

# THE REPRESENTATION THEOREM OF FUZZY MEASURE SPACES

Li Lushu and Hua Wenxiu

Department of Mathematics, Huaiyin Teacher's College,  
Jiangsu Province, China (PRC)

In this paper, we propose the concept of regular fuzzy measurable spaces and investigate the relation between the regular fuzzy measurable spaces and the classical measurable spaces. The representation theorem of regular fuzzy measure spaces is proved.

**Keywords:**  $\sigma$ -additive F-class, F-measure, regular F-measure space, kernel space.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In the paper [3], Butnariu introduced the concept of additive measure of fuzzy sets (called F-measure for short in this paper), which is somewhat different from those studied by Zadeh [1], Sugeno [8], Klement [9], Ralescu and Adams [7]. The aim of this paper is to propose the concept of regular F-measurable spaces and demonstrate the representation theorem of F-measure on the regular F-measurable spaces.

Throughout this paper,  $X$  will denote a non-empty set and  $\mathcal{B}_0$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets of  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $F(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]\}$ , and  $P(X) = \{I_E: E \subseteq X\}$ , where  $I_E$  is the indicator function of  $E$ . The elements of  $F(X)$  are called fuzzy sets in  $X$  and denoted by  $A, B, C, \dots$ . The operations of union, intersection, inclusion and complement of fuzzy sets are adopted in the sense of Zadeh [2] and, that of sum  $\oplus$  and difference  $\ominus$  of fuzzy sets are adopted in the sense of Butnariu [3].

**Definition 1.1** [3]. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of fuzzy sets. If  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies

the following conditions:

- (1)  $I_X \in \mathcal{F}$
- (2)  $A, B \in \mathcal{F} \implies A \ominus B \in \mathcal{F}$
- (3)  $\{A_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \implies \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \in \mathcal{F}$

Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a  $\sigma$ -additive  $\mathcal{F}$ -class, and  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is called a  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable space.

**Definition 1.2[3].** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  be a  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable space and  $m$  be a non-negative function on  $\mathcal{F}$  with the  $\sigma$ -additivity:  $\{A_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , and  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n(x) \leq 1$  ( $\forall x \in X$ )  $\implies m(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} m(A_n)$ . Then  $m$  is called a  $\mathcal{F}$ -measure on  $(X, \mathcal{F})$ , and  $(X, \mathcal{F}, m)$  is called a  $\mathcal{F}$ -measure space (abbr. FMS).

Many properties of FMS have been investigated by Butnariu in [3], [4] and [5]. For the convenience of the further discussion in this paper, we make a note on the following remark:

**Remark 1.3.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, m)$  be a FMS. If we set  $\mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{P}(X)$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_k = \{E: E \subseteq X \text{ and } I_E \in \mathcal{F}_k\}$ , and define  $m_k: \overline{\mathcal{F}}_k \longrightarrow [0, +\infty]$  by  $m_k(E) = m(I_E)$  for each  $E \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_k$ , then  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_k$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of sets and  $(X, \overline{\mathcal{F}}_k, m_k)$  is a classical measure space (abbr. CMS).

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, m)$  be a FMS. The measurable space  $(X, \overline{\mathcal{F}}_k)$  will be called the kernel of the  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{F})$ , and the CMS  $(X, \overline{\mathcal{F}}_k, m_k)$  will be called the kernel space of the FMS  $(X, \mathcal{F}, m)$ .

## 2. The Regular $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable Space and Its Representation

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of fuzzy sets and  $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the class of sets  $\{A^{-1}(\beta): \beta \in \mathcal{B}_0, A \in \mathcal{F}\}$ , i.e.  $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) = \sigma(A^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_0): A \in \mathcal{F})$ . We will call  $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra induced by  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** For any  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(X)$ ,  $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra which makes each  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  is  $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$ -measurable.

**Proof.** Straightforward.

**Definition 2.3.** A  $\sigma$ -additive  $\mathcal{F}$ -class  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be regular if it satisfies the condition:

$$\forall \alpha \in [0, 1], \forall E \in \sigma(\mathcal{F}) \implies \alpha \cdot I_E \in \mathcal{F} \quad (2.1)$$

A  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  or a FMS  $(X, \mathcal{F}, m)$  is said to be regular if  $\mathcal{F}$  is regular. The regular FMS is abbreviated to "RFMS".

In the following content, for any  $\sigma$ -algebra of sets  $\mathcal{A}$ , we set  $F(\mathcal{A}) = \{A \in \mathcal{F}(X) : A \text{ is } \mathcal{A}\text{-measurable}\}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \{I_E : E \in \mathcal{A}\}$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of sets. Then  $F(\mathcal{A})$  is the smallest regular  $\sigma$ -additive  $\mathcal{F}$ -class containing  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  and

$$\sigma(F(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{A} \quad (2.2)$$

We will call  $(X, F(\mathcal{A}))$  the  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable space generated by the measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ .

**Proof.** First, by theorem 2.2, it is easily to prove that (2.2) holds. Next, it follows from the properties of measurable functions [6] that  $F(\mathcal{A})$  is a  $\sigma$ -additive  $\mathcal{F}$ -class containing  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ . In order to prove that  $F(\mathcal{A})$  is regular, we note the fact that for each  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  and  $\forall E \in \sigma(F(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{A}$ ,  $I_E$  and  $\alpha \cdot I_E$  are  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable, i.e.  $\alpha \cdot I_E \in F(\mathcal{A})$ . Hence  $F(\mathcal{A})$  is regular. Finally, suppose  $\mathcal{F}'$  is an arbitrary regular  $\sigma$ -additive  $\mathcal{F}$ -class containing  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ , we will prove  $F(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}'$ . In fact, for each  $A \in F(\mathcal{A})$ , we have that  $A = \lim_n A_n$ , where  $A_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2^n} \frac{i-1}{2^n} \cdot I_{E_i(n)}$  and  $E_i^{(n)} = \{x \in X : (i-1)/2^n < A(x) \leq i/2^n\}$ .  $A \in F(\mathcal{A})$  means that  $A$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable, so  $E_i^{(n)} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}'$  is a regular  $\sigma$ -additive  $\mathcal{F}$ -class containing  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ , we know that  $I_{E_i^{(n)}} \in \mathcal{F}'$  and  $(i-1)/2^n \cdot I_{E_i^{(n)}} \in \mathcal{F}'$ . Consequently,  $A_n \in \mathcal{F}'$  and  $A = \lim_n A_n \in \mathcal{F}'$ . Hence  $F(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}'$ . The proof

