

Riesz's Theorem and Lebesgue's Theorem  
on the Fuzzy Measure Space

Qiao Zhong

Hebei Institute of Architectural Engineering,  
Zhangjiakou, Hebei, China.

Abstract

In this paper, the (pseudo-)autocontinuity and some other concepts of the fuzzy measure are introduced. On the fuzzy measure space  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ , the concepts of "almost" and "pseudo-almost" are given, and Riesz's theorem and Lebesgue's theorem are proved.

\*1. The (pseudo-) autocontinuity  
of the fuzzy measure

Wang [1] studied some structural characteristics of a fuzzy measure on a classical  $\sigma$ -algebra. In this paper, we shall discuss the fuzzy measure and the convergence of sequence of fuzzy measurable functions on the fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra of fuzzy sets.

Throughout this paper, let  $X$  be a classical nonempty set.

Definition 1.1. Let  $\mathcal{F}(X) = \{A; A: X \rightarrow [0, 1]\}$  be a set of

all fuzzy subsets of  $X$ ,  $\mathfrak{F} \subset \mathfrak{F}(X)$ . We call  $\mathfrak{F}$  a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra, if the properties (1)-(3) are satisfied:

- (1)  $\underline{\phi}, \underline{X} \in \mathfrak{F}$ , where  $\underline{\phi}(x)=0$ ,  $\underline{X}(x)=1$ , for every  $x \in X$ ;
- (2) If  $\underline{A} \in \mathfrak{F}$ , then  $\underline{A}^c \in \mathfrak{F}$ ;
- (3) If  $\{\underline{A}_n\} \subset \mathfrak{F}$ , then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \underline{A}_n \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

If  $\underline{A}_n \subset \underline{A}_{n+1}$  (or  $\underline{A}_n \supset \underline{A}_{n+1}$ ),  $n=1,2,\dots$ , we define

$$\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{A}_n\right)(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{A}_n(x), \text{ for every } x \in X.$$

Definition 1.2. Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra, a fuzzy set function  $\underline{\mu} : \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called a fuzzy measure, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $\underline{\mu}(\underline{\phi})=0$ ;
- (2) Whenever  $\underline{A}, \underline{B} \in \mathfrak{F}$ ,  $\underline{A} \subset \underline{B}$ , then  $\underline{\mu}(\underline{A}) \leq \underline{\mu}(\underline{B})$ ;
- (3) Whenever  $\{\underline{A}_n\} \subset \mathfrak{F}$ ,  $\underline{A}_n \subset \underline{A}_{n+1}$ ,  $n=1,2,\dots$ , then

$$\underline{\mu}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \underline{A}_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{\mu}(\underline{A}_n);$$

- (4) Whenever  $\{\underline{A}_n\} \subset \mathfrak{F}$ ,  $\underline{A}_n \supset \underline{A}_{n+1}$ ,  $n=1,2,\dots$ , and there exists  $n_0$ , such that  $\underline{\mu}(\underline{A}_{n_0}) < \infty$ , then  $\underline{\mu}\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \underline{A}_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{\mu}(\underline{A}_n)$ .

If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra, and  $\underline{\mu}$  is a fuzzy measure, we call  $(X, \mathfrak{F}, \underline{\mu})$  a fuzzy measure space.

Definition 1.3. The fuzzy measure  $\underline{\mu}$  is called null-subtractive, if we have  $\underline{\mu}(\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}^c) = \underline{\mu}(\underline{A})$ , whenever  $\underline{A}, \underline{B} \in \mathfrak{F}$ ,  $\underline{\mu}(\underline{B})=0$ .

