## $\mathbf{g}_{\lambda}$ -measures and conditional $\mathbf{g}_{\lambda}$ -measures on measure spaces

HUA Wenxiu and LI Lushu
Dept. of Maths., Huaiyin Teacher's College
Jiangsu Province, China (PRC)

In this paper, we introduce the concepts of  $g_{\lambda}$ -measures, conditional  $g_{\lambda}$ -measures and  $\lambda$ -independence associated with a  $\mu$ -density g on a measure space  $(X, A, \mu)$ . Some useful results about them are obtained.

**Keywords:**  $g_{\lambda}$ -measure, conditional  $g_{\lambda}$ -measure,  $\lambda$ -independence.

## t. Introduction

In his thesis, M.Sugeno has introduced a class of  $\lambda$ -additive measures  $g_{\lambda}$  (called  $g_{\lambda}$ -measures throughout this paper) on a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  [1]. It has been pointed out that if X is a finite set then a  $g_{\lambda}$ -measure on (X, P(X)) is entirely determined by the parameter  $\lambda \in (-1, \infty)$  and the numbers  $g_1 = g(\{x_i\})$  (i=1,2...n) which are said to be the density of  $g_{\lambda}$ . M.Berres has generalized this fact to a measure space [3]. It has been shown that any  $g_{\lambda}$ -measure can be represented by a density g on a measure space.

In this paper, we present a alternate approach to  $g_{\lambda}$ -measures associated with a  $\mu$ -density g on a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ . We also propose the concepts of conditional  $g_{\lambda}$ -measures and  $\lambda$ -independence associated with a  $\mu$ -density g on a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ . Some useful results, such as the analoues of Bayes: formula, extension theorem of independent class and Borel-

-Cantelli's lemma, are obtained .

## 2. g,-Measures on Measure Spaces

We start with the following lemmas:

Lemma 2.1. Suppose g:  $X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a  $\mu$ -integrable function on a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ . Then  $G(X) = \int_X \log_{1+\lambda} (1+\lambda g) d\mu$  is a strictly increasing function on  $(-1, 0)U(0, \infty)$  and

Lemma 2.2. The Eq.  $G(\lambda) = 1$  has a unique root  $\lambda \in (-1, 0)U(0, \infty)$  which is positive (negative) when  $\int_{\mathbb{X}} g d\mu < 1$  ( $\int_{\mathbb{X}} g d\mu > 1$ ) iff  $\mu$  and g satisfying

$$\mu(\lbrace 0 < g \leq 1 \rbrace) > 1 \tag{2.1}$$

and 
$$\mu(\{g=1\}) < 1$$
 (2.2)

We omit the proofs of lemma 2.1 and lemma 2.2 (cf.[3],[4]). Theorem 2.3. Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is a measure space and  $g: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a  $\mu$ -integrable function satisfying (2.1) and (2.2). If  $\lambda \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$  is the unique root of the Eq.  $G(\lambda) = 1$ , then  $g_{\lambda}: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by

$$g_{\lambda}(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ (1+\lambda)^{\int_{(\cdot)}^{(\cdot)} \log_{1+\lambda} (1+\lambda g) du} - 1 \right]$$
 (2.3)

is a  $g_{\lambda}$ -measure on (X, A).

Proof. Straightforward.

**Remark:** When  $\int_X g d\mu = 1$  and  $g_\lambda$  is given by (2.3), then limit  $g_\lambda(\cdot) = \int_{(\cdot)} g d\mu$  yields a probability measure  $g_0 \cdot \lambda \to 0$  We name the function g satisfying (2.1) and (2.2) a  $\mu$ -density

Congress of the second

and call the  $g_{\lambda}$  given by (2.3) the  $g_{\lambda}$ -measure associated with  $\mu$ -density g on the measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ .

