

An Investigation on the Operations of the Extended Interval-valued Functions and Their Measurability

Li Xihe

Department of Mathematics, Sichuan College of Education, Chengdu, China

This paper studies some measurability of the extended interval-valued functions and introduce the operations $+$, $-$, \cdot , \div of the extended interval-valued functions. Furthermore, We have discussed some measurability of the extended interval-valued functions. On background of the measurability of the extended interval-valued functions, reader may be referred [1,2] ect.

Keywords: Measurable space, Extended interval-valued function, Measurability, Operation property.

1. Some Measurability of the Extended Interval-valued Functions.

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}) be a measurable space, where Ω is a fixed nonempty set and \mathcal{F} is a σ field. Let \mathbb{R} be the real line, and $\bar{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ be the extended real line. Let $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ and $(\bar{\mathbb{R}}, \bar{\mathcal{B}})$ be the Borel measurable space and the extended Borel measurable space, respectively. Let $\Delta \triangleq \{ \langle a, b \rangle : a, b \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}, a \leq b, \langle a, b \rangle \neq \emptyset \}$. Where, $\langle a, b \rangle$ or $[a, b]$, or (a, b) , or $[a, b)$ or $(a, b]$. To simplify the writing, set $[a, a] = \{a\} = a$ ($a \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}$). If there exist $\varepsilon > 0$, such that $\mathcal{J} \supset (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$, for $\mathcal{J} \in \Delta$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we write " $\mathcal{J} \ni x$ ". It will be assumed that " $\mathcal{J} \ni +\infty$ " \iff " $\mathcal{J} \ni +\infty$ "; " $\mathcal{J} \ni -\infty$ " \iff " $\mathcal{J} \ni -\infty$ ".

Definition 1. $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$ is called "extended interval-valued function.

1) The Γ is called " \mathcal{F} -measurable", if for $\forall x \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}$, " $\Gamma \ni x$ " $= \{ \omega \in \Omega : \Gamma(\omega) \ni x \} \in \mathcal{F}$.

2) The \mathcal{P} is called " \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable",

if for $\forall x \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}$, " $\mathcal{P}(\geq x)$ " = $\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \mathcal{P}(\omega) \geq x\} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Definition 2. Let $\mathcal{P} : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$. We write $E_{\mathcal{P}}$, $E_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P} < x)$, $S_{\mathcal{P}}$, $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ that:

$$E_{\mathcal{P}} = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \mathcal{P}(\omega) = a \ (a \in \bar{\mathbb{R}})\}, \quad E_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P} < x) = \{\omega \in E_{\mathcal{P}} \mid \mathcal{P}(\omega) < x\} \ (x \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}),$$

$$S_{\mathcal{P}} = \{\omega \in \Omega : \text{there exists } a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b, \text{ such that } \mathcal{P}(\omega) \geq a, \mathcal{P}(\omega) \leq b, \mathcal{P}(\omega) \leq b\},$$

$$I_{\mathcal{P}} = \{\omega \in \Omega : \text{there exists } a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b, \text{ such that } \mathcal{P}(\omega) \geq b, \mathcal{P}(\omega) \geq a, \mathcal{P}(\omega) \leq a\}.$$

1) The \mathcal{P} is called "normal", if $E_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{F}$, and $E_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P} < x) \in \mathcal{F} \ (\forall x \in \bar{\mathbb{R}})$.

2) The \mathcal{P} is called "strongly normal", if the \mathcal{P} is normal and $S_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{F}$, $I_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proposition 1. Let $d \in \Delta$, and let $f, f_1, f_2 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are \mathcal{F} -measurable

functions and $f_1 \leq f_2$. We write $T_i \ (i=1,2,3,4,5) : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$:

$$T_1(\omega) \equiv d, \ (\omega \in \Omega); \quad T_2(\omega) = f(\omega), \ (\omega \in \Omega); \quad T_3(\omega) = [f_1(\omega), f_2(\omega)], \ (\omega \in \Omega);$$

$$T_4(\omega) = [f_1(\omega), +\infty), \ (\omega \in \Omega); \quad T_5(\omega) = (-\infty, f_2(\omega)], \ (\omega \in \Omega);$$

then, $T_i \ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ are \mathcal{F} -measurable, \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable, normal, strongly normal extended interval-valued functions.

