

ON OPERATIONS FOR PROBABILISTIC SETS. Part 1.

F.B. Abutaliev, R.Z. Salakhutdinov

Uzbek Scientific Industrial Union 'Cybernetics'
Akademy of Sciences of the Uzbek SSR, Tashkent.

This paper deals with theoretical aspects on operations for probabilistic sets and their distribution function representation. The considerations are illustrated by means of several examples.

Keywords: Probabilistic set, Triangular norm
Distribution function, Averaging operator.

1. Introduction.

As known there are several investigations where attempt to combine fuzziness and randomness factors into one concept are considered [17,12,10,11,9]. Here we focus our attention on probabilistic sets in the sense of the work [9]. Logical connectives applied to probabilistic sets and applicational aspects of this sets in decision making processes were investigated by [3 - 6]. We are interested to get some generalization operation for probabilistic sets and distribution function representation of them. In consideration of the operations for probabilistic sets we shall use triangular norm (a t - norm for short), triangular conorm (t - conorm) and averaging operator concepts [13,15,7,8].

2. On probabilistic sets, and t-norms, t-conorms.

Let (Ω, \mathcal{B}, P) a probability space, U is universe, via $A(u, \omega)$ denoting the defining function $A: U \times \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ of probabilistic set A . For each fixed $u \in U$ defining function is denoted by $A(u)$ and considered as the random variable on the probability space.

In this situation for characterization of probabilistic sets distribution function is introducing, i.e.

$$F_{A(u)}(z) = F_A(z) = P(\{\omega : A(u, \omega) < z\})$$

for each $z \in [0, 1]$.

It is known [14,7] that t- norms (resp: t- conorms) provide a good model for fuzzy -set -theoretic intersections (resp: union). Therefore, let us recall the representation for t- norm and t- conorm [1,2]. Let two-place real function

$$H: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}, \quad \mathcal{J} = [a, b], \quad 0 \leq a < b \leq \infty$$

satisfies the following properties: a) associativity; b) commutativity; c) non-decreasing in each argument; d) $H(0, z) = z$.

Function $g: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, b]$ (resp: function $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, b]$) is a single-place continuously strictly increasing (resp: decreasing) one.

Theorem 2.1. The two-place real function

$$\perp: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1],$$

$$\perp(x_1, x_2) = g^{-1}(\min(g(1), H(g(x_1), g(x_2))))$$

is a t- conorm.

Theorem 2.2. The two-place real function

$$\top: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1],$$

$$\top(x_1, x_2) = f^{-1}(\min(f(0), H(f(x_1), f(x_2))))$$

is a t- norm.

Further, if $T(x_1, x_2) = n(\perp(n(x_1), n(x_2)))$

and conversely $\perp(x_1, x_2) = n(T(n(x_1), n(x_2)))$,

then T, \perp are said to be dual in regard to strong negation n [15,7].

Similarly, as in fuzzy sets theory various operation can be presented for probabilistic sets [3-6]. We shall use t-norm and t-conorm concepts in further consideration about operation for probabilistic sets. Thus, substituting of the arguments of t-norm (resp: t-conorm) $x_i \in [0, 1]$ for $A_i(u, \omega)$, intersection (resp: union) of probabilistic sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k will be given by equations:

$$\begin{aligned} T(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k)(u, \omega) &= \\ &= f^{-1}(\min(f(0), G(f(A_1(u, \omega)), \dots, f(A_k(u, \omega))))), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \perp(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k)(u, \omega) &= \\ &= g^{-1}(\min(g(1), G(g(A_1(u, \omega)), \dots, g(A_k(u, \omega)))))) \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in U, \omega \in \Omega$,

where G is a k-place semigroup operation on $[0, b]$ having 0 as unit and properties a), b), c).

Now, as some particular cases we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_\lambda(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k)(u, \omega) &= \\ &= f^{-1}(\min(f(0), \frac{1}{\lambda}(\prod_{i=1}^k (1 + \lambda f(A_i(u, \omega)) / f(0)) - 1))), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \perp_{\lambda}(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k)(u, \omega) = \\ & = g^{-1} \left(\min(g(1), \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k (1 + \lambda g(A_i(u, \omega)) / g(1)) - 1 \right)) \right) \\ & \text{for all } u \in U, \omega \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

3. Distribution function representation
of operation based on t- norms and t- conorms.

Let us fix a point $u \in U$ and denote $A_i(u)$ by X_i . As known from probability theory

$$F_{\perp_k}(\bar{z}) = \int \dots \int_{D_1(\bar{z})} \Psi_{X_1 \dots X_k}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) dx_1 \dots dx_k,$$

$$F_{T_k}(\bar{z}) = \int \dots \int_{D_2(\bar{z})} \Psi_{X_1 \dots X_k}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) dx_1 \dots dx_k,$$

where $D_1(\bar{z})$ (resp: $D_2(\bar{z})$) is the region of integration being determined as

$$D_1(\bar{z}) = \{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) : \perp(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) < \bar{z} \},$$

$$D_2(\bar{z}) = \{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) : T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) < \bar{z} \},$$

$\Psi_{X_1 \dots X_k}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ is a joint probability density function of the vector (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) ,

$$\perp_k = \perp(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k), \quad T_k = T(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k).$$

Theorem 3.1. If \perp_K, T_K are dual in regard to strong negation $n(x) = 1 - x$, then

$$F_{T_K}(z) + F_{\perp'_K}(1-z) = 1,$$

where $\perp'_K = \perp(1-X_1, \dots, 1-X_K)$.

