

ON THE DEGREE OF FUZZINESS OF A FUZZY SET

Eugene Roventa

Department of Computer Science, Glendon College, York University
 2275 Bayview Ave. Toronto, Ont. M4N 3M6
 Canada

ABSTRACT: The purpose of this paper is to study the notion of transom fuzzy indefinite integral on the σ -algebra $\mathcal{M}(X, \beta)$, of fuzzy measurable subsets of a given space X . The probability of a fuzzy event \tilde{A} , defined by a Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral introduced in [1] is obtained as a particular case (Remark 3). These measures are used to distinguish fuzzy sets which have the same fuzzy degree (Definition 4). Thus we introduce two parameters for characterizing the fuzziness of a fuzzy set. For fundamental notions concerning measure and integration theory see [2], and for terminology connected to measures on fuzzy sets see [3] and [4].

KEYWORDS: fuzzy sets, transom, support, measure of fuzziness, measure theory.

INTRODUCTION: If X is an arbitrary set and $\tilde{F} \subseteq \tilde{1}$ is a fixed fuzzy set then we shall call transom (or essential part) of the fuzzy set \tilde{F} , the set (in the usual sense) $T(\tilde{F}) = \{x \in X \mid 0 < \tilde{F}(x) < 1\}$ where $\tilde{F} \in [0, 1]^X$. The properties of the function $\tilde{F} \mapsto T(\tilde{F})$ are studied in [4]. In [3] there are also introduced the usual sets: $S(\tilde{F}) = \{x \in X \mid \tilde{F}(x) > 0\}$, $Z(\tilde{F}) = \{x \in X \mid \tilde{F}(x) = 0\}$ and $H(\tilde{F}) = \{x \in X \mid \tilde{F}(x) = 1\}$.

Let (X, β, μ) be a measure space where β is a σ -algebra and $\mu: \beta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a positive finite measure. We consider further the σ -algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(X, \beta)$ of the fuzzy measurable sets from X and a β -measurable function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In [3] we studied the transom measure associated to μ as the function $m_T: \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(X, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by:

$$m_T(\tilde{F}) = \mu(T(\tilde{F})).$$

DEFINITION 1. We call transom fuzzy indefinite integral of f , corresponding to the usual measure μ , the function $I_f: \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(X, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by:

$$I_f(\tilde{F}) = \int f \tilde{F} T(\tilde{F}) d\mu.$$

DEFINITION 2. [6] We call support fuzzy indefinite integral of f , corresponding to the usual measure μ the function $\bar{I}_f: \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(X, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by:

$$\bar{I}_f(\tilde{F}) = \int f \tilde{F} d\mu.$$

REMARK 1. If \tilde{F} is an usual set, then $T(\tilde{F}) = \phi$ hence $I_f(\tilde{F}) = 0$ and $\bar{I}_f(\tilde{F})$ is the usual indefinite integral. If $f = 1$, we shall denote:

$$I_1(\tilde{F}) = \int \tilde{F} T(\tilde{F}) d\mu = \int_{T(\tilde{F})} \tilde{F} d\mu$$

$$\bar{I}_1(\tilde{F}) = \int \tilde{F} d\mu = \int_{S(\tilde{F})} \tilde{F} d\mu.$$

DEFINITION 3. If $\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2 \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(X, \beta)$ we shall designate $\tilde{F}_1 \sim \tilde{F}_2 \Leftrightarrow m_T(\tilde{F}_1) = m_T(\tilde{F}_2)$ where m_T is the transom measure generated by μ [3]. Obviously " \sim " is an equivalence relation. We shall denote the corresponding quotient set with \mathcal{C}_T .

DEFINITION 4. We call fuzzy degree any equivalence class from \mathcal{C}_T .

REMARK 2. The transom measure $m_T: \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(X, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ studied in [3] characterizes the fuzzy degree of a fuzzy set and it is the same for all the fuzzy sets whose transom have the same measure. Using the function I_1 we can distinguish the fuzzy sets which have the same fuzzy degree.

REMARK 3. If $\mu(X)=1$ and if the integral is the Lebesque-Stieltjes integral, then \bar{I}_1 coincides with the probability of a fuzzy event introduced in [1].

PROPOSITION 1. The following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(\tilde{F}) &< m_T(\tilde{F}) \\ \bar{I}_1(\tilde{F}) &< m_S(\tilde{F}) \end{aligned}$$

where $m_S = \mu(S(\tilde{F}))$ [3].