Obviously, if  $g_{\lambda}$  is a  $g_{\lambda}$ -measure associated with a  $\mu$ -density g, then  $g_{\lambda}^{*}(\cdot) = \log_{1+\lambda}(1+\lambda g_{\lambda}(\cdot)) = \int_{(\cdot)} \log_{1+\lambda}(1+\lambda g) d\mu$  is a probability measure. Moreover, there are the following properties of the  $g_{\lambda}$ -measures associated with  $\mu$ -density g on  $(X, A, \mu)$ : Theorem 2.4.  $g_{\lambda}$  is a belief function iff  $\int_{X} g d\mu \geq 1$  and it is a plausibility function iff  $\int_{X} g d\mu \geq 1$ .

By lemma 2.2, the proof of theorem 2.4 is obvious. Theorem 2.5. Suppose  $\{A_i\}_1^{\infty}$  are disjoint sets in A. If  $\int_{\mathbb{R}_1} \log_{1+\lambda}(1+\lambda g) du = 0 \text{ for all } i, \text{ then } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_{\lambda}(A_i) > , = \text{ or } < g_{\lambda}(U A_i) \text{ iff } \int_X g du > , = \text{ or } < 1, \text{ respectively.}$ Proof. The condition  $\int_{A_i} \log_{1+\lambda}(1+\lambda g) du = 0 \text{ ensures } g_{\lambda}(A_i) > 0.$  Since  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_{\lambda}(A_i) > , = \text{ or } < g_{\lambda}(U A_i) \text{ iff } \lambda < , = \text{ or } > 0, \text{ respectively.}$ 

i=1-tively when  $g_{\lambda}(A_i) > 0$  for all i [5], we get the proof of theorem 2.5 from lemma 2.2 immediately.

3. Conditional  $g_{\lambda}$ -Measures and  $\lambda$ -Independence on Measure Spaces

Definition 3.1. Let g be a n-density on a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, u)$ .

If  $\int_X g du \neq 1$  and  $\int_A \log_{1+\lambda} (1+\lambda g) du \neq 0$   $(A \in \mathcal{A})$ , we define

$$g_{\lambda}(B|A) = \frac{1}{\lambda} [(1+\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{\log_{1+\lambda}(1+\lambda g)} du - 1]$$
 (3.1)

and name  $g_{\lambda}(\cdot | \Lambda)$ :  $A \longrightarrow [0, 1]$  the conditional  $g_{\lambda}$ -measures associated with  $\mu$ -desity g given A. Where the paremeter  $\lambda$  is the unique root of the Eq.  $G(\lambda) = 1$  and

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}|\mathbf{A}} \log_{1+\lambda} (1+\lambda \mathbf{g}) d\mathbf{u} = \int_{\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbb{B}} \log_{1+\lambda} (1+\lambda \mathbf{g}) d\mathbf{u} / \int_{\mathbf{A}} \log_{1+\lambda} (1+\lambda \mathbf{g}) d\mathbf{u}$$

The conditional  $g_{\lambda}$ -measures associated with  $\mu$ -density g given A have the following properties:

Theorem 3.2.  $g_{\lambda}(.|A)$  is a  $g_{\lambda}$ -measure on (X, A) and

$$\log_{1+\lambda}(1+\lambda g_{\lambda}(B|A)) = \log_{1+\lambda}(1+\lambda g_{\lambda}(A \cap B)) / \log_{1+\lambda}(1+\lambda g_{\lambda}(A))$$

for all  $B \in A$ . Where  $g_{\lambda}$  is given by (2.3).

Theorem 3.3. Suppose  $B \in A$  and  $\{A_i\}$  are disjoint sets in A satisfying  $VA_i \supseteq B$ . Then

$$\int_{B} \log_{1+\lambda} (1+\lambda g) d\mu = \sum_{i} \int_{B|A_{i}} \log_{1+\lambda} (1+\lambda g) d\mu \cdot \int_{A_{i}} \log_{1+\lambda} (1+\lambda g) d\mu$$
and
$$\int_{A_{i}|B} \log_{1+\lambda} (1+\lambda g) d\mu = \frac{\int_{B|A_{i}} \log_{1+\lambda} (1+\lambda g) d\mu \cdot \int_{A_{i}} \log_{1+\lambda} (1+\lambda g) d\mu}{\sum_{i} \int_{B|A_{i}} \log_{1+\lambda} (1+\lambda g) d\mu \cdot \int_{A_{i}} \log_{1+\lambda} (1+\lambda g) d\mu}$$

The later is the Bayes' -like formula. The proofs of above theorems are straightforward.