Proofs are immediate.

Proposition 2. Let $\mathcal{P} : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$; then

\mathcal{P} is \mathcal{F} -measurable \iff " $\mathcal{P} > d$ " = $\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \mathcal{P}(\omega) > d\} \in \mathcal{F}, \ (\forall d \in \Delta)$.

Proof. C.f. theorem 1.1 of [3].

Proposition 3. Let $\mathcal{P} : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$. Let Q be rational number set.

If " $\mathcal{P} \geq +\infty$ " $\in \mathcal{F}$, " $\mathcal{P} \geq -\infty$ " $\in \mathcal{F}$, then

1) \mathcal{P} is \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable \iff 2) " $\mathcal{P}(\geq x)$ " $\in \mathcal{F} \ (\forall x \in Q) \iff$ 3) " $\mathcal{P} > (r_1, r_2)$ " $\in \mathcal{F} \ (\forall r_1, r_2 \in Q: r_1 < r_2) \iff$ 4) " $\mathcal{P} > (a, b)$ " $\in \mathcal{F} \ (\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b)$.

Proof. "1) \implies 2)" is immediate.

$$\text{"2) } \implies \text{3)": } \mathcal{P} > (r_1, r_2) = \bigcup_{\substack{h_1, h_2 \in Q \\ r_1 < h_1 < h_2 < r_2}} (\mathcal{P}(\geq h_1) \cap \mathcal{P}(\leq h_2)) \in \mathcal{F}.$$

"3) \implies 4)": For $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b$, there exists $\{r_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset Q, \{h_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset Q$ such that $r_n < h_n \ (n \geq 1)$, and $r_n \downarrow a, h_n \uparrow b$, it follow by $(a, b) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} (r_n, h_n)$

that " $\Gamma \supset (a, b)$ " = $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} "$ $\Gamma \supset (r_n, h_n)$ " $\in \mathcal{F}$.

"4) \implies 1)": For $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{R}, \{b_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{R}$, such that $a_n < b_n (n \geq 1), a_n \uparrow x, b_n \downarrow x$, it follows that " $\Gamma \ni x$ " = $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} "$ $\Gamma \supset (a_n, b_n)$ " $\in \mathcal{F}$. \square

Proposition 4. Let $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$, and $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ is the collection of extended Borel sets, then

$$\Gamma \text{ is normal} \iff E_{\Gamma}(P \in B) \in \mathcal{F}, (\forall B \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}).$$

Proofs are immediate.

Proposition 5. Let $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$; then

- 1) Γ is \mathcal{F} -measurable $\implies \Gamma$ is \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable;
- 2) Γ is strongly normal $\implies \Gamma$ is normal.

Proofs are immediate.

Proposition 6. Let $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$, then

Γ is \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable and strongly normal $\implies \Gamma$ is \mathcal{F} -measurable.

Proof. For $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, by the conditions, we have that " $\Gamma \ni x$ " \cap " $\Gamma \overline{\ni} x$ " =

$$E_{\Gamma}(P=x) \cup \left\{ \left[\bigcup_{\substack{r_1 \in \mathbb{Q} \\ r_1 < x}} \left(\bigcap_{r_2 \in \mathbb{Q}} \right) \right] \cap \left[\bigcap_{\substack{r_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \\ r_2 > x}} \right] \cap S_{\Gamma} \right\} \cup \left\{ \left[\bigcup_{\substack{r_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \\ r_2 > x}} \left(\bigcap_{r_1 \in \mathbb{Q}} \right) \right] \cap \left[\bigcap_{\substack{r_1 \in \mathbb{Q} \\ r_1 < x}} \right] \cap I_{\Gamma} \right\} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Consequently, " $\Gamma \ni x$ " = " $\Gamma \ni x$ " \cup (" $\Gamma \ni x$ " \cap " $\Gamma \overline{\ni} x$ ") $\in \mathcal{F}$. \square

Remark 1. The following example 1 states necessity of the condition "strongly normal" in the proposition 6.

