

# ERGODIC THEOREMS ON FUZZY QUANTUM SPACES

Anna TIRPÁKOVÁ

Archaeological Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences,  
CS - 949 21 Nitra - hrad, Czechoslovakia

In this paper, we present a generalization of the individual ergodic theorem. It deals with a continuation of results of ergodic theory for fuzzy quantum spaces [3].

Now, we introduce the notions which we shall use in the following.

By a fuzzy quantum space we understand a couple  $(X, M)$ , where  $X$  is a nonempty set and  $M \subset [0, 1]^X$  such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) if  $[1]_X(x) = 1$  for any  $x \in X$ , then  $[1]_X \in M$ ;
- (ii) if  $a \in M$ , then  $a^\perp := 1 - a \in M$ ;
- (iii) if  $[1/2]_X(x) = 1/2$  for any  $x \in X$ , then  $[1/2]_X \notin M$ ;
- (iv)  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n := \sup_n a_n \in M$ , for any  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset M$ ;

By  $\bigcap_n a_n$  we mean  $\inf_n a_n$ .

An F-state of a fuzzy quantum space  $(X, M)$  is a mapping  $m: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

- (i)  $m(a \cup (1 - a)) = 1$  for every  $a \in M$ ;
- (ii) if  $a_i \in M$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $a_i \leq 1 - a_j$  ( $i \neq j$ ) then

$$m\left(\bigcup_i a_i\right) = \sum_i m(a_i).$$

In the fuzzy set theory, the mapping  $m$  is called a P-measure and  $M$  is a fuzzy soft  $\mathcal{G}$ -algebra ([7]).

An F-observable on a fuzzy quantum space  $(X, M)$  is a mapping  $x: B(\mathbb{R}^1) \rightarrow M$  satisfying the following properties:

- (i)  $x(E^c) = 1 - x(E)$  for every  $E \in B(\mathbb{R}^1)$ ;
- (ii) if  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset B(\mathbb{R}^1)$ , then  $x\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} x(E_n)$ , where  $B(\mathbb{R}^1)$

is the Borel  $\mathcal{G}$ -algebra of the real line  $\mathbb{R}^1$ , and  $E^c$  denotes

the complement of the set  $E$  in  $R^1$ . For an  $F$ -observable  $x$  we put  $B_x(t) = x((-\infty, t))$ ,  $t \in R^1$ , and any  $F$ -observable is uniquely determined by the system  $\{B_x(t): t \in R^1\}$  [4]. We define a question observable  $x_a$  of a fuzzy set  $a \in M$  as a mapping from  $B(R^1)$  into  $M$  such that

$$x_a(E) = \begin{cases} a \cap a^\perp & \text{if } 0, 1 \notin E \\ a^\perp & \text{if } 0 \in E, 1 \notin E \\ a & \text{if } 0 \notin E, 1 \in E \\ a \cup a^\perp & \text{if } 0, 1 \in E, \end{cases} \quad \text{for any } E \in B(R^1).$$

It is evident that  $x_a$  plays the role of the indicator of the fuzzy set  $a \in M$ . The question observable of the null fuzzy set  $0$  we denote by  $\sigma$ , i.e.,  $\sigma = x_0$ .

If  $f: R^1 \rightarrow R^1$  is a Borel measurable function, then  $f \cdot x: E \rightarrow x(f^{-1}(E))$ ,  $E \in B(R^1)$  is an  $F$ -observable of  $(X, M)$ . The spectrum of an  $F$ -observable  $x$  we mean the set  $\mathcal{G}(x) = \bigcap \{C \subset R^1: C \text{ is closed and } x(C) = x(R^1)\}$ . An  $F$ -observable  $x$  is bounded if  $\mathcal{G}(x)$  is a bounded set, in this case, we define the norm of  $x$ ,  $\|x\|$ , via  $\|x\| = \sup\{|t|: t \in \mathcal{G}(x)\}$ . In [4], it has been defined the sum of any pair  $x$  and  $y$  of  $F$ -observables of  $(X, M)$  as follows:

By the sum of any pair of two  $F$ -observables  $x$  and  $y$  we mean a unique  $F$ -observable  $x + y$  for which we have

$$B_{x+y}(t) = \bigcup_{r \in Q} (B_x(r) \cap B_y(t - r)), \quad t \in R^1,$$

where  $Q$  is the set of all rationals in  $R^1$ .

