a) or (c), and let q be a linguistic quantifier interpreted as the generalised quantifier Q. Then the syntagm "q \mathcal{A} are \mathcal{B} " is assigned the formula $Q(A, \mathcal{B})$.

However, let us be aware that the above translation rules cannot be in definite form which works in all cases. The reason consists in the very complicated structure of natural language so that only some expressions of its can be directly translated into formulae.

REFERENCES

- [1] Novák, V.: Fussy Sets and Their Applications. Adam Hilger, Bristol 1989.
- [2] Novák, V.: On the syntactico-semantical completeness of first-order fuzzy logic. Part I, II. Kybernetika 1(1990), 47 66; 2(1990).
- [3] Novák, V.: On the Logical Basis of Approximate Reasoning. Proc. Int. Symposium on Fussy Approach to Reasoning and Decision Making, Bechyně, Csechoslovakia June 25 29, 1990.
- [4] Zadeh, L.A.: A theory of Approximate Reasoning. In: J.E. Hayes, D. Michie and L.I. Mikulich (eds.), Machine Intelligence 9. J. Wiley & Sons., New York 1979.
- [5] Zadeh, L. A.: A Computational Approach to Fuzzy Quantifiers in Natural Languages. Computers and Mathematics 9(1983), 149 184.