Example 1. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}, (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F})$ be Lebesgue measurable space, $E \subset (0, 1)$ and $E \notin \mathcal{F}$.

Let $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$:

$$\Gamma(\omega) = \begin{cases} (-\infty, 0], & \text{if } \omega \in E, \\ (-\infty, 0), & \text{if } \omega \in \mathbb{R} - E. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, 1) Γ is \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable and normal, but Γ is not strongly normal

2) Since " $\Gamma \ni 0$ " = $E \notin \mathcal{F}$, and hence Γ is not \mathcal{F} -measurable.

2. The operations of extended interval-valued functions and their measurability

Definition 3. Let $*$ \in $\{+, -, \cdot, \div\}$. The expressions $0 \cdot (\pm\infty)$, $(\pm\infty) - (\pm\infty)$, $\frac{\pm\infty}{\pm\infty}$, $\frac{a}{0}$ ($a \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}$) are meaningless.

1) For $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2 \in \Delta$, we have defined $\mathcal{D}_1 * \mathcal{D}_2 = \{x = x_1 * x_2 : x_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2\}$. Where, we assume that $x_1 * x_2$ exists for $\forall x_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1$ and $x_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2$.

2) For $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$, we defined $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2 : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$:

$$(\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2)(\omega) = \Gamma_1(\omega) * \Gamma_2(\omega), \quad (\omega \in \Omega).$$

Where, we assume that $\Gamma_1(\omega) * \Gamma_2(\omega)$ exists for $\forall \omega \in \Omega$.

Property 1. Let $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$, and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then

1) Γ is \mathcal{F} -measurable $\implies a + b \cdot \Gamma$ is \mathcal{F} -measurable;

2) Γ is \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable $\implies a + b \cdot \Gamma$ is \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable.

Proof. The assertions follows from the fact for $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$"a + b \cdot \Gamma \ni x" = \begin{cases} \Omega, & \text{if } b=0, a=x, \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } b=0, a \neq x, \\ \{\Gamma \ni \frac{x-a}{b}\}, & \text{if } b \neq 0; \end{cases} \quad "a + b \cdot \Gamma (\ni) x" = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } b=0, \\ \{\Gamma (\ni) \frac{x-a}{b}\}, & \text{if } b \neq 0; \end{cases}$$

and

$$"a + b \cdot \Gamma \ni +\infty" = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } b=0, \\ \{\Gamma (\ni) +\infty\}, & \text{if } b > 0, \\ \{\Gamma (\ni) -\infty\}, & \text{if } b < 0; \end{cases} \quad "a + b \cdot \Gamma \ni -\infty" = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } b=0, \\ \{\Gamma \ni -\infty\}, & \text{if } b > 0, \\ \{\Gamma \ni +\infty\}, & \text{if } b < 0. \quad \square \end{cases}$$

Lemma 1. Let $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$; then

1) Γ_1, Γ_2 are normal $\implies \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$ is normal;

2) Γ_1, Γ_2 are strongly normal $\implies \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$ is strongly normal.