Definition 1.4. A fuzzy measure  $\underline{\mu}$  is called auto-continuous from above (resp. autocontinuous from below) if we have  $\underline{\mu}(\underline{A} \cup \underline{B}_n) \rightarrow \underline{\mu}(\underline{A})$  (resp.  $\underline{\mu}(\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}_n^c) \rightarrow \underline{\mu}(\underline{A})$ ) whenever  $\underline{A} \in \underline{\mathcal{F}}$ ,  $\{\underline{B}_n\} \subset \underline{\mathcal{F}}$ ,  $\underline{\mu}(\underline{B}_n) \rightarrow 0$ .  $\underline{\mu}$  is called autocontinuous, if it is both autocontinuous from above and autocontinuous from below.

Definition 1.5. Let  $\underline{A} \in \underline{\mathcal{F}}$ ,  $\underline{\mu}(\underline{A}) < \infty$ .  $\underline{\mu}$  is called pseudo-autocontinuous from above with respect to  $\underline{A}$  (resp. pseudo-autocontinuous from below with respect to  $\underline{A}$ ) if for any  $\{\underline{B}_n\} \subset \underline{\mathcal{F}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mu}(\underline{B}_n \cap \underline{A}) \rightarrow \underline{\mu}(\underline{A}) &\implies \underline{\mu}[(\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}_n^c) \cup \underline{E}] \rightarrow \underline{\mu}(\underline{E}) \\ &\text{(resp. } \underline{\mu}(\underline{B}_n \cap \underline{E}) \rightarrow \underline{\mu}(\underline{E}) \text{)} \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $\underline{E} \in \underline{A} \cap \underline{\mathcal{F}} = \{\underline{A} \cap \underline{D}; \underline{D} \in \underline{\mathcal{F}}\}$ .

## \*2. "Almost" and "pseudo-almost"

Since the fuzzy measures lose the additivity in general, it is necessary to introduce two different concepts, "almost" and "pseudo-almost" on  $(X, \underline{\mathcal{F}}, \underline{\mu})$ .

In the following, let  $\chi_D$  be the characteristic function of the classical set  $D \subset X$ .

Definition 2.1. The mapping  $f: X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$  is called a fuzzy measurable function, if  $\chi_{F_\alpha} \in \underline{\mathcal{F}}$ , where  $F_\alpha = \{x; f(x) \geq \alpha\}$ .

the set of all fuzzy measurable functions is denoted by  $\underline{M}$ .

Definition 2.2. Let  $\{f_n\} \subset \underline{M}$ ,  $f \in \underline{M}$ ,  $\underline{A} \in \underline{\mathcal{F}}$ ,  $P(x)$  be a proposition with respect to  $x \in X$ ,  $D = \{x; x \in X, P(x) \text{ is true}\}$

- (1) If  $\underline{A} \subset X_D$ , then we say  $P(x)$  is everywhere true on  $\underline{A}$ ;
- (2) If there exists  $\underline{E} \in \underline{\mathcal{F}}$  with  $\underline{\mu}(\underline{E}) = 0$ , such that  $P(x)$  is everywhere true on  $\underline{A} \wedge \underline{E}^c$ , then we say  $P(x)$  is almost everywhere true on  $\underline{A}$ ;
- (3) If there exists  $\underline{E} \in \underline{\mathcal{F}}$  with  $\underline{\mu}(\underline{A} \wedge \underline{E}^c) = \underline{\mu}(\underline{A})$ , such that  $P(x)$  is everywhere true on  $\underline{A} \wedge \underline{E}^c$ , then we say  $P(x)$  is pseudo-almost everywhere true on  $\underline{A}$ .

Particularly, if  $P(x)$  is the proposition " $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$ ", we will obtain the concepts of  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$  "everywhere", "almost everywhere", "pseudo-almost everywhere" on  $\underline{A}$ . We denote them by " $f_n \xrightarrow{e} f$  on  $\underline{A}$ ", " $f_n \xrightarrow{a.e.} f$  on  $\underline{A}$ ", " $f_n \xrightarrow{p.a.e.} f$  on  $\underline{A}$ " respectively.

Definition 2.3. Let  $\{f_n\} \subset \underline{M}$ ,  $f \in \underline{M}$ ,  $\underline{A} \in \underline{\mathcal{F}}$ .

