

A NOTE ON FIXED POINTS FOR FUZZY MAPPINGS

Li Bingyou

Department of Mathematics,

Hebei Teacher's University,

Shijiazhuang, People's Republic of China

ABSTRACT

This paper presents a new fixed point theorem for fuzzy mapping. The result given in this paper improve and perfected a result of Butnariu in [1].

I. INTRODUCTION AND PERLIMINARIES

Fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings have been considered by Butnariu and the author in [1-3]. The purpose of this paper is to study this problem further. The results given in this paper improve and perfected a result of Butnariu in [1].

Let X be a nonempty set. Denote by $\mathcal{F}(X)$ the set of all fuzzy sets on X . If $F: X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$ is a fuzzy mapping, then $F(x)$ (denoted by F_x) is a Fuzzy set on X for each $x \in X$. But $F_x(y)$ denotes subordinate degree of $y \in X$ belongs to fuzzy set F_x . Hence, Fuzzy mapping F on X expressible as a fuzzy set on $X \times X$, i.e. it is defined by the real valued function $F(x,y) = F_x(y)$ from $X \times X$ into $[0,1]$.

Let $F: X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$ be a fuzzy mapping. If $\{y \in X: F_x(y) = \max_{u \in X} F_x(u)\}$ is a nonempty set, then we can define a set-valued mapping $\hat{F}: X \rightarrow 2^X$ as follows:

$$\hat{F}(x) = \left\{ y \in X : F_x(y) = \max_{u \in X} F_x(u) \right\},$$

and \hat{F} is called a set-valued mapping induced by the fuzzy mapping F .

$x^* \in X$ is called a fixed point of a fuzzy mapping $F: X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$, if

$$F_{x^*}(x^*) \geq F_{x^*}(x) \quad \forall x \in X.$$

From the definition we can immediately obtain the following result.

Lemma (3). $x^* \in X$ is a fixed point of a fuzzy mapping F , if and only if x^* is a fixed point of the set-valued mapping $F: X \rightarrow 2^X$, i.e. $x^* \in \hat{F}(x^*)$.

Definition I. Real valued function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on topological space is called a upper (lower) semi-continuous if

$$\left\{ x \in X : f(x) < r \right\} \quad \left(\left\{ x \in X : f(x) > r \right\} \right)$$

is open set in X for each $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2 (1). Let X be a real vector topological space. Fuzzy mapping $F: X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$ on X is called closed, if real valued function $F(x,y) = F_x(y)$ is upper semi-continuous on $X \times X$. F is called convex, if for each $x \in X$, $F_x \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ is a convex fuzzy set on X , i.e. for any $y, z \in X$, $t \in [0,1]$ we have inequality

$$F_x(ty + (1-t)z) \geq \min \{ F_x(y), F_x(z) \}.$$

II MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1 (kakutani-ky Fan) (4). Let X be a locally convex Hausdorff real vector topological space. C is a nonempty compact convex subset of X . Suppose that $T: C \rightarrow 2^C$ is a

Kakutani set-valued mapping, i.e. T satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) For any $x \in C$, Tx is a nonempty compact convex set.
- (ii) The graph of T :

$$G(T) = \{(x,y) : y \in Tx\}$$

is closed in $X \times X$.

Then T has a fixed point x^* in C , i.e., $x^* \in Tx^*$.

The following theorem was proved in (1).

Theorem 2. (see theorem 2.4 in (1)). Let X be a locally convex Hausdorff real vector topological space. C is a nonempty compact convex subset of X . If F is a convex and closed fuzzy mapping on C .

Then T has a fixed point in C .

In (1) there is no explicit mention of the condition

$$\inf \{F(x,y) : (x,y) \in G(\hat{F})\} > 0.$$

However, as the next example shows, this assumption can't be omitted.

EXAMPLE. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $C = [0,1]$. Define $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(C)$ as follows:

$$F(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}y, & 0 \leq x < 1, \quad 0 \leq y \leq 1, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{2}y, & x = 1, \quad 0 \leq y \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

That is, $F_x(y) = \frac{1}{2}y$, for $0 \leq x < 1$ and $F_x(y) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}y$ for $x=1$.

From this, it is easy to prove F_x is a convex Fuzzy set on C for any $x \in C$. Hence, F is convex.

Now we prove that $F(x,y)$ is a upper semi-continuous function on $C \times C$. By theorem 1, we can prove that for any $r \in [0,1)$

$$\{(x,y) : F(x,y) < r\}$$

is open set in $C \times C$.

In fact, we have

$$\{(x,y): F(x,y) < r\} = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{for } r=0, \\ \{(x,y): x=0, 0 < y < 2r\} \\ \{(x,y): 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 2r\} & \text{for } 0 < r \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \{(x,y): x=1, 2(1-r) < y < 1\} & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} < r \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $\{(x,y): F(x,y) < r\}$ is open set for any $r \in [0,1]$. Hence, fuzzy mapping F is closed.