of theorem 2.4 is finished.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a regular  $\sigma$ -additive  $F$ -class. If we set  $\mathcal{F}^* = F(\sigma(\mathcal{F}))$ , then  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^* = F(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_k)$  and  $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) = \sigma(\mathcal{F}^*) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}_k$ .

**Proof.** It is obvious that  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}^*$  and  $F(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_k) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . On the other hand, we have  $\widetilde{\sigma(\mathcal{F})} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{F}$  is a regular  $\sigma$ -additive  $F$ -class containing  $\widetilde{\sigma(\mathcal{F})}$ . But by theorem 2.4 we know that  $\mathcal{F}^*$  is the smallest one, therefore we get  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}^*$ . So  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^*$ . Moreover, for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $A$  is  $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$ -measurable i.e. for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{E}_0$ , we have  $A^{-1}(\beta) \in \sigma(\mathcal{F})$ . Therefore  $I_{A^{-1}(\beta)} \in \mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F}$ . Consequently,  $I_{A^{-1}(\beta)} \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_k$  and  $A^{-1}(\beta) \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_k$ , i.e.  $A$  is  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_k$ -measurable. This means  $A \in F(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_k)$ . Hence we have  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq F(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_k)$  and, so  $\mathcal{F} = F(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_k)$ . Finally,  $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) = \sigma(\mathcal{F}^*) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}_k$  follows easily from  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^* = F(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_k)$ .

Theorem 2.5 shows that every  $F$ -measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  can be generated by its kernel  $(X, \overline{\mathcal{F}}_k)$ .

### 3. The Representation Theorem of RFMS

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, u)$  be a CMS and  $(X, F(\mathcal{A}))$  be the regular  $F$ -measurable space generated by  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ . If we define  $m: F(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  by

$$m(A) = \int_X Adu \quad (\forall A \in F(\mathcal{A})) \quad (3.1)$$

Then  $(X, F(\mathcal{A}), m)$  is a RFMS.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, m)$  be a RFMS and  $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra induced by  $\mathcal{F}$ . If we define  $u: \sigma(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  by

$$u(E) = m(I_E) \quad (\forall E \in \sigma(\mathcal{F})) \quad (3.2)$$

Then  $(X, \sigma(\mathcal{F}), u)$  is a CMS.

The proofs of theorem 3.1 and theorem 3.2 are straightforward.

**Theorem 3.3.** Every RFMS  $(X, \mathcal{F}, m)$  can be represented by its kernel

space  $(X, \mathcal{F}_k, m_k)$  with

$$m(A) = \int_X \text{Adm}_k \quad (\forall A \in \mathcal{F}) \quad (3.3)$$

**Proof.** By theorem 3.2, we know that  $(X, \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}), u)$  is a CMS, where  $u$  is given by (3.2). But  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}_k$  from theorem 2.5 and  $u(E) = m(I_E) = m_k(E)$  ( $\forall E \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_k$ ), so we only need to prove (3.3). In fact, for any  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  and  $E \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $\alpha \cdot I_E \in \mathcal{F}$  follows from the regularity of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $m(\alpha \cdot I_E) = \alpha \cdot m(I_E) = \alpha \cdot m_k(E)$  follows from the continuity of  $\mathcal{F}$ -measure  $m$ . For any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have  $A_n \uparrow A$ , where  $A_n$  as in theorem 2.4. By the definition of the integrals with respect to  $m_k$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \text{Adm}_k &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X A_n dm_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \frac{i-1}{2^n} \cdot m_k(E_i^{(n)}) \right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} m\left(\frac{i-1}{2^n} \cdot I_{E_i^{(n)}}\right) \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(A_n) = m(A) \end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.3) holds for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . This ends the proof of theorem 3.3.

## References

- [1] L.A.Zadeh, Probability measures of fuzzy events, J.Math.Anal.Appl. 23 (1968) 421-427.
- [2] L.A.Zadeh, Fuzzysets, Information and Control 8 (1965) 338-353.
- [3] D.Butnariu, Additive fuzzy measures and integrals I, J.Math.Anal. Appl. 93 (1983) 436-452.
- [4] D.Butnariu, Fuzzy measurability and integrability, J.Math.Anal.Appl. 117 (1986) 385-410.
- [5] D.Butnariu, Decomposition and range for additive fuzzy measures, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 10 (1983) 135-155.
- [6] P.R.Halmos, Measure Theory, Springer-Verlag.New York.Berlin (1974).
- [7] D.Ralescu and G.Adams, The fuzzy integrals, J.Math.Anal.Appl. 75(1980) 562-570.
- [8] M.Sugeno, Theory of fuzzy integrals and applications, ph.D.Thesis, Tokyo Inst. of Tech. (1974).
- [9] E.P.Klement, Characterization of finite fuzzy measures using Markoff-kernels, J.Math.Anal.Appl. 75 (1980) 330-340.
- [10] Daopeng Zheng, The extension theorem of  $\mathcal{F}$ -measure spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 11 (1983) 19-29.