Proof, 1) The assertions follows from the fact that $E_{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2} = E_{\Gamma_1} \cap E_{\Gamma_2}$;

$$E_{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2}(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 < x) = E_{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2}(\Gamma_1 < x - \Gamma_2) = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} E_{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2}(\Gamma_1 < r < x - \Gamma_2) = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} [E_{\Gamma_1}(\Gamma_1 < r) \cap E_{\Gamma_2}(\Gamma_2 < x - r) \cap E_{\Gamma_1}^c], (\forall x \in \mathbb{R});$$

$$E_{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2}(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 < +\infty) = E_{\Gamma_1}(\Gamma_1 < +\infty) \cap E_{\Gamma_2}(\Gamma_2 < +\infty), \quad E_{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2}(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 < -\infty) = \emptyset.$$

2) The assertions follows from 1) and fact that

Property 2. Let $\tau_1, \tau_2 : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$. Then

1) τ_1, τ_2 are \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable and normal $\implies \tau_1 + \tau_2$ is \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable and normal;

2) τ_1, τ_2 are \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable and strongly normal $\implies \tau_1 + \tau_2$ is \mathcal{F} -measurable and strongly normal.

Proof. 1) The assertions follows from lemma 1, proposition 4 and fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 + \tau_2 \ni x &= \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{\substack{\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 < \gamma_2 - \gamma_1 < \frac{1}{n}}} \left\{ \left[\tau_1 \ni (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \wedge \tau_2 \ni (x - \gamma_1 - \frac{1}{n}, x - \gamma_2 + \frac{1}{n}) \right] \cup \left[\tau_2 \ni (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \wedge \tau_1 \ni (x - \gamma_1 - \frac{1}{n}, \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. x - \gamma_2 + \frac{1}{n}) \right] \cup \left[\tau_1 \ni (\gamma_1 - \frac{1}{n}, \gamma_2 + \frac{1}{n}) \wedge E_{\tau_2}(x - \gamma_1 - \frac{1}{n} < \tau_2 < x - \gamma_2 + \frac{1}{n}) \right] \cup \left[\tau_2 \ni (\gamma_1 - \frac{1}{n}, \gamma_2 + \frac{1}{n}) \wedge E_{\tau_1}(x - \gamma_1 - \frac{1}{n}, x - \gamma_2 + \frac{1}{n}) \right] \right\} \\ &(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}); \end{aligned}$$

$$\tau_1 + \tau_2 \ni +\infty = \tau_1 \ni +\infty \cup \tau_2 \ni +\infty, \quad \tau_1 + \tau_2 \ni -\infty = \tau_1 \ni -\infty \cup \tau_2 \ni -\infty.$$

2) The assertions follows from 1), lemma 1 and proposition 6.

Lemma 2. Let $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be strongly normal and \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable. We write

$$S_{+\infty} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \left[\bigcap_{k \geq n} \tau \ni k \right] \cup E_{\tau}(\tau = +\infty), \quad I_{-\infty} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \left[\bigcap_{k \geq n} \tau \ni -k \right] \cup E_{\tau}(\tau = -\infty),$$

$$\Omega_S = \Omega - [S_{\tau} \cup E_{\tau} \cup S_{+\infty}], \quad \Omega_I = \Omega - [I_{\tau} \cup E_{\tau} \cup I_{-\infty}].$$

$\tau_{S_0}, \tau_{I_0}, \tau_{(S_0)}, \tau_{(I_0)} : \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by that

$$\tau_{S_0}(\omega) = \begin{cases} \max \{x\}, & \text{if } \omega \in S_{\tau}, \\ 0, & \text{if } \omega \in \bar{S}_{\tau}, \end{cases} \quad \tau_{I_0}(\omega) = \begin{cases} \min \{x\}, & \text{if } \omega \in I_{\tau}, \\ 0, & \text{if } \omega \in \bar{I}_{\tau}; \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_{(S_0)}(\omega) = \begin{cases} \sup \{x\}, & \text{if } \omega \in \Omega_S, \\ 0, & \text{if } \omega \in \bar{\Omega}_S; \end{cases} \quad \tau_{(I_0)}(\omega) = \begin{cases} \inf \{x\}, & \text{if } \omega \in \Omega_I, \\ 0, & \text{if } \omega \in \bar{\Omega}_I. \end{cases}$$

Then $\tau_{S_0}, \tau_{I_0}, \tau_{(S_0)}, \tau_{(I_0)} : \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ are \mathcal{F} - β measurable functions.

