

Vagueness and Fuzzy Approach

Vilém Novák

Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Mining Institute
Prof. A. Římana 1768, 708 00 Ostrava-Poruba, Czechoslovakia

When recording the world, human being identifies objects and properties. The latter are phenomena accompanying objects and they lead to groupings of objects which need not be sharply (unambiguously) separated. The Alternative Set Theory (AST) has convenient means to work with such groupings - see [5, 6].

Let φ be a property of objects x . Then the above considered grouping forms a class

$$X = \{x; \varphi(x)\}.$$

The phenomenon of vagueness raises as a consequence of the infinity phenomenon. By infinity, we mean the natural infinity which is encountered when recording a large variety of states. Large, classically finite, numbers may behave as infinite ones. A set (a sharply separated grouping) is infinite if it contains a proper class X (not being a set). In symbols,

$$X \subseteq \alpha.$$

Then X is called a semiset. A typical semiset is a class of all the finite natural numbers. We denote it by \mathbb{FN} . There are infinite natural numbers α , i.e. such that

$$\mathbb{FN} \subseteq \alpha$$

holds true.

It is our requirement to measure the finiteness of numbers. Using fuzzy logic, we obtain such a measure as follows: consider the implication

$$\mathbb{FN}(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{FN}(x + 1)$$

(if x is finite then $x + 1$ is also finite). Quite naturally, this implication can hardly be entirely true. Hence, taking a certain infinite γ , we obtain a measure of finiteness of

natural number α ,

$$\widehat{\text{FN}}(\alpha) = 0 \vee (1 - \frac{\alpha}{\gamma})$$

(cf. [2, 3]).

A crucial role in the problems of the phenomenon of vagueness is played by the notion of indiscernibility. AST introduces the indiscernibility relation \equiv as follows:

$$x \equiv y \quad \text{iff} \quad \langle x, y \rangle \in \bigcap \{R_n; n \in \text{FN}\}$$

where each R_n is a sharp, reflexive and symmetric relation serving us as a criterion of indiscernibility of x and y . Hence, $x \equiv y$ holds true if all the attempts to discern x , y using the (sharp) criteria R_n , $n \in \text{FN}$, fail.

A typical example of the indiscernibility is the indiscernibility of rational numbers defined by

$$x \doteq y \quad \text{iff} \quad |x - y| < \frac{1}{n}$$

for every $n \in \text{FN}$. Since FN is a vague class, we may assume to have exactly

$$\{R_\beta; \beta \leq \gamma\}$$

criteria of discernibility. Then the amount of our "effort" to discern the objects x , y is given by the number α of the criteria R_β for which

$$\langle x, y \rangle \in R_\beta$$

holds true. We say that x and y are equal in the degree ν ,

$$x \equiv_\nu y,$$

if $\nu = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$.

Theorem 1. (a) $x \equiv_1 x$.

(b) $x \equiv_\nu y$ implies $y \equiv_\nu x$.

(c) $x \equiv_{\nu_1} y$ and $y \equiv_{\nu_2} z$ implies $x \equiv_{\nu_3} z$

where $\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2 \leq \nu_3$.

The \otimes is the operation of bold product (see e.g. [1]) and \leq is the relation defined on rational numbers by $x \leq y$ iff $x \leq y$ or $x \doteq y$. Hence, \equiv_ν is a fuzzy equality in the sense of [4].

A class X is *real* if there is an indiscernibility relation \equiv such that $x \in X$ and $x \equiv y$ implies $y \in X$.

As a hypothesis, we accept that vagueness of natural phenomena is a consequence of the indiscernibility phenomenon. Hence, we restrict ourselves only to real classes.

A kernel $Y \subseteq X$ is a class which is dense in X , i.e. to every $x \in X$ there is $y \in Y$ such that $\langle x, y \rangle \in R_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{FN}$. Then we put

$$X^F(x) = \sup \{ \nu; (\exists y \in Y)(x \equiv_{\nu} y) \}$$

and call it the membership degree of x into X . It is a measure of the intensity of our "effort" to discern x from some element of the kernel Y .

Theorem 2. (a) $y \in Y$ implies $X^F(y) = 1$.

(b) $X^F(x) > 0$ holds for every $x \in X$.

(c) $\mathbb{FN}^F(\alpha) \doteq \hat{\mathbb{FN}}(\alpha)$.

Let us consider two classes X_1, X_2 which are real according to the indiscernibility relations $\equiv^{(1)}$ and $\equiv^{(2)}$, respectively. The basic operations with them lead to considering of special kinds of interaction between the members of the respective sequences

$$\{R_{\beta}^{(1)}; \beta \leq \gamma\}$$

and

$$\{R_{\beta}^{(2)}; \beta \leq \gamma\}.$$

Using certain fine deliberations, we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 3.

$$(a) X_1^F(x) \otimes X_2^F(x) \leq (X_1 \cap X_2)^F(x) \leq X_1^F(x) \wedge X_2^F(x).$$

$$(b) X_1^F(x) \vee X_2^F(x) \leq (X_1 \cup X_2)^F(x) \leq X_1^F(x) \oplus X_2^F(x).$$

$$(c) (\neg X)^F(x) = (V - X)^F(x) \doteq 1 - X^F(x).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Novák, V.: Fuzzy Sets and Their Applications. Adam Hilger, Bristol 1989.
- [2] Novák, V.: Sorites-like First-Order Fuzzy Theories. Proc. of IIIrd IFSA Congress, Seattle 1989.

- [3] Novák, V.: On the syntactico-semantic completeness of first-order fuzzy logic I, II. To appear in Kybernetika 1990.
- [4] Pultr, A.: Fuzziness and Fuzzy Equality. In: Skala, H.J., Termini, S. and Trillas, E. (eds.): Aspects of Vagueness. D.Reidel, Dordrecht 1984.
- [5] Vopěnka, P.: Mathematics in the Alternative Set Theory. Teubner, Berlin 1979.
- [6] Vopěnka, P.: The fundamentals of the mathematics in the alternative set theory. To be published by Alfa, Bratislava 1990 (in Slovak).