

ON ONE PROBLEM OF SET-VALUED MEASURES

LADISLAV ZSILINSZKY

1. Introduction

This paper is a contribution to the theory of set-valued measures and q - σ -algebras. Our main goal is to prove a version of Costé's theorem ([2]) about the convexity of the closure of values of nonatomic set-valued measure of bounded variation on q - σ -algebra.

Throughout this paper, let X be a nonvoid abstract set. The symbol \mathcal{A} will stand for a q - σ -algebra of subsets of X , i.e. \mathcal{A} is the class of subsets of X with properties:

- i) $X \in \mathcal{A}$,
- ii) $A \in \mathcal{A}$ implies $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$,
- iii) $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$, $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$, $i \neq j = 1, 2, \dots$, implies $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{A}$.

In this context A^c means the complement of the set $A \subset X$. Let Z be a nonempty system of subsets of X . Let $s(Z)$, $\sigma(Z)$ and $\sigma_q(Z)$ denote the algebra, σ -algebra and q - σ -algebra generated by Z , respectively.

The symbol Y will stand for a real Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and

let 2^Y be the family of all nonempty subsets of Y . Denote by $\text{cl}B$ the closure of $B \in 2^Y$.

A Banach space Y is said to have the Radon-Nikodym property (RNP) if for each finite measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$ and each ν -continuous Y -valued measure $m: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow Y$ of bounded variation, there exists a Bochner integrable function $f: \Omega \rightarrow Y$ such that $m(A) = \int_A f \, d\nu$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$. Dunford and Pettis [4] and Phillips [7] showed, that every separable dual space and every reflexive space has the RNP.

For $B \subset Y$ let us define the number $\|B\|$ by

$$\|B\| = \sup_{b \in B} \|b\| .$$

A set-valued function $M: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 2^Y$ is said to be countably additive if $M(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M(A_i)$ for every sequence $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of pairwise disjoint elements of \mathcal{A} , where given a sequence $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ in 2^Y the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ is defined as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i = \{y \in Y: y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i \text{ (unconditionally convergent), } y_i \in B_i, i \geq 1\} .$$

A map $M: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 2^Y$ is said to be a set-valued measure if M is countably additive and $M(\emptyset) = \{0\}$.

Let $M: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a set-valued measure. For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ define

$$\|M\|(A) = \sup \sum_{i=1}^n \|M(A_i)\|$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite partitions $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$ of A . A set-valued measure M is said to be of bounded variation if $\|M\|(X) < \infty$.

An element $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be an atom of a set-valued measure $M: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 2^Y$, if $M(A) \neq \{0\}$ and if either $M(B) = \{0\}$ or $M(A \setminus B) = \{0\}$ holds for every $B \subset A$, $B \in \mathcal{A}$. A set-valued measure having no atoms is said to be nonatomic.

In our further considerations takes an important place the notion dyadic structure of a set $A \in \mathcal{A}$. The notation of the dyadic structure of $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is a collection of sets $A(\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_k) \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\varepsilon_i = 0, 1$ and $k=1, 2, \dots$ such that

$$A(0) \cup A(1) = A \quad \text{and} \quad A(\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_k 0) \cup A(\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_k 1) = A(\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_k),$$

$$A(\emptyset) \cap A(1) = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad A(\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_k 0) \cap A(\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_k 1) = \emptyset.$$

Theorem 1. Assume that Y has the RNP. Let $M: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a non-atomic set-valued measure of bounded variation. Then $\text{cl}M(A)$ is convex for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Before starting the proof of Theorem 1 we shall formulate a few propositions and lemmas.

Lemma 2. Suppose that for X there exists a dyadic structure $D_0 = \{A(\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_k), k \geq 1\}$. Denote $D = D_0 \cup \{\emptyset\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_0 = s(D)$. Then

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i : A_i \in D, n \geq 1 \right\} \text{ holds.}$$

Proof. Let $P = \left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i : A_i \in D, n \geq 1 \right\}$. It is obvious that $\mathcal{A}_0 \supset P$.

Conversely it is sufficient to show that

- i) $A, B \in P$ implies $A \cup B \in P$,
- ii) $A \in P$ implies $A^c \in P$.