Proof. With the assumption of the theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\perp'_K}(1-z) &= P(\perp(1-X_1, 1-X_2, \dots, 1-X_K) < 1-z) = \\ &= P(T(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_K) \geq z) = 1 - F_{T_K}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.1. If \perp_K, T_K are dual in regard to strong negation $n(x) = 1 - x$ and random vector (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_K) is uniformly distributed in the region $(0 \leq x_1 \leq 1, \dots, 0 \leq x_K \leq 1)$ i.e.

$$\psi_{X_1 \dots X_K}(x_1, \dots, x_K) = \begin{cases} 1, & (0 \leq x_1 \leq 1, \dots, 0 \leq x_K \leq 1), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

then

$$F_{T_K}(z) + F_{\perp_K}(1-z) = 1.$$

Proof. Taking into account that if a random vector (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_K) is uniformly distributed, then $(1-X_1, \dots, 1-X_K)$ is also uniformly distributed, we can conclude that the following relationship holds true

$$F_{\perp'_K}(z) = F_{\perp_K}(z).$$

Example 3.1. Let

$$T_2 = T(X_1, X_2) = \min(1, X_1 + X_2),$$

$$\perp_2 = \perp(X_1, X_2) = \max(0, X_1 + X_2 - 1)$$

and vector (X_1, X_2) is uniformly distributed. In (6) has been

proved that $F_{L_2}(z) = \frac{1}{2} z^2$.

So directly by the corollary 3.1.

$$F_{T_2}(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} (1-z)^2$$

Further the distribution function of the as result of various operation on probabilistic sets will be founded by using formulas

(5):

$$F_{L(X_1, X_2)}(z) = \int_0^z dx_1 \int_0^{h_1(z, x_1)} \psi_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) dx_2,$$

$$F_{T(X_1, X_2)}(z) = \int_0^z dx_1 \int_0^1 \psi_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) dx_2 + \int_z^1 dx_1 \int_0^{h_2(z, x_1)} \psi_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) dx_2,$$

but here $x_2 = h_1(z, x_1)$ (resp: $x_2 = h_2(z, x_1)$) is determined from

the equality $z = g^{-1}(H(g(x_1), g(x_2)))$ (resp: $z = f^{-1}(H(f(x_1), f(x_2)))$).

Let us consider the case when probabilistic sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k are independent (4), i.e. are such that for all point $u \in U$ correspondingly random variables are independent.

In this case

$$F_{L(X_1, X_2)}(z) = \int_0^z \psi_{X_1}(x_1) F_{X_2}(h_1(z, x_1)) dx_1,$$

$$F_{\perp(X_1, \perp(X_2, X_3))}(\bar{z}) = \int_0^{\bar{z}} \psi_{X_1}(x_1) \left(\int_0^{h_1(\bar{z}, x_1)} \psi_{X_2}(x_2) * \right. \\ \left. * F_{X_3}(h_1(h_1(\bar{z}, x_1), x_2)) dx_2 \right) dx_1,$$

which are special cases following the result

$$F_{\perp K}(\bar{z}) = \int_0^{t_1} \psi_{X_1}(x_1) (\dots (\int_0^{t_{K-1}} \psi_{X_{K-1}}(x_{K-1}) F_{X_K}(t_K) dx_{K-1}) \dots) dx_1,$$

where $t_1 = \bar{z}$, $t_i = h_1(t_{i-1}, x_{i-1})$.

Similarly,

$$F_{T(X_1, X_2)}(\bar{z}) = F_{X_1}(\bar{z}) + \int_{\bar{z}}^1 \psi_{X_1}(x_1) F_{X_2}(h_2(\bar{z}, x_1)) dx_1,$$

$$F_{T(X_1, T(X_2, X_3))}(\bar{z}) = F_{X_1}(\bar{z}) + \int_{\bar{z}}^1 \psi_{X_1}(x_1) F_{X_2}(h_2(\bar{z}, x_1)) dx_1 +$$

$$+ \int_{\bar{z}}^1 \psi_{X_1}(x_1) \left(\int_{h_2(\bar{z}, x_1)}^1 \psi_{X_2}(x_2) F_{X_3}(h_2(h_2(\bar{z}, x_1), \right.$$

$$x_2)) dx_2 \right) dx_1,$$

which are special cases of the result

$$F_{TK}(\bar{z}) = F_{X_1}(\bar{z}) + \sum_{i=1}^{K-1} \int_{\bar{z}_1}^1 \psi_{X_i}(x_i) \left(\int_{\bar{z}_2}^1 \psi_{X_2}(x_2) (\dots \right. \\ \left. \left(\int_{\bar{z}_i}^1 \psi_{X_i}(x_i) F_{X_{i+1}}(\bar{z}_{i+1}) dx_i \right) \dots \right) dx_2 \right) dx_1,$$

where $\bar{z}_1 = \bar{z}$, $\bar{z}_i = h_2(\bar{z}_{i-1}, x_{i-1})$.