The sum of two  $F$ -observables exists always ([4],[5]), and it coincides with pointwisely defined sum of observables for a  $\sigma$ -algebra of crisp subsets.

The difference of  $x$  and  $y$  is defined as  $x - y = x + (-y)$ , where  $(-y)(E) = y(\{t: -t \in E\})$ ,  $E \in B(R^1)$ .

If  $x$  is an  $F$ -observable and  $m$  is an  $F$ -state, then the mean value of  $x$  in  $m$  we shall defined as follows

$$m(x) = \int_{R^1} t \, dm_x(t) := \int x \, dm, \quad \text{if the integral exists and}$$

is finite, where  $m_x$  is a probability measure on  $B(R^1)$  defined via  $m_x(E) = m(x(E))$ ,  $E \in B(R^1)$ .

A mapping  $\tau: M \rightarrow M$  such that

(i)  $\mathcal{T}(a^\perp) = \mathcal{T}(a)^\perp, a \in M;$   
(ii)  $\mathcal{T}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{T}(a_i), \{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset M$  is called a homomorphism of  $(X, M)$ . We say that a homomorphism  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $(X, M)$  is invariant in an  $F$ -state  $m$  if  $m(\mathcal{T}(a)) = m(a), a \in M$ . A homomorphism  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $(X, M)$  invariant in an  $F$ -state  $m$  is said to be ergodic in  $m$  if the statement  $m(a \cap \mathcal{T}(a^\perp)) = 0 = m(\mathcal{T}(a) \cap a^\perp)$  implies  $m(a) \in \{0, 1\}$ . If  $\mathcal{T}$  is a homomorphism and  $x$  is an  $F$ -observable, then  $\mathcal{T} \circ x: E \mapsto (x(E)), E \in B(\mathbb{R}^1)$ , is an  $F$ -observable of  $(X, M)$ , too.

Now, we recall that the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of  $F$ -observables of a fuzzy quantum space  $(X, M)$  converges to an  $F$ -observable  $x$  almost everywhere in an  $F$ -state  $m$  (in short  $x_n \rightarrow x$  a.e. [ $m$ ]) if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$m\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} ((x_n - x)([-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]))\right) = 0.$$

#### ERGODIC THEOREMS

In this part, we generalize some results of R. Mesiar [6] for fuzzy quantum space.

Let us define, according to [1],  $I_0 = \{a \in M: \exists c \geq 1/2, c \in M, \text{ such that } a \cap c \leq 1/2\}$ ,  $I_m = \{a \in M: m(a) = 0\}$ , then  $I_0 \subseteq I_m$  and  $I_0$  ( $I_m$ ) is a  $\sigma$ -ideal, that is

- (i) if  $a \in M, b \in I_0, a \leq b$  then  $a \in I_0$ ;
- (ii) if  $\{a_i\} \subset I_0$ , then  $\bigcup_i a_i \in I_0$ ;
- (iii)  $a \cap a^\perp \in I_0$  for every  $a \in M$ ;
- (iv) if  $a \cap c \in I_0$  for some  $c \geq 1/2, c \in M$ , then  $a \in I_0$ .

We define relation " $\sim$ " as follows:  $a \sim b$  iff  $a \cap b^\perp, a^\perp \cap b \in I_0$ ,  $\bar{a} = \{b \in M: b \sim a\}, a \in M$ , and  $M/I_0 = \{\bar{a}: a \in M\}$  is a Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra [2], if we put  $\bar{a}^\perp = \bar{a}^\perp$ , and  $\bigvee_i \bar{a}_i = \overline{\bigcup_i a_i}$ .

Moreover, if  $m$  is a state, then  $\mu(\bar{a}) := m(a), a \in M$ , is a probability measure on  $M/I_0$ .

Define a mapping  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}: M/I_0 \rightarrow M/I_0$  as follows:  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{a}) = \overline{\mathcal{T}a}, a \in M$ . Then due to the invariancy of  $\mathcal{T}$  in  $m$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}$  is a well-de-

fine homomorphism of  $M/I_0$ , that is,

- (i)  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{0}) = \bar{0}$ ;
- (ii)  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{a}^\perp) = (\bar{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{a}))^\perp, a \in M$ ;
- (iii)  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{a}_i) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{a}_i), \{a_i\} \subset M$ .