The proof of i) is trivial. From the construction of the dyadic structure D follows, that $A \cap B \in P$ for $A, B \in P$. Let $A \in P$ be arbitrary, then $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i, A_i \in D$ where $A_i = A(\varepsilon_1^i \dots \varepsilon_{k_i}^i)$

for certain $k_i \geq 1$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$). Then it is easy to show that

$$A_i^c = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k_i} A(\varepsilon_1^i \dots \varepsilon_{j-1}^i \delta_j^i), \text{ where } \delta_j^i = 1 - \varepsilon_j^i \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, k_i).$$

From this we can derive, that $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset P$. This concludes the proof.

We know ([6]), that $\sigma_q(Z) = \sigma(Z)$ iff $E \cap F \in \sigma_q(Z)$ for all $E, F \in Z$.

From Lemma 2 the following proposition follows.

Proposition 3. Let $\mathcal{A}_1 = \sigma(\mathcal{A}_0)$. Then $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 4. Let $M: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a set-valued measure. Then the set function $\|M\|$ defined by (1) is non-decreasing on \mathcal{A} .

Proof. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, $A \supset B$ and $\{B_1, \dots, B_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be an arbitrary partition of B . Putting $B_0 = A - B$ we obtain $B_0 \in \mathcal{A}$, $B_0 \cap B_i = \emptyset$ for all $i=1, 2, \dots, n$, $A = \bigcup_{i=0}^n B_i$, thus $\{B_i\}_{i=0}^n$ is a partition of A . It means, that the following holds:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|M(B_i)\| < \sum_{j=0}^n \|M(B_j)\| \leq \|M\|(A), \text{ i.e. } \|M\|(B) \leq \|M\|(A).$$

Lemma 5. Let ν be a measure on a ring \mathcal{A} and ν^* the Caratheodory extension of ν to $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$. Then ν^* has no atom of finite measure iff the following condition holds:

For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $0 < \nu(A) < \infty$ the set $\{\nu(E) : E \in \mathcal{A}, E \subset A\}$ is dense in the interval $\langle 0, \nu(A) \rangle$.

Lemma 5 is proved in [1].

Proof of Theorem 1.

Without loss of generality we may assume $A=X$ and that $M(X) \neq \{0\}$. It follows from the nonatomicity of M , that there exists a set $A(0) \in \mathcal{A}$ such, that $\emptyset \subsetneq A(0) \subsetneq X$ and $M(A(0)) \neq \{0\}$, $M(X \setminus A(0)) \neq \{0\}$. Denote by $A(1) = X \setminus A(0)$. Then holds $A(0) \cup A(1) = X$, $A(0) \cap A(1) = \emptyset$ and $A(1) \in \mathcal{A}$. The repetition of the procedure just described will give us a dyadic structure $D_0 = \{A(\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_k), k \geq 1\}$ for X such, that for every set $A \in D_0$ holds $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $M(A) \neq \{0\}$.

To show the convexity of $\text{cl}M(X)$ it is sufficient to prove that if $x_1, x_2 \in M(X)$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, then $\alpha x_1 + (1-\alpha)x_2 \in \text{cl}M(X)$.

So choose arbitrary $x_1, x_2 \in M(X)$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. We can find elements $x_1(\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_k), x_2(\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_k) \in Y$ where $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $k=1, 2, \dots$, such that

- i) $x_j(\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_k) \in M(\mathbb{A}(\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_k))$,
- ii) $x_j(0) + x_j(1) = x_j$, (2)
- iii) $x_j(\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_k 0) + x_j(\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_k 1) = x_j(\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_k)$ (j=1, 2).

In Proposition 3 we have shown, that putting $\mathcal{A}_0 = s(D)$, $\mathcal{A}_1 = \sigma(\mathcal{A}_0)$ holds that

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}. \tag{3}$$

This is a very useful relation, because the most of further considerations will be based on the fact, that in consequence of (3) we can work on the σ -algebra \mathcal{A}_1 .

Taking in view the structure of \mathcal{A}_0 and relations i)-iii) in (2) we can define additive set-functions $m_j: \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow Y$ (j=1, 2) as $m_j(A) = x_j(A)$ for $A \in D_0$ and $m_j(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_j(A_i)$ for $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $A_i \in D_0$.

