THE EXTENSIONS OF A CLASS OF SEMI-CONTINUOUS FUZZY MEASURES

Qiao Zhong

Hebei Institute of Architectural Engineering Zhangjiakou, Hebei, China

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concepts of the σ -possibility measure and the CP-system and the ECP-system on a class of fuzzy sets, and show that such a σ -possibility measure must be a semi-continuous fuzzy measure. Furthermore, we establish a necessary and sufficient condition for that a σ -possibility measure on a class of fuzzy sets may be extended, and prove some extension theorems of such σ -possibility measures, and therefore, we solve the extension problem of a class of semi-continuous fuzzy measures.

Keywords: Fuzzy measure, c-possibility measure, CP-system, ECP-system, Weak plump field.

§1 Introduction

On a class of classical sets, the possibility measure introduced by L.A.Zadeh(8) is a special semi-continuous fuzzy measure, and it is difficult to establish a general extension theory for the semi-continuous fuzzy measures though some extension problems of possibility measures have been better solved (cf.(1,2,6,7)), in order to find out as many extendable semi-continuous fuzzy measures as possible, Qiao(5) introduced and studied the σ -possibility measures. If these similar problems are discussed on a class of fuzzy sets, it is undoubted to arise a great many difficulties. In this paper, we shall introduce the concept of the semi-continuous fuzzy measure on a class of fuzzy sets and shall solve the extension problem of such a class of semi-continuous fuzzy

measures.

Throughout this paper, suppose that L is an infinitely distributive complete lattice, in other words, the lattice L satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) For any H⊂L, ∧h and ∨h are existent in L;
- (2) For any H⊂L, a∈L, we have

$$a \lor (\land h) = \bigwedge_{h \in H} (a \lor h), \quad a \land (\lor h) = \bigvee_{h \in H} (a \land h).$$

And let X be a nonempty set, $\Re(X) = \{A : A : X \longrightarrow L\}$ be the class of all L-fuzzy subsets of X, \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{A}^* and \mathfrak{D} be nonempty subclasses of $\{X, X\}$, $\{A_n\}$ be a finite or infinite sequence of Lfuzzy subsets of X, and we make the conventions: $\sup_{t \in \Phi} \{a_t; a_t(0,\infty)\} = 0, \quad U\{\cdot\} = \emptyset \quad \text{where} \quad \emptyset \quad \text{is the smallest}$ element of $\mathcal{F}_{i}(X)$.

§2 Semi-continuous Fuzzy Measure and σ-Possibility Measure on Class of Fuzzy Sets

<u>Definition2.1</u> A mapping $\mu: \mathfrak{D} \longrightarrow (0,a)$ (where a is an arbitrarily positive real number or $+\infty$) is called a semicontinuous fuzzy measure on \mathfrak{Q} , if it satisfies the following conditions:

(SFM1)
$$\underline{\mu}(\underline{\phi})=0$$
, if $\underline{\phi}\in\underline{\mathfrak{D}}$;

(SFM2) For any A, $B \in \mathfrak{D}$, if $A \subset B$, then $\mu(A) < \mu(B)$; (SFM3) Whenever $\{A_n\} \subset \mathfrak{D}$, \widetilde{U} $A \subset \mathfrak{D}$, $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$, $n=1,2,\cdots$,

then
$$\mu(\overset{\infty}{\underset{n=1}{\cup}} A_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n)$$
.

It is easy to see that the fuzzy measure studied in (3,4) is a semi-continuous fuzzy measure.

<u>Definition2.2</u> A mapping $\pi : \mathfrak{D} \longrightarrow (0,a)$ (where $0 < a \le \infty$) is said to be a ullet-possibility measure on \mathfrak{L} , if and only if the following holds:

(
$$\sigma$$
P1) $\pi(\stackrel{\bullet}{\star})=0$, if $\stackrel{\bullet}{\star}\in \mathfrak{D}$;

(σ P2) $\underline{\mathfrak{s}}$ is σ -fuzzy additive, that is, for any $\{A_n\}\subset \mathfrak{D}$, if

 $\bigcup_{n} A_{n} \in \mathbb{D}, \text{ then } \underline{\pi}(\bigcup_{n} A_{n}) = \sup_{n} \underline{\pi}(A_{n}).$

When L= $\{0,1\}$, such π is a σ -possibility measure introduced in (5), and therefore, it is a generalization of the possibility measure.