The assertion follows from the fact that $\tilde{F}(x) < 1$, for every $x \in X$.

PROPOSITION 2. The following relation holds:

$$\bar{I}_1(\tilde{F}) = I_1(\tilde{F}) + \mu[H(\tilde{F})].$$

It results from: $S(\tilde{F}) = T(\tilde{F}) \cup H(\tilde{F})$.

REMARK 4. Denoting $V(\tilde{F}) = \frac{I_1(\tilde{F})}{\bar{I}_1(\tilde{F})}$ and $W(\tilde{F}) = \frac{\mu[H(\tilde{F})]}{\bar{I}_1(\tilde{F})}$

we have $V(\tilde{F}) + W(\tilde{F}) = 1$ whatever would be $\tilde{F} \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(X, \beta)$ with $\bar{I}_1(\tilde{F}) \neq 0$.

If V is small for a certain fuzzy degree, \tilde{F} is "almost usual set" and when W is small for a certain fuzzy degree, then \tilde{F} is an "almost proper fuzzy set".

DEFINITION 5.1 We say that the β -measurable function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($f = f^+ - f^-$) has an integral related the positive measure μ if at least one of the function f^+, f^- is integrable that is either $\int f^+ d\mu < \infty$ or $\int f^- d\mu < \infty$.

THEOREM 1. If f has an integral with respect to the measure μ , then the transom fuzzy indefinite integral of f (resp. the support fuzzy indefinite integral of f) is a signed measure on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(X, \beta)$.

Proof. Because $f = f^+ - f^-$ it is sufficient to consider the case $f \geq 0$.

Let $\tilde{F} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{F}_k$, $\tilde{F}_k \cap \tilde{F}_{k'} = \tilde{\emptyset}$, $k \neq k'$, $\tilde{F}_k \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(X, \beta)$. It is clear ($T(\tilde{F}_k) \cap T(\tilde{F}_{k'}) = \emptyset$) that $\tilde{F}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{F}_k(x)$ and $T(\tilde{F})(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T(\tilde{F}_k)(x)$ where $T(\tilde{F})(x) = 1$ if $x \in T(\tilde{F})$ and $T(\tilde{F})(x) = 0$ otherwise. One can observe that whichever would be $x \in X$ there is an unique k_0 such that $\tilde{F}_{k_0}(x) \neq 0$ (resp. $T(\tilde{F}_{k_0})(x) \neq 0$). Hence $\tilde{F}(x)T(\tilde{F})(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{F}_k(x)T(\tilde{F}_k)(x)$ holds for $x \in X$. Multiplying the above relation with $f \geq 0$ and integrating we get $I_f(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{F}_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} I_f(\tilde{F}_k)$. For the ^{support} fuzzy indefinite integral the proof is analogous and use the support instead of the transom.

REMARK 5. If $f \geq 0$ then both I_f and \bar{I}_f are nonnegative measures. Of course this remark is valid for I_1 resp. \bar{I}_1 . But I_1 (unlike \bar{I}_1) is neither monotonic nor subtractive (this is a consequence of the fact that for an arbitrary fuzzy set \tilde{A} does not hold $\tilde{A} \cap \bar{\tilde{A}} = \emptyset$). The next theorem is a generalisation of the countable additivity of the transom fuzzy indefinite integral.

THEOREM 2. If f has an integral with respect to the measure μ then for each sequence $\{\tilde{F}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ the following equalities hold:

$$(i) \quad I_f(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{F}_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} I_f(\tilde{F}_k) \quad \text{whenever} \quad H(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{F}_k) \subseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} H(\tilde{F}_k) \quad \text{and} \quad m_T(\tilde{F}_k \cap \tilde{F}_{k'}) = 0, k \neq k'.$$

$$(ii) \quad \bar{I}_f(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{F}_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{I}_f(\tilde{F}_k) \quad \text{if} \quad m_S(\tilde{F}_k \cap \tilde{F}_{k'}) = 0, k \neq k'.$$

Proof (i) If we designate $\tilde{F} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{F}_k$, then $H(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{F}_k) \subseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} H(\tilde{F}_k)$ implies: $T(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{F}_k) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} T(\tilde{F}_k)$. Hence we can write: $T(\tilde{F}) = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_k \cup \dots$, $A_k \cap A_{k'} = \emptyset$,

(for $k \neq k'$) where $A_1 = T(\tilde{F}_1)$, $A_k = T(\tilde{F}_k) \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{k-1} [T(\tilde{F}_k) \cap T(\tilde{F}_n)]$. We take by

definition $\tilde{E}_k = \tilde{F}_k \cap A_k \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(X, \beta)$ and one can observe that $T(\tilde{E}_k) = A_k$.