Let us define a set-function $\mu: \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ as

$$\mu(A) = \sup_{\pi_A} \sum_{i=1}^n \|M(A_i)\|$$
 for $A \in \mathcal{A}_1$, where the supremum is taken over the partitions $\pi_A = \{A_1, \dots, A_n: A_i \in \mathcal{A}_1 \text{ (i=1, \dots, n), } A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset \text{ for } i \neq j, A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i, n \geq 1\}$. In [5] there is shown that μ is a measure on \mathcal{A}_1 .

It follows that $\mu(A) \leq \|M\|(A)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Since M is of bounded variation and $\|M\|$ is non-decreasing, we conclude, that μ is a finite measure on \mathcal{A}_1 .

We know, that \mathcal{A}_0 is dense in \mathcal{A}_1 in the topology induced by the pseudo-metric $\rho: \mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ defined by

$$\rho(A, B) = \mu(A \Delta B) \text{ for } A, B \in \mathcal{A}_1, \text{ where } A \Delta B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A) \text{ ([6])}.$$

There holds, that

$\|m_j(A)\| \leq \mu(A)$ for $A \in \mathcal{A}_0$ ($j=1,2$). In this case ([3]) there exist extensions $\tilde{m}_j: \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow Y$ of the set functions $m_j: \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow Y$ such, that \tilde{m}_j are vector measures on \mathcal{A}_1 and

$$\|\tilde{m}_j(A)\| \leq \mu(A) \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathcal{A}_1 \quad (4).$$

Denote these extensions by the same symbols m_j .

Let us show, that μ is nonatomic. By Lemma 5 we need to show the following condition:

if $A \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $0 < \mu(A) < \infty$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $B \in \mathcal{A}_0$,

$B \subset A$ such, that

$$0 < \mu(A) - \mu(B) < \varepsilon$$

So let $A \in \mathcal{A}_0$ be arbitrary, $0 < \mu(A) < \infty$. From Lemma 2 it is clear, that there exist $n \geq 1$, $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$, $A_i \in D$ such that $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$, $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j=1,2,\dots,n$. Assume, that $A_1 \neq \emptyset$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ find a set $B_1 \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $B_1 \subset A_1$ such, that

$$0 < \mu(A_1) - \mu(B_1) < \varepsilon \quad (6).$$

Then if we put $B = B_1 \cup \bigcup_{i=2}^n A_i$, the condition (5) is fulfilled.

A_1 is a dyadic element, so there are other dyadic elements A_1^1, \tilde{A}_1^1 such, that $A_1^1 \cup \tilde{A}_1^1 = A_1$, $A_1^1 \cap \tilde{A}_1^1 = \emptyset$ and $A_1^1, \tilde{A}_1^1 \neq \emptyset$. Consequently $\mu(A_1) = \mu(A_1^1) + \mu(\tilde{A}_1^1)$. From this is clear, that one of the numbers $\mu(A_1^1)$ and $\mu(\tilde{A}_1^1)$ is not greater than $\frac{1}{2} \mu(A_1)$. Let $\mu(A_1^1)$ have

this property. The repetition of the procedure just described will

give us for every $k \geq 1$ a set $A_1^k \in D$, $\emptyset \subsetneq A_1^k \subsetneq A_1$ such, that

$$\mu(A_1^k) \leq \frac{1}{2^k} \mu(A_1) \quad (7).$$

Since μ is finite we can choose $k_0 \geq 1$ such, that $\frac{1}{2^{k_0}} \mu(A_1) < \varepsilon$.

If we put $B_1 = A_1 - A_1^k$, then $B_1 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and by (7) there holds that

$$\mu(A_1) - \mu(B_1) = \mu(A_1^k) < \varepsilon.$$

The left inequality in (6) can be proved by the following way:

It is enough to show that $\mu(A_1^{k_0}) > 0$. Let us assume, that $\mu(A_1^{k_0}) = 0$.

Thus for every partition $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{A}_1$ of A_1^k there holds, that $\sum_{i=1}^n \|M(C_i)\| = 0$. Then the following sequence of implication holds:

$$\|M(C_i)\| = 0 \quad \text{for every } i=1,2,\dots,n \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$M(C_i) = \{0\} \quad \text{for every } i=1,2,\dots,n \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$M(A_1^{k_0}) = \{0\}.$$

This is a contradiction with the construction of the dyadic structure D_0 , so the inequalities in (6) really hold. It means μ is nonatomic.