The condition (σ P2) implies the monotonicity condition: (σ P3) For any A, $B \in D$, if $A \subset B$, then $\pi(A) \leqslant \pi(B)$.

In the following, we shall discuss the relations between -possibility measures and semi-continuous fuzzy measures.

Theorem2.3 An arbitrary σ -possibility measure on $\mathfrak Q$ is a semi-continuous fuzzy measure on $\mathfrak Q$.

Proof. Suppose that π is a σ -possibility measure on $\mathfrak Q$, it is clear that π satisfies the conditions (SFM1) and (SFM2). Now we prove that π meets the condition (SFM3) as well.

For any increasing sequence $\{A_n\}\subset \mathcal{Q}$ with $U A_n\in \mathcal{Q}$, by using conditions (σ P3) and (σ P2), we have $\pi(A_n)\leqslant \pi(A_{n+1})$, $n=1,2,\cdots$, and $\lim_{n\to\infty}\pi(A_n)=\sup_n\pi(A_n)=\pi(U A_n)$.

That is, sis a semi-continuous fuzzy measure on $\mathfrak Q$.

The following theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for that a semi-continuous fuzzy measure on $\mathfrak Q$ turns into a σ -possibility measure on $\mathfrak Q$.

Theorem2.4 Let $\underline{\mu}$ be a semi-continuous fuzzy measure on $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}$, $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}$ be closed under finite unions. $\underline{\mu}$ is a σ -possibility measure on $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}$, if and only if $\underline{\mu}$ satisfies $\underline{\mu}(\underline{A} \cup \underline{B}) = \underline{\mu}(\underline{A}) \vee \underline{\mu}(\underline{B})$ whenever \underline{A} , $\underline{B} \in \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}$.

Proof. The necessity is obvious. Now we prove the sufficiency.

Evidently, μ satisfies the condition (σ P1).

Let $\mu(\underline{A}U\underline{B}) = \mu(\underline{A}) \vee \mu(\underline{B})$ for any \underline{A} , $\underline{B} \in \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}$. If $\{\underline{A}_n\} \subset \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}$ and \underline{U} $\underline{A}_n \in \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}$, denote $\underline{B}_m = \underline{U} \underline{A}_n$, $m=1,2,\cdots$, then $\{\underline{B}_m\}$ is an increasing sequence in $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}$ and \underline{U} $\underline{A}_n = \underline{U}$ \underline{B}_m , thus we have

 $\underline{\underline{u}}(\underbrace{\underline{U}}_{n} \underbrace{\underline{A}}_{n}) = \underline{\underline{u}}(\underbrace{\underline{U}}_{m} \underbrace{\underline{B}}_{m}) = \underbrace{\underline{\lim}}_{m} \underline{\underline{u}}(\underbrace{\underline{B}}_{m}) = \sup_{m} \underline{\underline{u}}(\underbrace{\underline{B}}_{m}) = \sup_{m} \underline{\underline{u}}(\underbrace{\underline{A}}_{n})) = \sup_{n} \underline{\underline{u}}(\underbrace{\underline{A}}_{n}).$ That is to say, $\underline{\underline{u}}$ is a σ -possibility measure on $\underline{\underline{S}}$.

§3 A Extendable Necessary and Sufficient Condition

Let $U(\mathfrak{Q}) = \{U \land_n; \land_n\} \subset \mathfrak{Q} \}$, and we make the convention: $\phi = U\{\cdot\} \in U(\mathfrak{Q})$, then $U(\mathfrak{Q})$ is the smallest class which is both including \mathfrak{Q} and closed under arbitrary countable infinite unions. In the section, we shall establish a necessary and sufficient condition for that a σ -possibility measure on \mathfrak{Q} may be extended to $U(\mathfrak{Q})$ uniquely.

Theorem3.2 A σ -possibility measure π on \mathbb{Q} may be extended to a σ -possibility measure π' on $U(\mathfrak{Q})$ uniquely, if and only if π is consistent.

Proof. Necessity: Let $\mathbb Z$ may be extended to a σ -possibility measure $\mathbb Z'$ on $U(\mathfrak Q)$ uniquely.