- (1) If  $\underline{\mu}(\underline{A} \wedge X_{\{|f_n - f| \geq \varepsilon\}}) \rightarrow 0$  for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then we say  $\{f_n\}$  converges in fuzzy measure  $\underline{\mu}$  to  $f$  on  $\underline{A}$ , and denote it by  $f_n \xrightarrow{\underline{\mu}} f$  on  $\underline{A}$ ;
- (2) If  $\underline{\mu}(\underline{A} \wedge X_{\{|f_n - f| < \varepsilon\}}) \rightarrow \underline{\mu}(\underline{A})$  for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then we say  $\{f_n\}$  converges pseudo-in fuzzy measure  $\underline{\mu}$  to  $f$  on  $\underline{A}$ , and denote it by  $f_n \xrightarrow{p.\underline{\mu}} f$  on  $\underline{A}$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** If  $P(x)$  is almost everywhere true on  $\underline{A}$ ,  $\underline{\mu}$  is null-subtractive, then  $P(x)$  is pseudo-almost everywhere true on  $\underline{A}$ .

\*3. Riesz's theorem on the fuzzy

measure space  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \underline{\mu})$

By means of the (pseudo-) autocontinuity of a fuzzy measure and the concepts of "almost" and "pseudo-almost", we may give three forms of generalization for the classical Riesz's theorem.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\{E_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $\underline{\mu}(E_n) \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\underline{\mu}$  is autocontinuous from above (resp. autocontinuous from below), then there exists some subsequence  $\{E_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{E_n\}$ , such that  $\underline{\mu}(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=j}^{\infty} E_{n_i}) = 0$  (resp.  $\underline{\mu}[A \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=j}^{\infty} E_{n_i})^c] = \underline{\mu}(A)$ ).

**Proof.** We only give the proof of  $\underline{\mu}$  is autocontinuous from below, the other is similar.

Let  $\underline{\mu}$  be autocontinuous from below,  $\underline{\mu}(E_n) \rightarrow 0$ . For arbitrarily given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_1$ , such that

$\underline{\mu}(A \cap E_{n_1}^c) > \underline{\mu}(A) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . And for  $A \cap E_{n_1}^c$ , there exists  $n_2 > n_1$ , such that

$$\underline{\mu}[A \cap (E_{n_1} \cup E_{n_2})^c] > \underline{\mu}(A \cap E_{n_1}^c) - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} > \underline{\mu}(A) - \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon, \dots$$

and so on. Finally, we obtain a sequence  $\{\underline{E}_{n_i}\}$ , such that  $\mu[\underline{A} \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \underline{E}_{n_i})^c] \geq \mu(\underline{A}) - \varepsilon$ . Furthermore, we take a subsequence  $\{\underline{E}_{n_i^{(1)}}\}$  of  $\{\underline{E}_{n_i}\}$ , such that

$$\mu[\underline{A} \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \underline{E}_{n_i^{(1)}})^c] \geq \mu(\underline{A}) - \frac{1}{2}. \text{ And as } \mu(\underline{E}_{n_i^{(1)}}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ too,}$$

there exists a subsequence  $\{\underline{E}_{n_i^{(2)}}\}$  of  $\{\underline{E}_{n_i^{(1)}}\}$ , such that

$$\mu[\underline{A} \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \underline{E}_{n_i^{(2)}})^c] \geq \mu(\underline{A}) - \frac{1}{3}. \text{ In general, there exists a}$$

subsequence  $\{\underline{E}_{n_i^{(j)}}\}$  of  $\{\underline{E}_{n_i^{(j-1)}}\}$ , such that

$$\mu[\underline{A} \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \underline{E}_{n_i^{(j)}})^c] \geq \mu(\underline{A}) - \frac{1}{j}, \quad j=1,2,\dots. \text{ If we}$$

take  $n_i = n_i^{(j)}$ , then  $\{\underline{E}_{n_i}\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{\underline{E}_{n_i}\}$ , and

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \underline{E}_{n_i} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \underline{E}_{n_i^{(j)}}, \quad j=1,2,\dots, \text{ consequently,}$$

$$\mu(\underline{A}) \geq \mu[\underline{A} \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \underline{E}_{n_i})^c] \geq \mu[\underline{A} \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \underline{E}_{n_i^{(j)}})^c] \geq \mu(\underline{A}) - \frac{1}{j},$$

for all  $j=1,2,\dots$ . And therefore  $\mu[\underline{A} \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \underline{E}_{n_i})^c] = \mu(\underline{A})$ .