Therefore: $T(\tilde{F}) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} T(\tilde{E}_k)$ with $T(\tilde{E}_k) \cap T(\tilde{E}_{k'}) = \emptyset$ ($k \neq k'$). By hypothesis we

have $H(\tilde{F}_i) = H(\tilde{F}_j)$, $i \neq j$ hence $0 = m_T(\tilde{F}_k \cap \tilde{F}_{k'}) = \mu[T(\tilde{F}_k \cap \tilde{F}_{k'})] = \mu[T(\tilde{F}_k) \cap$

$\cap T(\tilde{F}_{k'})]$. But $T(\tilde{E}_k) = T(\tilde{F}_k) \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{k-1} [T(\tilde{F}_k) \cap T(\tilde{F}_n)]$ therefore $m_T(\tilde{E}_k) = m_T(\tilde{F}_k)$ where-

from $\int_{T(\tilde{E}_k)} \tilde{f} d\mu = \int_{T(\tilde{F}_k)} \tilde{f} d\mu$ and $I_f(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{F}_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{T(\tilde{E}_k)} \tilde{f} d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{T(\tilde{F}_k)} \tilde{f} d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} I_f(\tilde{F}_k)$.

For \bar{I}_f one can judge in an analogous manner using $S(\tilde{F})$.

THEOREM 3. If the function f has an integral related to μ then for every increasing sequence $\{\tilde{F}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of fuzzy sets from $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(X, \beta)$ with $\tilde{F}_k \nearrow \tilde{F}$, the following inequalities hold :

$$(i) \quad I_f(\tilde{F}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_f(\tilde{F}_k) \quad \text{if} \quad H(\tilde{F}_k) = H(\tilde{F}), k \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$(ii) \quad \bar{I}_f(\tilde{F}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{I}_f(\tilde{F}_k) .$$

Proof (i) If $\tilde{F}_k \nearrow \tilde{F}$ and if $H(\tilde{F}_k) = H(\tilde{F})$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $T(\tilde{F}_k) \nearrow T(\tilde{F})$, hence

$$T(\tilde{F}) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} T(\tilde{F}_k) = T(\tilde{F}_1) \cup [T(\tilde{F}_2) \setminus T(\tilde{F}_1)] \cup \dots \cup [T(\tilde{F}_n) \setminus T(\tilde{F}_{n-1})] \cup \dots$$

If we put by definition $\tilde{F}_0 = \tilde{\emptyset}$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} I_f(\tilde{F}) &= \int_{T(\tilde{F})} f \tilde{F} d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{T(\tilde{F}_n) - T(\tilde{F}_{n-1})} f \tilde{F} d\mu = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^k \int_{T(\tilde{F}_n) - T(\tilde{F}_{n-1})} f \tilde{F} d\mu = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T(\tilde{F}_k)} f \tilde{F} d\mu = \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_f(\tilde{F}_k) \end{aligned}$$

(ii) can be proved in an analogous manner by replacing in the above proof the transom by the support.

THEOREM 4. \bar{I}_1 is a complete measure on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(X, \beta)$ iff μ is a complete measure on β .

Proof We suppose that $\bar{I}_1(\tilde{F}) = 0$. Because $\tilde{F}(x) > 0$ if $x \in S(\tilde{F})$ we get $\mu[S(\tilde{F})] = 0$. Let us consider $\tilde{E} \subseteq \tilde{F}$; then $S(\tilde{E}) \subseteq S(\tilde{F})$. But μ is assumed to be complete, hence $S(\tilde{E}) \in \beta$ and $\mu[S(\tilde{E})] = 0$. If we consider $E_\alpha = \{x | \tilde{F}(x) \leq \alpha\}$ (for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$) then $E_\alpha \subseteq S(\tilde{E})$ and we get (from the completeness of μ) that $E_\alpha \in \beta$ ($\alpha \in [0, 1]$). Further the set $E_0 = \{x | \tilde{E}(x) = 0\} = X \setminus S(\tilde{E})$, hence $E_0 \in \beta$. Therefore $E_\alpha \in \beta$ whichever would $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ be. But this means, by definition that $\tilde{E} \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(X, \beta)$. The converse implication follows since \bar{I}_1 is an extension of μ .