For any $A \in \mathcal{D}$, if $A \subset U A_n$, where $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}$, then $A \in U(\mathcal{D})$. Thus

$$\underline{\pi}(\underline{A}) = \underline{\pi}'(\underline{A}) \leqslant \underline{\pi}'(\underline{U} \, \underline{A}_n) = \sup_{n} \underline{\pi}'(\underline{A}_n) = \sup_{n} \underline{\pi}(\underline{A}_n).$$

That is, a is consistent.

Sufficiency: Let π be consistent. For any $\mathbb{E} \in U(\mathfrak{Q})$, then there exists $\{A_n\} \subset \mathfrak{Q}$ such that $\mathbb{E}=U(A_n)$. We define $\pi'(\mathbb{E}) \triangleq \sup_{n} \pi(A_n).$

This definition is unambiguous. In fact, if $\mathbb{B}=\mathbb{U}_{m} \mathbb{B}_{m}$, where $\{\mathbb{B}_{m}\}\subset \mathfrak{D}$, then $\mathbb{A}_{n}\subset \mathbb{B}=\mathbb{U}_{m} \mathbb{B}_{m}$ for any \mathbb{A}_{n} , thus $\pi(\mathbb{A}_{n})\leqslant \sup_{m}\pi(\mathbb{B}_{m})$, and therefore, $\sup_{n}\pi(\mathbb{A}_{n})\leqslant \sup_{m}\pi(\mathbb{B}_{m})$. Analogously, we may show the converse inequality. Consequently,

$$\sup_{n} \pi(\underline{A}_n) = \sup_{m} \pi(\underline{B}_m).$$

When $\mathbb{B} \in \mathfrak{D}$, we have $\pi'(\mathbb{B}) = \sup_{n} \pi(\mathbb{A}_{n}) = \pi(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{A}_{n}) = \pi(\mathbb{B})$.

Furthermore, we are going to show that π' is a σ -possibility measure on $U(\mathfrak{Q})$.

- (1) By the conventions: $U(\cdot) = \phi$, $\sup_{t \in \phi} \{a_t; a_t \in (0,\infty)\} = 0$, then $\underline{x}(\underline{\phi}) = \sup_{t \in \phi} \{\cdot\} = 0$, namely, \underline{x}' satisfies the condition (\bullet P1).
- (2) For any $\{B_n\} \subset U(\mathfrak{Q})$, $B_n = U_m A_m^{(n)}$, where $\{A_m^{(n)}\} \subset \mathfrak{Q}$, $n=1,2,\cdots$, since $U_n B_n = U_n A_m^{(n)}$, then

$$\underline{\pi}'(\underbrace{U}_{n} \underbrace{B}_{n}) = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \underline{\pi} \left(\underbrace{A}_{m}^{(n)} \right) = \sup_{n} \left(\sup_{m} \underline{\pi} \left(\underbrace{A}_{m}^{(n)} \right) \right) = \sup_{n} \underline{\pi}'(\underbrace{B}_{n}).$$

That is to say, π' satisfies the condition (σ P2) too. Thus, π' is a σ -possibility measure on $U(\mathfrak{Q})$.

Finally, we prove the uniqueness of x'.

If $\underline{\pi}^*$ is another extension of $\underline{\pi}$ on $U(\underline{\mathfrak{Q}})$, then for any $\underline{\mathbb{S}}=\underline{U}$, $\underline{\mathbb{A}}_n\in U(\underline{\mathfrak{Q}})$, where $\{\underline{\mathbb{A}}_n\}\subset\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}$, we have

$$\underset{n}{\pi'}(\underline{\mathbb{B}}) = \sup_{n} \underset{n}{\pi} (\underline{\mathbb{A}}_{n}) = \sup_{n} \underset{n}{\pi''}(\underline{\mathbb{A}}_{n}) = \underset{n}{\pi''}(\underline{\mathbb{U}} \underline{\mathbb{A}}_{n}) = \underline{\pi''}(\underline{\mathbb{B}}).$$

Remark: If take L={0,1}, the above Theorem3.2 coincides with the Theorem2.2 given in[5].

§4 CP-system, ECP-system and Weak Plump Field

On a class of classical sets, Qiao(5,6) drew the concepts of the CP-system and the ECP-system from the class of all atoms of a set class introduced in(1,2,7). In order to discuss some other extension theorems of c-possibility measures given in §2, in the section, we shall give several similar concepts on a class of fuzzy sets.