Moreover, it is invariant in  $\bar{\mu}$ , i.e.,  $\bar{\mu}(\bar{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{a})) = \bar{\mu}(\bar{a}), a \in M$ . Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of  $F$ -observables of  $(X, M)$ . Then  $y_n: E \mapsto x_n(E), E \in B(R^1), n \geq 1$ , is an  $F$ -observable of  $M/I_0$ , i.e., it fulfils the same conditions as  $F$ -observables, moreover,  $y_n(\emptyset) = \bar{0}$ .

LEMMA 1. Let  $A$  be the minimal Boolean sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $M/I_0$  containing all ranges of  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}^i \cdot x_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}A \subset A$ .

PROOF. Denote by  $A_0 = \{\bar{a} \in A: \bar{\mathcal{T}}\bar{a} \in A\}$ . Then  $\bar{0}, \bar{1} \in A_0$  and  $A_0$  is a Boolean sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $M/I_0$  containing all ranges  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}^i \cdot \bar{x}_1, n \geq 1$ . Hence,  $A_0 = A$ .

Q.E.D.

THEOREM 1. Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of  $F$ -observables of a fuzzy quantum space  $(X, M)$ . Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \rightarrow \sigma$  a.e.  $[m], \|x_n\| \leq K$ , for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\mathcal{T}}^i \cdot x_1 \rightarrow \sigma \text{ a.e. } [m].$$

PROOF. The Boolean sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $A$  in Lemma 1 has a countable generator, hence, due to Varadarajan [8], there is an observable  $z: B(R^1) \rightarrow M/I_0$ , such that  $A = z(B(R^1))$ . It is clear that  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}$  is  $z$ -measurable, i.e.,  $\bar{\mathcal{T}} \cdot z(B(R^1)) \subset z(B(R^1))$ . This is possible ([1]) iff there is a Borel measurable transformation  $T: R^1 \rightarrow R^1$  such that  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}(z(E)) = z(T^{-1}(E)), E \in B(R^1)$ . Therefore,  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}^k(z(E)) = z(T^{-k}(E)), E \in B(R^1)$ , and due to Varadarajan [8], there exists a sequence of Borel measurable functions  $\{f_n\}: \bar{x}_n(E) = z(f_n^{-1}(E))$  for every  $E \in B(R^1), n \geq 1$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}^k \bar{x}_n(E) = \bar{\mathcal{T}}^k(z f_n^{-1}(E)) = z(T^{-k}(f_n^{-1}(E)))$ .

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(x_n) \supseteq \mathcal{G}(\bar{x}_n) \supseteq \mathcal{G}(f_n^{-1}), \text{ then} \\ |f_n| \leq \|\bar{x}_n\| \leq \|x_n\| \leq K. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear, that  $\mu_z: E \mapsto \mu(z(E))$ ,  $E \in B(R^1)$ , is a probability measure on  $B(R^1)$  and  $(R^1, B(R^1), \mu_z, T)$  is a dynamic system. From a definition of the almost everywhere convergence  $x_n \rightarrow \sigma$  a.e. We have

$$m\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} x_n([- \varepsilon, \varepsilon])\right) = 1 \iff$$

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} \bar{x}_n([- \varepsilon, \varepsilon])\right) = 1,$$

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} z(f_n^{-1}([- \varepsilon, \varepsilon]))\right) = 1,$$

$$\mu_z\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} f_n^{-1}([- \varepsilon, \varepsilon])\right) = 1 \text{ iff } f_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.e. } [\mu_z].$$

Due to [6], we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \circ T^i \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.e. } [\mu_z], \text{ so that}$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T^i \cdot x_i \rightarrow \sigma \text{ a.e. } [m].$$

Q.E.D.

Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two  $F$ -observables of  $(X, M)$ . We say that  $x \leq y$  if  $G(y - x) \subseteq [0, \infty)$ .