Note, if this \perp_K, T_K are dual in regard to $n(x) = 1-x$, then in accordance with theorem 3.1.

$$F_{T_K}(z) + F_{\perp'_K}(1-z) = 1.$$

The same results can be obtained directly. Indeed, first for $K=2$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{T(1-X_1, 1-X_2)}(z) &= F_{1-X_1}(z) + \int_z^1 \psi_{1-X_1}(x_1) F_{1-X_2}(h_2(z, x_1)) dx_1 = \\ &= 1 - \int_z^1 \psi_{1-X_1}(x_1) (1 - F_{1-X_2}(h_2(z, x_1))) dx_1. \end{aligned}$$

So far as $h_2(z, x_1) = 1 - h_1(1-z, 1-x_1)$, stating $s = 1-x_1$, and taking into account that $1 - F_{1-X_2}(1-z) = F_{X_2}(z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &1 - \int_z^1 \psi_{1-X_1}(x_1) (1 - F_{1-X_2}(1 - h_1(1-z, 1-x_1))) dx_1 = \\ &= 1 - \int_z^1 \psi_{X_1}(1-x_1) F_{X_2}(h_1(1-z, 1-x_1)) dx_1 = \\ &= 1 - \int_0^{1-z} \psi_{X_1}(s) F_{X_2}(h_1(1-z, s)) ds = 1 - F_{\perp(X_1, X_2)}(1-z). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Further } T'_K = T(1-X_1, \dots, 1-X_K) =$$

$$= T(1-X_1, T(1-X_2, \dots, 1-X_K)) = T(1-X_1, 1-\perp(X_2, \dots, X_K)).$$

Now, using the previous case one can write

$$\begin{aligned} F_{T'_K}(z) &= 1 - \int_0^{1-z} \psi_{X_1}(x_1) F_{\perp(X_2, \dots, X_K)}(h_1(1-z, x_1)) dx_1 = \\ &= 1 - F_{\perp_K}(1-z). \end{aligned}$$

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] F.B.Abotaliev, R.Z.Salakhutdinov, On fuzzy set operations based on triangular norms, *Izvestiya AN UzSSR seriya techn.* 4 (1988) 9-13 (in Russian).
- [2] F.B.Abotaliev, R.Z.Salakhutdinov, On fuzzy set operations, *BUSEFAL* (to appear).
- [3] E.Czogala, On distribution function description of probabilistic sets and its application in decision making, *Fuzzy sets and Systems* 10 (1983) 21-29.
- [4] E.Czogala, S.Gottvald, W.Pedrycz, Logical connectives of probabilistic sets, *Fuzzy sets and Systems* 10 (1983) 299-308.
- [5] E.Czogala, A generalized concept of a fuzzy probabilistic controller, *Fuzzy sets Systems* 11 (1983) 287-297.
- [6] E.Czogala, H.-J. Zimmermann, The aggregation operations for decision making in probabilistic fuzzy environment, *Fuzzy sets and Systems* 13 (1984) 223-239.
- [7] D.Dubois, H.Prade, A class of fuzzy measures based on triangular norms, *Int. J. General Systems* 8 (1982) 43-61.
- [8] D.Dubois, H.Prade, New results about properties and semantics of fuzzy set-theoretic operators, In: *Fuzzy sets. Theory and Applications to Policy Analysis and Information*

Systems, edited by P.P.Wang, S.K.Shang, Plenum Press, N.Y.
(1980) 59-75.

[9] K.Hirota, Concepts of probabilistic sets, Fuzzy sets and
Systems 5 (1981) 31-46.

[10] H.Kwakernaak, Fuzzy random variables - 1, Definition and
theorem, Information Sciences 15 (1978) 1-29.

[11] H.Kwakernaak, Fuzzy random variables- 2, Algorithms and
examples for the discrete case, Information Sciences 17
(1979) 253-278.

[12] S.Nahmias, Fuzzy variables, Fuzzy sets and Systems 1 (1978)
97-110.

[13] B.Schweizer, A.Sklar, Associative functions and statistical
triangle inequalities, Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen 8
(1961) 169-186.

[14] M.Sugeno, Fuzzy measures and integrals - survey, In: Fuzzy
Automata and Decision Process, edited by M.M.Gupta, G.N.Sa-
ridis B.R.Gaines, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1977) 89-102.

[15] E.Trillas, C.Alsina, L.Valverde, Do we need max, min and
1-j in fuzzy sets theory?, In: Fuzzy Set and Possibility
Theory. Recent Developments, edited by R.R.Yager, Pergamon
Press. N.Y. (1980) 275-300.

[16] R.R.Yager, On a general class of fuzzy connectives, Fuzzy
sets and Systems 4 (1980) 235-242.

[17] L.A.Zadeh, Probability measures of fuzzy events, Journal of
Mathematical Analysis and Applications 12 (1968) 94-102.