<u>Definition4.1</u> A nonempty class **3** of fuzzy sets is said to be an ECP-system, if the following conditions are satisfied:

(ECP1) The exchangeability. For any $\mathbb{A}_1, \cdots, \mathbb{A}_n \in \mathbb{S}$, then there exist $\mathbb{B}_1, \cdots, \mathbb{B}_m \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathbb{A}_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^n \mathbb{B}_j$;

(ECP2) The closeness for the partial covering. For any $A \in B$,

if $A \subset U A_n$, where $\{A_n\} \subset B$, then there exists one subset $\{A_t; t \in T \}$ of $\{A_n\}$ such that $A \subset U A_t \in B$.

If a class of fuzzy sets only satisfies the condition (ECP2), it is called a CP-system.

In the following, we shall always denote the ECP-system (resp. the CP-system) by \mathfrak{B} (resp. \mathfrak{R}^*).

Evidently, if a class of fuzzy sets is closed under countable infinite unions, then it is a CP-system. An arbitrary fuzzy e-algebra introduced in (3,4) is an ECP-system. The class of all atoms of a set class given in (1,2,7) is an ECP-system. If $\mathfrak A$ is closed under finite unions, then it is closed under finite intersections, but the converse proposition is not true.

In fact, if $A, B \in \mathcal{F}_{L}(X)$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, and if there is no inclusion relation between A and B, then $\mathcal{B} = \{\phi, A, B\}$ is an ECP-system, and it is closed under finite intersections, but it is not closed under finite unions.

<u>Definition4.2</u> A nonempty class of fuzzy sets is called a weak plump field, if it is closed under arbitrary finite intersections and arbitrary countable infinite unions. Denote the smallest weak plump field including $\mathfrak D$ by $W(\mathfrak D)$.

Proposition4.3 $W(\mathfrak{D}) = \{ U \cap A_s : A_s \in \mathfrak{D} \}$, where T is an arbitrary finite or countable infinite index set, and S_t is an arbitrary finite index set whenever $t \in T$.

Proof. Write
$$\mathcal{A} = \{ U \cap A_s : A_s \in \mathcal{D} \}$$
.

First of all, we verify that A is a weak plump field. (1) Let $\{B_n\} \subset A$, where $B_n = U$ $\cap A_s^{(n)}$, $A_s^{(n)} \in S$, T_n is an arbitrary finite or countable infinite index set, S_t is an arbitrary finite index set, then

 unions.

(2) Let
$$\mathbb{D}_{1} = \mathbb{U} \cap \mathbb{A}_{s}, \mathbb{D}_{2} = \mathbb{U} \cap \mathbb{B}_{i} \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ where } \mathbb{A}_{s}, \mathbb{B}_{i} \in \mathfrak{D}, \mathbb{A}_{s} \in \mathbb{A}_{s}$$

T and J are two arbitrary finite or countable infinite index sets, and for any $t \in T$, $j \in J$, S_t and I_j are two arbitrary finite index sets. Observe that L is an infinitely distributive complete lattice, we have

$$\mathbb{D}_{1} \cap \mathbb{D}_{2} = (\text{U } \cap \mathbb{A}_{s}) \cap (\text{U } \cap \mathbb{B}_{i}) = \text{U}((\text{U } \cap \mathbb{A}_{s}) \cap (\text{O } \mathbb{B}_{i}))$$

$$\text{tetseS}_{t} \quad \text{jeJieI}_{j} \quad \text{jeJ tetseS}_{t} \quad \text{ieI}_{j}$$

=
$$U(U((\cap A_s) \cap (\cap B_i))) \in A$$

 $j \in J \in T$ $s \in S_t$ $i \in I_j$

That is, A is closed under finite intersections. Therefore, A is a weak plump field.

Furthermore, since $\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathcal{A}$, then $\mathbb{W}(\mathfrak{D}) \subset \mathcal{A}$, and it is clear that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{W}(\mathfrak{D})$. Consequently, $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{W}(\mathfrak{D})$.

Proposition4.4 W(\(\mathbb{G}\))=U(\(\mathbb{G}\)).

Proof. Using Proposition4.3 and the definitions of \mathfrak{B} and $U(\mathfrak{B})$, it is easy to prove this conclusion.

§5 Extension Theorems of -- Possibility Measures on Class of Fuzzy Sets

In the section, several extension theorems of σ -possibility measures will be proved, when L={0,1}, these conclusions coincide with the relevant results presented in(5).