Proof. For $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$"T_{S_0} \leq c" = (\Omega - S_P) \cup \left\{ S_P \cap \left(\bigcup_{r_1 \in \mathbb{Q}} "P(\omega) r_1" \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{\substack{r_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \\ r_2 > c}} "P(\bar{\omega}) r_2" \right) \right\}, \text{ (if } c \geq 0);$$

$$"T_{S_0} \leq c" = S_P \cap \left(\bigcup_{r_1 \in \mathbb{Q}} "P(\omega) r_1" \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{\substack{r_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \\ r_2 > c}} "P(\omega) c" \right), \text{ (if } c < 0);$$

$$"T_{(I_0)} \geq c" = (\Omega - \Omega_I) \cup \left\{ \Omega_I \cap \left(\bigcup_{r_1 \in \mathbb{Q}} "P(\omega) r_1" \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{\substack{r_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \\ r_2 < c}} "P(\bar{\omega}) r_2" \right) \right\}, \text{ (if } c \leq 0);$$

$$"T_{(I_0)} \geq c" = \Omega_I \cap \left(\bigcup_{r_1 \in \mathbb{Q}} "P(\omega) r_1" \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{\substack{r_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \\ r_2 < c}} "P(\bar{\omega}) r_2" \right), \text{ (if } c > 0)$$

It follows, by the conditions, that

$$"T_{S_0} \leq c" \in \mathcal{F}, \quad "T_{(I_0)} \geq c" \in \mathcal{F} \quad (\forall c \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Thus, T_{S_0} and $T_{(I_0)}$ are \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{B} measurable functions.

\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{B} measurability of T_{I_0} and $T_{(S_0)}$ are similarly proved.

Lemma 3. Let $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$. For $d \in \Delta$, we write $d^{(2)} = \{y = x^2 : x \in d\}$ and let

$$\Gamma^{(2)} : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta : \Gamma^{(2)}(\omega) = (\Gamma(\omega))^{(2)} \quad (\omega \in \Omega). \text{ We have}$$

- 1) Γ is normal and \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable $\implies \Gamma^{(2)}$ is normal and \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable ;
- 2) Γ is strongly normal and \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable $\implies \Gamma^{(2)}$ is strongly normal.

Proof. 1) The normality of $\Gamma^{(2)}$ follows from the fact that $E_{\Gamma^{(2)}} = E_{\Gamma}$, and for $\forall x \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}$

$$E_{\Gamma^{(2)}}(\Gamma^{(2)} < x) = \begin{cases} E_P(P < \sqrt{x}) \cup E_P(P > -\sqrt{x}), & \text{if } x > 0, \\ \phi, & \text{if } x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

The \mathcal{F} -weakly measurability of $\Gamma^{(2)}$ follows from the fact for $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$" \Gamma^{(2)}(\omega) < x " = \begin{cases} "P(\omega) \sqrt{x}" \cup "P(\omega) -\sqrt{x}", & \text{if } x > 0, \\ \phi, & \text{if } x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

2) It follows readily that

$$S_{\Gamma^{(2)}} = \left\{ " |T_{S_0}| > |T_{I_0}| " \cup " |T_{I_0}| > |T_{S_0}| " \cup " |T_{S_0}| > |T_{(I_0)}| " \cup " |T_{(I_0)}| > |T_{S_0}| " \right\} - I_{-\infty};$$

$$I_{\Gamma^{(2)}} = \left\{ " |T_{S_0}| < |T_{I_0}| " \cup " |T_{I_0}| < |T_{S_0}| " \cup " |T_{S_0}| < |T_{(I_0)}| " \cup " |T_{(I_0)}| < |T_{S_0}| " \right\} - S_{+\infty}.$$

Thus, by the conditions and lemma 2, $S_{P^{(2)}}, I_{P^{(2)}} \in \mathcal{F}$. Therefore, by 1), $P^{(2)}$ is strongly normal.