Lemma 3.2. Let  $\{\underline{E}_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\underline{A} \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $\underline{E}_n \subset \underline{A}$ ,  $n=1,2,\dots$ ,  $\mu(\underline{E}_n) \rightarrow \mu(\underline{A}) < \infty$ , and  $\mu$  is pseudo-autocontinuous from below with respect to  $\underline{A}$ , then there exists some subsequence  $\{\underline{E}_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{\underline{E}_n\}$ , such that  $\mu(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{i=j}^{\infty} \underline{E}_{n_i}) = \mu(\underline{A})$ .

The proof of Lemma 3.2 is similar to Lemma 3.1.

The following theorem is a generalization of the

classical Lebesgue's theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let  $\{f_n\} \subset \underline{M}$ ,  $f \in \underline{M}$ ,  $\underline{A} \in \underline{\mathcal{F}}$ .

(1) If  $\underline{\mu}$  is autocontinuous from above,  $f_n \xrightarrow{\underline{\mu}} f$  on  $\underline{X}$ , then

there exists some subsequence  $\{f_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{f_n\}$ , such that

$$f_{n_i} \xrightarrow{\text{e.c.}} f \text{ on } \underline{A}, \text{ whenever } \underline{A} \in \underline{\mathcal{F}};$$

(2) If  $\underline{\mu}$  is autocontinuous from below,  $f_n \xrightarrow{\underline{\mu}} f$  on  $\underline{X}$ , then

there exists some subsequence  $\{f_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{f_n\}$ , such that

$$f_{n_i} \xrightarrow{\text{p.a.e.}} f \text{ on } \underline{A}, \text{ whenever } \underline{A} \in \underline{\mathcal{F}};$$

(3) If  $\underline{\mu}$  is pseudo-autocontinuous from below with respect

to  $\underline{A}$ ,  $\underline{\mu}(\underline{A}) < \infty$ ,  $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{p.}\underline{\mu}} f$  on  $\underline{A}$ , then there exists some subsequence  $\{f_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{f_n\}$ , such that  $f_{n_i} \xrightarrow{\text{p.a.e.}} f$  on  $\underline{A}$ .

Proof. Let  $\underline{\mu}$  be autocontinuous from above, and  $f_n \xrightarrow{\underline{\mu}} f$  on  $\underline{X}$ , then for every  $k=1,2,\dots$ ,  $\underline{\mu}(X_{\{ |f_n - f| \geq \frac{1}{k} \}}) \rightarrow 0$ . There exists  $n_k$  respectively, such that  $\underline{\mu}(X_{\{ |f_{n_k} - f| \geq \frac{1}{k} \}}) < \frac{1}{k}$ .

Without any loss of generality, we suppose  $n_{k+1} > n_k$ ,

$k=1,2,\dots$ . If we denote  $\underline{E}_k = X_{\{ |f_{n_k} - f| \geq \frac{1}{k} \}}$ , then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \underline{\mu}(\underline{E}_k) = 0$ .