**Definition 3.4.** Let g be a  $\mu$ -density on a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ ,  $\int_X g du \neq 1$  and  $\lambda$  be the unique root of the Eq.  $G(\lambda) = 1$ . Sets  $A_1, A_2, \ldots A_n (n \geqslant 2)$  are said to be  $\lambda$ -independent associated with  $\mu$ -density g if, for any  $2 \le m \le n$  and any  $1 \le k_1 < k_2 < \ldots < k_m \le n$ ,

$$\int_{1=1}^{m} \frac{\log_{1+\lambda}(1+\lambda g) du}{k_1} = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \int_{A_{k_i}} \log_{1+\lambda}(1+\lambda g) du$$
 (3.3)

Definition 3.5. The sets of the classes  $\mathcal{Q} = \{A_t \in \mathcal{A}, t \in T\}$  are said to be  $\lambda$ -independent associated with  $\mu$ -density g if, for any non-empty finite subset  $S \subseteq T$ ,

$$\int_{S \in S} A_S^{\log_{1+\lambda}(1+\lambda_g) d\mu} = \prod_{S \in S} \int_{A_S} \log_{1+\lambda}(1+\lambda_g) d\mu$$
 (3.4)

A group of classes  $\{\mathcal{Q}_t:t\in T\}$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{N}$ -independent class associated with  $\mathcal{N}$ -density g, if the sets of the class  $\mathcal{Q}=\{A_t\in \mathcal{A}| A_t\in \mathcal{Q}_t,t\in T\}$  are  $\mathcal{N}$ -independent associated with  $\mathcal{N}$ -density g.

On a similar plan we can achieve the analogous results of the λ-independence defined above with the probabilistic case. We only present two important theorems without their proofs here: Theorem 3.6. (Extension theorem of A-independent class) Suppose  $\{\mathcal{Q}_{\bullet}, t\in T\}$  is a  $\chi$ -independent class associated with  $\mu$ -density  $g_{\bullet}$ If for every ter,  $\mathcal{Q}_{t}$  is closed under the finite intersections, then  $\{o(\mathcal{Q}_t), t \in T\}$  is a  $\lambda$ -independent class associated with  $\mu$ -den--sity g. Where  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathsf{t}})$  represents the  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathsf{t}}$ . Theorem 3.7. (Borel-Cantelli like lemma) Let g be a u-density on a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, u)$  and  $\{A_n\}_1^{\infty}$  a sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ . (1) If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_n} \log_{1+\lambda} (1+\lambda g) d\mu < \infty$ , then  $\int_{\substack{1 \text{ imit} \\ n \to \infty}} \int_{A_n} \log_{1+\lambda} (1+\lambda g) d\mu = 0$ ;

(1) If 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_n} \log_{1+\lambda} (1+\lambda g) du < \infty$$
, then  $\int_{\substack{1 \text{ imit} \\ n \to \infty}} A_n \log_{1+\lambda} (1+\lambda g) du = 0$ ;

(2) If 
$$\{A_n, n\geqslant 1\}$$
 are  $\lambda$ -independent associated with  $\mu$ -density  $g$  and 
$$\int_{\substack{\overline{1 \text{ imit}} \\ n \xrightarrow{} \infty}} A_n^{\log_{1+\lambda}(1+\lambda g)} du = 0, \text{ then } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_n} \log_{1+\lambda}(1+\lambda g) d\mu < \infty.$$

## References

- [1] M. Sugeno, Theory of fuzzy integrals and its applications, Ph.D. Thesis, Tokyo Institute of Techology (1974).
- [2] W.Hua, Some properties of g,-measures, BUSEFAL 26 (1986).
- [3] M. Berres, A-additive measures on measure spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 27 (1988) 159-169.
- [4] Yan Jiaan, Measures and Integrals, (Shan xi Normal University Press 1989).
- [5] Hua Wenxiu and Li Lushu, The g,-measures and Conditional g,-measures on Measurable Spaces, to appear.