However, from definition of $F(x,y)$ we know that

$$\hat{F}_x = \begin{cases} \{1\}, & 0 \leq x < 1 \\ \{0\}, & x = 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence, it is easy to see that \hat{F} does not have a fixed point.

So $F(x,y)$ does not have a fixed point.

Observe that $\inf \{ F(x,y) : (x,y) \in G(\hat{F}) \} > 0$ is not true in this case.

Theorem 3 (Fuzzy generalization of Kakutanky Fan theorem) Let X be a locally convex Hausdorff real vector topological space. C is a nonempty compact convex subset of X . Suppose that F is a fuzzy mapping on C satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) For each $x \in C$, \hat{F}_x is a nonempty set.
- (ii) \tilde{F} is a convex and closed fuzzy mapping on C .
- (iii) $\inf \{ F(x,y) : (x,y) \in G(\hat{F}) \} > 0$.

Then T has a fixed point in C .

$$\text{Where } \tilde{F}(x,y) = \begin{cases} F(x,y), & x \in \hat{F}_x, \\ 0, & x \notin \hat{F}_x. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, we have

$$F(x,y) \geq \tilde{F}(x,y) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{F} = \hat{\tilde{F}}.$$

Hence, \widetilde{F} and F have a same fixed point.

Proof. From Lemma we have only to prove the \widehat{F} have a fixed point in C . It is easily verified that \widehat{F} satisfy the conditions of theorem 1.

1. For any $x, y, z \in C$, $t \in [0, 1]$, if $y, z \in \widehat{F}_x$, then

$$\widetilde{F}(x, y) = F(x, y), \quad \widetilde{F}(x, z) = F(x, z).$$

From \widetilde{F} is convex and definition of \widehat{F}_x , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{F}_x(ty + (1-t)z) &\geq \min \{ \widetilde{F}_x(y), \widetilde{F}_x(z) \} \\ &= \min \{ F_x(y), F_x(z) \} = \max_{u \in C} F_x(u). \end{aligned}$$

So $ty + (1-t)z \in \widehat{F}_x$, i.e. \widehat{F}_x is convex.

2. Suppose that $\{y_\alpha : \alpha \in J\}$ is any net in \widehat{F}_x and its limit is y_0 . Then for each $y \in C$ and $\alpha \in J$, we have

$$F(x, y_\alpha) \geq F(x, y).$$

since $y_\alpha \in \widehat{F}_x$, hence $\widetilde{F}(x, y_\alpha) = F(x, y_\alpha)$. From $\{(x, y_\alpha) : \alpha \in J\}$ converges to (x, y_0) and upper semi-continuity of $\widetilde{F}(x, y)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y_0) &\geq \widetilde{F}(x, y_0) \geq \lim \sum_{\alpha \in J} \widetilde{F}(x, y_\alpha) \\ &= \lim \sum_{\alpha \in J} F(x, y_\alpha) \geq F(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $y_0 \in \widehat{F}_x$, i.e. \widehat{F}_x is closed set in C .

From C is compact, we have $\widehat{F}_x \subset C$. This implies that \widehat{F}_x is compact.

3. Let $r = \inf \{ F(x, y) : (x, y) \in G(\widehat{F}) \} > 0$,

then $G(\widehat{F}) = \{ (x, y) : \widetilde{F}(x, y) \geq r \}$.

Since $\widetilde{F}(x, y)$ is upper semi-continuous, hence

$G(\widehat{F}) = \{ (x, y) : \widetilde{F}(x, y) \geq r \}$ is closed set in $X \times X$. By theorem

1, \hat{F} has a fixed point in C . This completes the prove of theorem 3.

Remark. Let $T: C \rightarrow 2^C$ be set-valued mapping satisfy the all conditions of theorem 1. then we may define a fuzzy mapping $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(C)$ as follows:

$$F : x \rightarrow F_x = \chi_{Tx} \quad \forall x \in C.$$

with χ_{Tx} is a charactevistic function of set Tx . It is easily verified that F satisfy the all conditions of theorem 3. Hence, theorem 3 is fuzzy generalization of Kakutaniky Fan theorem.

REFERENCES

- (1). D Butnariu, "Fixed point for fuzzy mappings", Fuzzy sets and systems, 7 (1982) 191—207.
- (2). S. Helpern, "Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorem", J. Math. Aual. Appl. 83 (1981), 566—569.
- (3). Chang Shin-sen, "Fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings", Fuzzy sets and systems 17 (1985) 181—187.
- (4). Ky Fan, "Fixed point and minimax theorem in locally convex topological linear spaces", Proc. Not. Acad. Sci. 38 (1952) 121—126.
- (5). O. Kaleva, "A note on fixed points for fuzzy mappings", Fuzzy sets and systems 15 (1985) 99—100.