Property 3. Let $P_1, P_2 : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$; then

- 1) P_1 and P_2 are normal and \mathcal{F} -weakly normal $\implies P_1 \cdot P_2$ is normal and \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable;
- 2) P_1 and P_2 are strongly normal and \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable $\implies P_1 \cdot P_2$ is strongly normal and \mathcal{F} -measurable.

Proof. It follows readily that $P_1 \cdot P_2 = \frac{1}{2} [(P_1 + P_2)^{(2)} - (P_1^{(2)} + P_2^{(2)})]$.

The assertion 1) follows at once from lemma 3 and property 2.

The assertion 2) follows at once from lemma 3 and property 6.

Lemma 4. Let $P : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$, and let $P^{-1} = \frac{1}{P} = 1 \div P : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$. We have

- 1) P is normal $\implies P^{-1}$ is normal;
- 2) P is \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable $\implies P^{-1}$ is \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable;
- 3) P is strongly normal $\implies P^{-1}$ is strongly normal.

Proof. 1) It follows readily that $E_{P^{-1}} = E_P$, and for $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$E_{P^{-1}}(P^{-1} < c) = \begin{cases} E_P(P < 0) \cup E_P(P > \frac{1}{c}), & \text{if } c > 0, \\ E_P(P < 0), & \text{if } c = 0, \\ E_P(P < 0) \cup E_P(P > \frac{1}{c}), & \text{if } c < 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, by the conditions, P^{-1} is normal.

2) The assertion follows from condition and fact for $\forall x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ that

$$"P^{-1}(\cdot)x" = \begin{cases} "P(\cdot)\frac{1}{x}", & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}, \\ \phi, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

3) The assertion follows from 1) and fact that $S_{P^{-1}} = I_P, I_{P^{-1}} = S_P. \square$

Property 4. Let $P_1, P_2 : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$, then

- 1) P_1, P_2 are normal and \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable $\implies P_1 \div P_2$ is normal and \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable;
- 2) P_1, P_2 are strongly normal and \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable $\implies P_1 \div P_2$ is strongly normal and \mathcal{F} -measurable.

Proof. The assertions follows at once from lemma 4 and property 4.

Remark 2. The follows example 2 state that necessity of the condition " $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ are normal" for \mathcal{F} -weakly measurability of $\mathcal{F}_1 * \mathcal{F}_2$ in the results of properties 2, 3, 4.

Example 2. Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$:

$$\mathcal{F}_1(\omega) \equiv [1, 3], (\omega \in \Omega); \quad \mathcal{F}_2(\omega) = \begin{cases} \omega + 3, & \text{if } \omega \in E, \\ \omega, & \text{if } \omega \in (0, 1) - E, \\ [-5, -4], & \text{if } \omega \in \mathbb{R} - (0, 1); \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{F}_3 = \mathcal{F}_2^{-1}.$$

Where, $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$, $E \subset (0, 1)$, $E \in \mathcal{F}$ (c.f. example 1).

Clearly, 1) \mathcal{F}_1 is strongly normal and \mathcal{F} -measurable; \mathcal{F}_2 is \mathcal{F} -measurable, but is not normal; 2) since " $\mathcal{F}_1 + \mathcal{F}_2 \approx 5.5$ " = " $\mathcal{F}_1 \cdot \mathcal{F}_2 \approx 6$ " = $E \in \mathcal{F}$; thus, $\mathcal{F}_1 * \mathcal{F}_2$ is not \mathcal{F} -weakly measurable.

