# SOME RESULTS ON WEAK t-NORMS\*

### János C. FODOR

Computer Center, Eötvös Loránd University H-1502 Budapest 112, P.O. Box 157, Hungary

Abstract The notion of weak t-norms - as a model for intersection of fuzzy sets - was introduced in [1]. In this paper we present some results on the representation of an important class of weak t-norms and some related problems such as the properties of negations based on weak t-norms and comparison of weak t-norms via their generator functions.

#### 1. Introduction

First we recall the notion of a weak t-norm from [1]. Let I = [0,1] and  $I_0 = (0,1)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A function w:IxI  $\rightarrow$  I will be called weak t-norm if it has the following properties:

$$w(1,a) = a, w(a,1) \le a \quad \forall a \in I,$$
 (1.1)

$$w(a,b) \le w(c,d)$$
 when  $a \le c$ ,  $b \le d$ . (1.2)

If w is a weak t-norm then its right pseudocomplement is defined by

$$\vec{w}(a,b) = \sup \{ x ; w(a,x) \le b \}.$$
 (1.3)

Denote W the class of weak t-norms with property

$$w(a,x)$$
 is left-continuous in x on I for every  $a \in I$ . (1.4)

We proved in [1] the following result concerning w.

<sup>\*</sup>This research has been partially supported by OTKA-27-5-606 and FKP-400-0113.

Proposition 1.1. If  $w \in W$  then we have

- (1)  $\overrightarrow{w}(a,x)$  is right-continuous with respect to x on I for every  $a \in I$ .
- (2) If  $a \leq c$  then  $w'(a,b) \geq w'(c,b)$ .
- (3) If  $b \leq d$  then  $\vec{w}(a,b) \leq \vec{w}(a,d)$ .
- (4) If  $a \leq b$  then  $w^{-}(a,b) = 1$ .
- (5)  $\vec{w}(1,b) = b \quad \forall b \in I.$
- (6)  $c \leq w^{\uparrow}(a,b)$  if and only if  $w(a,c) \leq b$ .  $\square$

Properties (1) - (5) are accepted for a fuzzy implication function in the literature, see e.g. Trillas and Valverde [5]. So w seems to be a good model for a fuzzy implication. On the other hand, the class W is fairly broad not only for the theory but also for the applications, see examples in [1]. However, the Exchange Principle, i.e.,

$$\vec{w}(a, \vec{w}(b, c)) = \vec{w}(b, \vec{w}(a, c)),$$
 (1.5)

does not hold automatically when  $w \in W$ .

We proved in [2] the next result on the Exchange Principle.

**Proposition 1.2.** Assume that  $w \in W$ .  $w^{\rightarrow}$  fulfils (1.5) if and only if

$$w(a,w(b,c)) = w(b,w(a,c))$$
.  $\square$ 

In the next section we investigate weak t-norms having property (1.5).

### 2. Representation of a class of weak t-norms

Assume that  $w \in W$  is such that it has the following properties as well:

- i)  $\psi(a) = w(a,1)$  is continuous, strictly increasing function
- $ii) \quad w(a,w(b,c)) = w(b,w(a,c))$
- iii)  $w(a, \psi(a)) < \psi(a)$  for  $a \in I_0$ .

Denote this subclass of W by  $W_A$ . We can present a representation theorem for members of  $W_A$  as follows.

# Theorem 2.1. (Representation theorem)

 $w \in W_A$  if and only if there exist functions  $f,g:I \to R_+$  with properties

- (i) f,g are strictly decreasing, continuous functions
- (ii)  $g(x) \ge f(x) \quad \forall x \in I$
- (iii) f(1) = g(1) = 0

such that

$$w(a,b) = f^{(-1)}(g(a) + f(b)),$$
 (2.1)

where  $f^{(-1)}$  denotes the pseudoinverse of f .  $\Box$ 

This theorem can be seen as a generalization of the representation theorem for t-norms, see Ling [3] or Schweizer and Sklar [4].

We will call the ordered pair (f,g) additive generators of w if w has the form (2.1) with f and g.

# **Theorem 2.2.** Assume that for a $w \in W_{\Lambda}$ we have

$$w(a,b) = f_1^{(-1)}(g_1(a) + f_1(b)) = f_2^{(-1)}(g_2(a) + f_2(b)).$$

Then there exists an  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $f_2 = \alpha f_1$  and  $g_2 = \alpha g_1$ .

We say that a weak t-norm w has zero divisors if there exist a,b > 0 such that w(a,b) = 0. A weak t-norm w is strict if it is strictly increasing on  $I_0 \times I_0$ .

Theorem 2.3. Assume that  $w \in W_A$  with additive generators (f,g). Then

- a) w has zero divisors if and only if  $f(0) < +\infty$ ,
- b) w is strict if and only if  $f(0) = \lim_{x\to 0} f(x) = +\infty$ .  $\Box$

### 3. Negations based on weak t-norms

A function  $n:I\rightarrow I$  is called *negation* if n is nonincreasing and n(0) = 1, n(1) = 0. A negation is *strict* if n is continuous and decreasing. A strict negation is *strong* if n(n(a)) = a for every  $a \in I$ .

As in the case of t-norms, one can define a negation by  $\vec{w}(a,0)$ .

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that  $w \in W_A$ . Then

a) 
$$\overrightarrow{w}(a,0) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a > 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } a = 1 \end{cases}$$
 when w is strict;

b) If w has zero divisors then there exists an  $a_0 < 1$  such that  $\vec{w}(a,0) = 1$  for  $a \in [0,a_0]$  and  $\vec{w}(a,0)$  is strictly decreasing on  $(a_0,1]$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $w \in W_A$  be such that it has zero divisors. Then a)  $\overrightarrow{w}(a,0)$  is a strict negation <u>iff</u>  $g(0) = f(0) < +\infty$ ;

b)  $\overrightarrow{w}(a,0)$  is a strong negation <u>iff</u> g(x) = f(x) and  $f(0) < +\infty$ .

This means that  $\overrightarrow{w}(a,0)$  is a strong negation if and only if w is an Archimedian t-norm with zero divisors.

### 4. Comparison of weak t-norms

It is well-known from the theory of t-norms that one can express the relation  $T_1(a,b) \leq T_2(a,b)$  via the generator functions of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . This is also the case in connection with weak t-norms.

Theorem 4.1. Assume that  $w_1$ ,  $w_2 \in W_A$ . Then  $w_1 \le w_2$  if and only if  $f_1 \circ f_2^{(-1)}(u+v) \le g_1 \circ g_2^{(-1)}(u) + f_1 \circ f_2^{(-1)}(v)$ 

for every  $u,v \in I$ , where  $(f_1,g_1)$  and  $(f_2,g_2)$  are the generator functions of  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , respectively.  $\square$ 

Corollary. If  $f_1 \circ f_2^{(-1)}$  is subadditive then  $w_1 \leq w_2$ .  $\square$ 

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J.C. Fodor, Strict preference relations based on weak t-norms, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, to appear
- [2] J.C. Fodor, On fuzzy implication operators, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, submitted
- [3] C.H. Ling, Representation of associative functions, Publ. Math. Debrecen 12 (1965) 189-212.
- [4] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, Probabilistic Metric Spaces (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983).
- [5] E. Trillas and L. Valverde, On implication and indistinguishability in the setting of fuzzy logic, in: J.Kacprzyk and R.R. Yager, Eds., Management Decision Support Systems Using Fuzzy Sets and Possibility Theory (Verlag TÜV Rheinland, Köln, 1985) 198-212.