By using Lemma 3.1 there exists a subsequence  $\{\underline{E}_{k_i}\}$  of  $\{\underline{E}_k\}$ ,

such that  $\underline{\mu}(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=j}^{\infty} \underline{E}_{k_i}) = 0$ . For every  $\underline{A} \in \underline{\mathcal{F}}$ , we have

$$\underline{A} \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=j}^{\infty} \underline{E}_{k_i})^c \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{i=j}^{\infty} \underline{E}_{k_i}^c. \text{ Furthermore we prove}$$

$\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=j}^{\infty} \tilde{E}_{k_i} \subset X_D$ , where  $D = \{f_{n_{k_i}} \rightarrow f\}$ . In fact, for any

$x \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=j}^{\infty} \{ |f_{n_{k_i}} - f| < \frac{1}{k_i} \}$ , there exists  $j(x)$ , such that

$x \in \bigcup_{i=j(x)}^{\infty} \{ |f_{n_{k_i}} - f| < \frac{1}{k_i} \}$ , namely,  $|f_{n_{k_i}}(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{k_i}$ ,

as  $i \geq j(x)$ . Thus, for arbitrarily given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , if we take  $i_0$

such that  $\frac{1}{k_{i_0}} < \varepsilon$ , then  $|f_{n_{k_i}}(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{k_i} \leq \frac{1}{k_{i_0}} < \varepsilon$ ,

as  $i \geq j(x) \forall i_0$ , namely  $x \in D$ . Consequently  $\tilde{A} \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=j}^{\infty} \tilde{E}_{k_i}) \in X_+$ ,

that is to say  $f_{n_{k_i}} \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} f$  on  $\tilde{A}$ .

(2) and (3) may be proved by using Lemma 2.6, and Lemma 2.7.

#### \*4. Lebesgue's theorem on $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$

Now we give two forms of the generalization of the classical Lebesgue's theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let  $\{f_n\} \subset M$ ,  $f \in M$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

(1) If  $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{p.a.e.}} f$  on  $\tilde{A}$ , then  $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{p.}\mu} f$  on  $\tilde{A}$ ;

(2) Let  $\mu$  be null-subtractive, if  $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} f$  on  $\tilde{A}$ , then

$f_n \xrightarrow{\text{p.}\mu} f$  on  $\tilde{A}$ .

Proof. By using proposition 2.4, we can obtain (2)

from (1). Now we prove (1). As  $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{p.a.e.}} f$  on  $\tilde{A}$ , there

exists  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ , with  $B \subset \tilde{A}$ ,  $\mu(B) = \mu(\tilde{A})$ , such that  $f_n \xrightarrow{c} f$  on  $B$ ,



namely,  $\underline{B} \subset \mathcal{X}_{\underline{D}}$ , where  $\underline{D} = \{f_n \rightarrow f\}$ . For arbitrarily given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x \in \underline{B}$ , there exists  $N(x)$ , such that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ , for  $n \geq N(x)$ . Denote  $\underline{A}_n = \underline{B} \cap \mathcal{X}_{\{x; N(x) \leq n\}} \cap \underline{D}$ , we have  $\underline{A}_n \nearrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \underline{A}_n = \underline{B} \cap \mathcal{X}_{\underline{D}} = \underline{B}$ . Since  $\underline{A}_n \subset \underline{A} \cap \mathcal{X}_{\{|f_n - f| < \varepsilon\}}$ ,  $\mu(\underline{A}) \geq \mu(\underline{A} \cap \mathcal{X}_{\{|f_n - f| < \varepsilon\}}) \geq \mu(\underline{A}_n) \rightarrow \mu(\underline{B}) = \mu(\underline{A})$ , therefore  $f_n \xrightarrow{F.\mu} f$  on  $\underline{A}$ .

I am very much indebted to Professor Wang Zhenyuan for his reading of the manuscript and his many valuable suggestions for improvements.

#### References

- [1]. Wang Zhenyuan, Asymptotic structural characteristics of fuzzy measure and their applications, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 16(1985) 277-290.
- [2]. Zhao Ruhuai, (N) fuzzy integral, Mathematical Research and Exposition (in Chinese), 2(1981) 55-72.
- [3]. Gao Zhong, The fuzzy integral on the fuzzy set, Journal of Hebei Institute of Architectural Engineering, (in Chinese), 2(1986).

1986.5.10.