Where, $*$ \in $\{ +, -, \cdot, \div \}$.

$$\begin{aligned} &= " \mathcal{F}_1 - (\mathcal{F}_2) \approx 5.5 " \\ &= " \mathcal{F}_1 \div \mathcal{F}_2 " \end{aligned}$$

The follows example 3 state necessity of the conditions " $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ are strongly normal" for \mathcal{F} -measurability of $\mathcal{F}_1 * \mathcal{F}_2$ in the results of properties 2, 3, 4.

Example 3. Let $\mathcal{F}_i (i = 1, \dots, 5) : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$:

$$\mathcal{F}_1(\omega) = \begin{cases} (-\infty, \omega], & \text{if } \omega \in E, \\ (-\infty, \omega), & \text{if } \omega \in (0, 1) - E, \\ [4, 5), & \text{if } \omega \in \mathbb{R} - (0, 1); \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{F}_2(\omega) = \begin{cases} (-\infty, 1 - \omega], & \text{if } \omega \in E, \\ (-\infty, 1 - \omega), & \text{if } \omega \in (0, 1) - E, \\ [4, 5), & \text{if } \omega \in \mathbb{R} - (0, 1); \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_3(\omega) = \begin{cases} [\omega, +\infty), & \text{if } \omega \in E, \\ (\omega, +\infty), & \text{if } \omega \in (0, 1) - E, \\ (-2, -1], & \text{if } \omega \in \mathbb{R} - (0, 1); \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{F}_4(\omega) = \begin{cases} [\frac{3}{\omega}, +\infty), & \text{if } \omega \in E, \\ (\frac{3}{\omega}, +\infty), & \text{if } \omega \in (0, 1) - E, \\ [-1, -1], & \text{if } \omega \in \mathbb{R} - (0, 1); \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_5 = \mathcal{F}_4^{-1}.$$

It follows readily that $\mathcal{F}_1 + \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_3 \cdot \mathcal{F}_4, \mathcal{F}_3 \div \mathcal{F}_5 : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$:

$$(\mathcal{F}_1 + \mathcal{F}_2)(\omega) = \begin{cases} (-\infty, 1], & \text{if } \omega \in E, \\ (-\infty, 1), & \text{if } \omega \in (0, 1) - E, \\ [8, 10), & \text{if } \omega \in \mathbb{R} - (0, 1); \end{cases} \quad (\mathcal{F}_3 \cdot \mathcal{F}_4)(\omega) = (\mathcal{F}_3 \div \mathcal{F}_5)(\omega) = \begin{cases} [3, +\infty), & \text{if } \omega \in E, \\ (3, +\infty), & \text{if } \omega \in (0, 1) - E, \\ [1, 2), & \text{if } \omega \in \mathbb{R} - (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

Clearly, 1) \mathcal{F}_i ($i=1, \dots, 5$) are normal and \mathcal{F} -measurable, but $\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_3, \mathcal{F}_5$ are not strongly normal; 2) since " $\mathcal{F}_1 + \mathcal{F}_2 \ni 1$ " = " $\mathcal{F}_3 \cdot \mathcal{F}_4 \ni 3$ " = " $\mathcal{F}_3 \div \mathcal{F}_5 \ni 3$ " = $E \bar{E} \mathcal{F}$, hence $\mathcal{F}_1 + \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_3 \cdot \mathcal{F}_4, \mathcal{F}_3 \div \mathcal{F}_5$ are not \mathcal{F} -measurable.

References

- [1] Li Xihe, Stability of random membership frequency and fuzzy statistics, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 29 (1989) 89-102.
- [2] Wang Pei-Zhuang, From the fuzzy statistics to the falling random subset, *Advances on Fuzzy Set Theory and Application*, P.P.Wang(ed) Pergamon Press (1983).
- [3] M.Loeve, *Probability theory*, 4th edition (Van Nostrand, New York, 1963).
- [4] P.R.Halmos, *Measure theory*, Van Nostrand, 1950.