In [3] is shown, that the total variation of m_j , i.e. $|m_j|$, is the smallest measure with the property (4), thus holds $|m_j|(A) \leq \mu(A)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $j=1,2$. This and the nonatomicity of μ conclude the nonatomicity of $|m_j|$ ($j=1,2$).

From (4) it is obvious, that m_j are μ -continuous ($j=1,2$), thus by the RNP we can find Bochner integrable functions $f_1, f_2 : X \rightarrow Y$ such, that

$$m_j(A) = \int_A f_j d\mu \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{A}_1 \quad \text{and } j=1,2.$$

Let $T=Y \oplus Y$, then T is a Banach space with the RNP. We can easily realise, that the set function $m: \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow T$ defined by

$$m(A) = (m_1(A), m_2(A)) \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{A}_1, \text{ have the same properties as } m_j,$$

i.e. m is a vector measure of nonatomic bounded variation.

We can easily realise, that the Ljapunoff's theorem for vector measures ([8]) is valid under our conditions, too. Thus holds, that the closure of the domain of m , i.e. the set $K = \text{cl}(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}_1} m(A))$ is convex. Since $m_j(\emptyset) = 0$ and $m_j(X) = x_j$ ($j=1,2$), holds that $m(\emptyset) = (0,0), m(X) = (x_1, x_2) \in K$. It implies, that $\lambda(x_1, x_2) \in K$, thus for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists

$A \in \mathcal{A}_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\alpha x_1, x_2) - (m_1(A), m_2(A))\|_T < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \text{ i.e.} \\ & \|\alpha x_j - m_j(A)\|_Y < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad (j=1,2) \end{aligned} \quad (8).$$

From the density of \mathcal{A}_0 in \mathcal{A}_1 in the topology induced by ρ it follows, that there exists a sequence $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{A}_0$ such that $\mu(A_i \Delta A) \rightarrow 0$ ($i \rightarrow \infty$). Since

$$\|m_j(A_i) - m_j(A)\| \leq \int_{A_i \Delta A} \|f_j\| d\mu,$$

and $\int_E \|f_j\| d\mu$ ($E \in \mathcal{A}_1$) is absolutely continuous with respect to μ ,

it is clear, that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|m_j(A_i) - m_j(A)\| = 0$ ($j=1,2$). It means there

exists $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ such that $\|m_j(A_0) - m_j(A)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$. Using this and

(8) we receive

$$\|\alpha x_j - m_j(A_0)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad (j=1,2)$$

We know, that for $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ holds $m_1(A_0) + m_2(X \setminus A_0) \in M(A_0) + M(X \setminus A_0) = M(X)$ and from (9) follows, that

$$\|\alpha x_1 + (1-\alpha)x_2 - (m_1(A_0) + m_2(X \setminus A_0))\| \leq \|\alpha x_1 - m_1(A_0)\| + \|(1-\alpha)x_2 - m_2(X \setminus A_0)\| < \varepsilon.$$

It means that $\alpha x_1 + (1-\alpha)x_2 \in \text{cl}M(X)$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

REFERENCES

- [1] CAPEK, P.: On small systems. Acta F.R.N. Univ. Comen. Mathematica, 34, 1979, 93--101
- [2] COSTÈ, A.: Sur les multimesures a valeurs fermées bornées d'un espace de Banach. C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris Sér.A, 280, 1975, 567--570
- [3] DINCULEANU, N.: Vector measures. Berlin 1966
- [4] DUNFORD, N. - PETTIS, B.J.: Linear operations on summable functions. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 47, 1940, 323--392
- [5] HIAI, F.: Radon-Nikodym Theorems for Set-Valued Measures. J. Multivariate Anal., 8, 1978, 76--118
- [6] NUBRUNN, T. - RIEČAN, B.: Miera a integrál. Bratislava 1981
- [7] PHILLIPS, R.S.: On weakly compact subsets of a Banach space. Amer. J. Math., 65, 1943, 108--136
- [8] UHL, J.J., Jr.: The range of a vector-valued measure. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 23, 1969, 158--163.

Dr. L. Zsilinszky
Engelsova 4
940 61 Nové Zámky
Czechoslovakia