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $x_n \rightarrow \sigma$  a.e.  $[m]$ ,  $\sigma \leq x_n \leq y$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T^i \cdot x_i \rightarrow \sigma \text{ a.e. } [m].$$

**PROOF.** We used the similar arguments as those developed in Lemma 1 and Theorem 1. Let  $A_1$  be the minimal Boolean sub- $G$ -algebra of  $M/I_0$  containing all ranges of  $T^k \cdot \bar{x}_n$  and  $T^k \cdot \bar{y}$ , for  $k \geq 1, n \geq 1$ . Then  $\bar{A}_1 \subseteq A_1$  and  $A_1$  has a countable generator. In view of Varadarajan [8], there is an observable  $z$  from  $B(R^1)$  onto  $A_1$ . Moreover, there are  $f, f_n, n \geq 1$ , Borel measurable, real-valued functions, such that  $\bar{x}_n(E) = z(f_n^{-1}(E))$ ,  $\bar{y}(E) = z(f^{-1}(E))$ , for any  $E \in B(R^1)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

Denote  $g_n = \max(0, f_n)$  and  $g = \max(0, f)$ , then we have  $\bar{x}_n(E) = z(g_n^{-1}(E))$ ,  $\bar{y}(E) = z(g^{-1}(E))$ ,  $E \in B(R^1)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

Let  $h_n = \min(f_n, f)$  and  $h = f$ , then  $\bar{x}_n(E) = z(h_n^{-1}(E))$ ,  
 $\bar{y}(E) = z(h^{-1}(E))$ .

Moreover,

$$0 \leq h_n \leq h, \text{ and}$$

$$0 \leq \|\bar{x}_n\| \leq \|\bar{y}\|.$$

Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain a dynamic system  $(R^1, B(R^1), \mu_z, T)$ , where  $\mu_z(E) = \mu(z(E))$ ,  $\mu_z: E \rightarrow \mu(z(E))$  is a probability measure on  $B(R^1)$  and  $\bar{z}(z(E)) = z(T^{-1}(E))$ ,  $E \in B(R^1)$ . This implies that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T^i \cdot x_1 \rightarrow \sigma \text{ a.e. } [m] \text{ iff}$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{z}^i \cdot \bar{x}_1 \rightarrow \sigma \text{ a.e. } [\mu] \text{ iff}$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \cdot T^i \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.e. } [\mu_z].$$

But the last assertion follows from the Theorem 2 of [6].

Q.E.D.

If  $x$  is an  $F$ -observable of  $(X, M)$  and  $f(t) = |t|$ ,  $t \in R^1$ , then we put  $|x| = f \cdot x$ .

COROLLARY 1. Let  $x_n \rightarrow \sigma$  a.e.,  $|x_n| \leq y$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T^i \cdot x_1 \rightarrow \sigma \text{ a.e. } [m].$$

PROOF. We denote  $x_n = x_n^+ - x_n^-$ , where  $x_n^+ = f^+ \cdot x_n$ ,  $x_n^- = f^- \cdot x_n$ ,  $f^+(t) = \max(0, t)$  and  $f^-(t) = -\min(0, t)$ ,  $|x| = x^+ + x^-$ . Applying Theorem 2 to both  $\{x_n^+\}$  and  $\{x_n^-\}$  we get what was claimed.

Q.E.D.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] DVUREČENSKIJ, A., RIEČAN, B.: On the individual ergodic theorem on a logic. CMUC 21, 2, 1980, 385 - 391.

- [2] DVUREČENSKIJ, A., RIEČAN, B.: On joint distribution of observables for F-quantum spaces. Fuzzy Sets and Systems.
- [3] DVUREČENSKIJ, A., TIRPÁKOVÁ, A.: Ergodic theory on fuzzy quantum spaces. Busefal, 1989, no 37.
- [4] DVUREČENSKIJ, A., TIRPÁKOVÁ, A.: Sum of observables in fuzzy quantum spaces and convergence theorems. Sent for publication.
- [5] DVUREČENSKIJ, A., TIRPÁKOVÁ, A.: A note on a sum of observables in F-quantum spaces and its properties. Busefal, No 35, 1988, 132 - 137.
- [6] MESIAR, R.: A generalization of the individual ergodic theorem. Math. Slovaca, 30, 1980, 327 - 330.
- [7] PIASECKI, K.: Probability of fuzzy events defined as denumerable additivity measure. Fuzzy Sets and Systems 17, 1985, 271 - 284.
- [8] VARADARAJAN, V. S.: Geometry of Quantum Theory, Van Nostrand, 1968.