REMARK 6. The completeness of the measure I_1 would imply $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(X, \beta) = [0, 1]^X$ (this is so because we have $I_1(\tilde{\mathbf{1}}) = 0$).

DEFINITION 6. Let m, n be two signed finite measures given on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(X, \beta)$. We shall say that m is absolutely continuous with respect to the measure n , if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$, such that: $\tilde{E} \subseteq \tilde{\mathbf{1}}, \bar{n}(\tilde{E}) < \delta$ imply $|m(\tilde{E})| < \varepsilon$.

REMARK 7. \bar{n} is the total variation of n , which in the case $n \geq 0$ coincides with n (on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(X, \beta)$).

REMARK 8. If μ is positive and finite on β , then, I_1 and \bar{I}_1 are positive and finite measures on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(X, \beta)$.

THEOREM 5. If $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is integrable, then I_f (resp. \bar{I}_f) is absolutely continuous with respect to I_1 (resp. \bar{I}_1).

Proof We will take first $f \geq 0$. There is a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of simple functions, with $f_n \leq f$, such that:

$$\int f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu < \infty.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_0$:

$$\int f d\mu - \int f_n d\mu < \varepsilon/2.$$

Let us consider the difference:

$$I_f(\tilde{E}) - I_{f_n}(\tilde{E}) = I_{f-f_n}(\tilde{E}) = \int (f-f_n) \tilde{E} T(\tilde{E}) d\mu \leq \int (f-f_n) d\mu \leq \varepsilon/2 \quad (\text{for } n \geq n_0).$$

Hence:

$$I_f(\tilde{E}) < I_{f_n}(\tilde{E}) + \varepsilon/2.$$

But:

$$I_{f_n}(\tilde{E}) = \int f_n \tilde{E} T(\tilde{E}) d\mu \leq n_0 \int \tilde{E} T(\tilde{E}) d\mu = n_0 I_1(\tilde{E}).$$

Let $\tilde{E} \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(X, \beta)$ such that $I_1(\tilde{E}) < \varepsilon/2n_0$; then we get: $I_f(\tilde{E}) < \varepsilon$.

If f is arbitrary (i.e. does not keep the same sign) integrable function, we consider f^+, f^- and because $|f| = f^+ + f^-$ we deduce that $|f|$ is also integrable. Further we apply the well known inequality $|\int f d\mu| \leq \int |f| d\mu$.

For \bar{I}_f we judge analogously.

REMARK 9 I_f (resp. \bar{I}_f) is absolutely continuous with respect to m_T (resp. m_S), because $I_1(\tilde{E}) \leq m_T(\tilde{E})$ (resp. $\bar{I}_1(\tilde{E}) \leq m_S(\tilde{E})$).

REFERENCES

1. Zadeh, L.A., Probability Measure of Fuzzy Events, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 23, 1968.
2. Halmos, P.R., Measure Theory, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New-York, 1964.
3. Roventa, E., A propos des \tilde{A} -mesures tronçon et support, BUCEFAL (L.S.I. Univ. P. Sabatier, Toulouse) n° 19, 42-48, 1984.
4. Roventa, E., Some Problems Concerning Topological Structures and Measures Theory for Fuzzy Sets and Applications, Ph.D. Thesis, Timisoara University, Romania, 1979. (Romanian)
5. Negoita, C.V., Ralescu, D. A., Simulation, Knowledge-based Computing, and Fuzzy Statistics, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York, 1987.
6. Puri, M.L., Ralescu, D. A., Integration on Fuzzy Sets, Advances in Applied Mathematics 3, 430-434, 1982.

7. Dubois, D., Prade, H., Evaluation of Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Integration: A Synthetic Discussion; Second Joint IFSA - EC and EURO - WG Workshop, Vienna, April 6-8, 131-135, 1988.
8. Dubois, D., Prade, H., Theorie des possibilités, Masson, 1985.
9. Sugeno, M., Theory of Fuzzy Integrals and its Applications, PhD. Thesis, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 1974.
10. Yager, R.R., A Note on Probabilities of Fuzzy Events, Information Sciences, 18, 113-129, 1979.