Theorem5.1 An arbitrary σ -possibility measure π on \mathfrak{F} may be extended to a σ -possibility measure π' on $U(\mathfrak{F})$ uniquely. Proof. We show that π is consistent.

Since \mathfrak{Z}^* is a CP-system, for any $A \in \mathfrak{Z}^*$, if $A \subset U A_n$, where $\{A_n\} \subset \mathfrak{Z}^*$, then there exists one subset $\{A_t; t \in T\}$ of $\{A_n\}$ such that $A \subset U A_t \in \mathfrak{Z}^*$, thus

$$\underset{t \in T}{\pi(\underline{\mathbb{A}})} \leqslant \underset{t \in T}{\pi(\underbrace{\text{U}}\underbrace{\mathbb{A}}_t)} = \sup_{t \in T} \underset{\pi}{\pi(\underline{\mathbb{A}}_t)} \leqslant \sup_{n} \underset{\pi}{\pi(\underline{\mathbb{A}}_n)}.$$

That is to say, π is consistent. Using Theorem 3.2, π may be extended to a σ -possibility measure π on $U(\mathfrak{F})$ uniquely.

Theorem5.2 An arbitrary σ -possibility measure z on g may be extended to a σ -possibility measure g on w(g) uniquely. Proof. By Proposition4.4 and Theorem5.1, it is easy to verify that the conclusion is true.

Theorem2.3 and Theorem5.1 and Theorem5.2 show that all of σ -possibility measures in the class of all semi-continuous fuzzy measures may be extended from \mathfrak{L}^* (resp. \mathfrak{L}) to $U(\mathfrak{L}^*)$ (resp. $W(\mathfrak{L})$) uniquely. The following theorem gives a sufficient condition for that a semi-continuous fuzzy measure can be extended uniquely.

Theorem5.3 Let \mathfrak{B} be closed under finite unions, \mathfrak{U} be an arbitrary semi-continuous fuzzy measure on \mathfrak{B} such that $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{A} \cup \mathfrak{B}) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{A}) \vee \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{B})$ for any \mathfrak{A} , $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathfrak{B}$, then \mathfrak{U} may be extended to a semi-continuous fuzzy measure \mathfrak{U} on $W(\mathfrak{B})$ uniquely.

Proof. It follows, from Theorem2.4 and Theorem5.2 and Theorem2.3, that this conclusion is true.

References

- (1) Wang Zhenyuan, Extension of possibility measures defined on an arbitrary nonempty class of sets, <u>Presented at the</u> First IFSA. Congress, Palma de Mallorca, Spain, 1985.
- (2)Wang Zhenyuan, Semi-lattice structure of all extensions of possibility measure and consonant belief function, in "Fuzzy Mathematics in Earthquake Researches" (Feng Deyi and Liu Xihui eds.), Seismological Press, Beijing, 1985.
 (3)Oiao Zhong, Riesz's theorem and Lebesgue's theorem on
- (3)Qiao Zhong, Riesz's theorem and Lebesgue's theorem on the fuzzy measure space, <u>BUSEFAL</u>, 29(1987), 33-41.
- (4)Qiao Zhong, Structural characteristics of fuzzy measure on fuzzy \(\sigma\)-algebra and their applications, (in Chinese)

 Journal of Hebei Institute of Architectural Engineering,
 1(1987), 61-71.
- (5) Qiao Zhong, e-possibility measures and their extensions, Proceedings Of International Symposium on Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Engineering, Vol.3, 730-737, (Liu Xihui, Wang Pei-

zhuang, Cheng Lichun, Li Baowen eds.) Guangdong Higher Education Publishing House, Guangzhou, China, July, 1987.

- (6) Qiao Zhong, On the extension of the possibility measures.
- (7) Wang Zhenyuan, Extension of possibility measures and generalization of fuzzy integrals (in Chinese), <u>Journal of</u> Hebei University, 2(1984), 9-18.
- (8)L.A.Zadeh, Fuzzy sets as a basis for a theory of possibility, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 1(1978), 3-28.
- (9)D.Dubeis and H.Prade, Non-probabilistic aspects of uncertainty Part 1-evidence Measures and possibility theory, Fuzzy Mathematics (Wuhan, China), 2(1987), 41-58.

Sept.1987