ON THE CARDINALITY DEFINITION FOR TWOFOLD FUZZY SETS

## Maciej Wygralak\*

A.Mickiewicz University, Institute of Mathematics Matejki 48/49, 60-769 Poznań, Poland

SUMMARY. In this paper we discuss, from the viewpoint of the many-valued logic, the suitability of the cardinality definition proposed by D.Dubois and H.Prade for twofold fuzzy sets (/1/,/2/), and present its important consequences.

#### 1. TWOFOLD FUZZY SETS

The notion of twofold fuzzy sets has been introduced by D.Dubois and H.Prade (see e.g. /1/,/2/) as a generalization of Gentilhomme's flou sets and seems to be one of the most interesting approaches to representing incomplete knowledge. A twofold fuzzy set T is defined as an ordered pair (A,C) of fuzzy subsets A,CcU such that Ac1<sub>C4</sub>. T models a set with a fuzzy boundary. A is then a set of elements from U which more or less certainly belong to T whereas C contains (in the sense of many-valued logic) elements which more or less possibly are in T. The intersection CnA' is composed of elements whose belonging to T is dubious. The fulfilment of the intuitive postulate 'more or less certain belonging to T implies that its possibility equals 1' is ensured by the assumption C1 contains A.

Any fuzzy set FcU can also be considered as a twofold fuzzy one in two ways, different from the viewpoint of interpretation of membership (see /1/,/2/): either as  $(1_{F_4},F)$  or as  $(F,\sup_F(F))$ . The pair (D,D) is a "twofold fuzzy" representation of a crisp subset DcU.

### 2. DEFINING THE CARDINALITY OF T=(A,C)

Assume A,C are finite fuzzy sets, i.e. their supports are finite. D.Dubois and H.Prade use Zadeh's fuzzy cardinals (see /7/),

<sup>\*</sup>Seminar on Interval and Fuzzy Mathematics directed by Prof.Dr. Jerzy Albrycht, Technical University of Poznań

denoted by FGCount, as a tool for describing the cardinality of twofold fuzzy sets:

$$FGCount_{F}(k):=\sup\{t\in(0,1]: card(F_{t})>k\}.$$

It is well known that  $FGCount_F(k)$  is the possibility of the event 'the fuzzy set F contains at least k elements'. Moreover,  $FGCount_F(k)=f_k$ , where  $f_k$  denotes the k-th element in the nonincreasingly ordered sequence of values F(x) for all  $x \in Supp(F)$  with  $f_0:=1$  and  $f_j:=0$  for  $j \geq Card(Supp(F))$  (see f(x)).

So,  $FGCount_C(k)$  is the possibility that C (i.e. the set of more or less possible elements of the twofold fuzzy set T=(A,C)) contains at least k elements, and 1- $FGCount_A(k+1)$  is the necessity that the number of more or less sure elements of T equals at most k. Then (see /2/) "... in order to have k as a somewhat possible value for the cardinality of T, k must be somewhat certain as an upper bound of the cardinality of the set of the more or less sure elements of T and somewhat possible as a lower bound of the cardinality of the set of the more or less possible elements of T." Thus, conclude the authors of /2/, the cardinality of T should be defined as follows:

(&) 
$$\operatorname{card}_{T}(k) := \min(c_{k}, 1-a_{k+1})$$
,

where the values  $a_k, c_k$  (corresponding to A and C, respectively) are defined as  $f_k$  for F. In the opinion of the author of this note, that does not suffice to accept formula (&) as a reasonable approach to the important question how to define the cardinality of T. The above cited words could only be a nice explanation or interpretation of (&).

# 3. FORMAL SOURCE OF THE FORMULA UNDER DISCUSSION

Let  $P_k(C)$  denote the family consisting of all the k-element crisp subsets of supp(C). By E we shall denote the truth value of an expression E.

Proof. Is quite analogous to that of Proposition 3.3 in /5/.

## REMARKS AND COROLLARIES

- 1. Formula (&) seems to be now quite acceptable and clear.
- 2. The cardinality definition (&) for twofold fuzzy sets is (taking into account the Proposition) in principle a slightly adapted version of Klaua's definition of partial cardinals for partial sets (see /3/,/4/).
- 3. On the other hand, the definition

(&&) 
$$\operatorname{card}_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{k}) := \left[\exists \mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{C}) : \operatorname{AcYcC}\right]$$

is a simple and natural generalization of the definition of the Cd-cardinals (see /5/) obtained by replacing the condition A=Y (equivalent to AcYcA) with AcYcC, where AcC. Here also

$$\left[\exists Y \in P_k(C): AcYcC\right] = \left[\exists Y \in P_k(U): AcYcC\right].$$

- 4. By putting some specially selected sets as A and C (see /6/), formula (&&) can be used as a generator for obtaining fuzzy cardinal numbers FGCount<sub>F</sub>, Crd<sub>F</sub>, Cdt<sub>F</sub>, Cd<sub>F</sub> (see /5/) proposed by L.A.Zadeh, D.Dubois, E.P.Klement, and by the author, respectively.
- 5. Using various types of fuzzy cardinal numbers (see /5/) and considering F first as a fuzzy set and then as a twofold fuzzy set, we obtain identical or different information about the cardinality of F.

### Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Professor S.Gottwald from the K.Marx University, Leipzig, GDR, for his helpful comments and suggestions.

### REFERENCES

/1/ D.Dubois, H.Prade, Twofold fuzzy sets: An approach to the representation of sets with fuzzy boundaries based on possibility and necessity measures, J. of Fuzzy Mathematics (Wuhan, China), 3(4)(1983)53-76.

- /2/ D.Dubois, H.Prade, Twofold fuzzy sets and rough sets Some issues in knowledge representation, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, to appear.
- /3/ D.Klaua, Partielle Mengen mit mehrwertigen Grundbeziehungen, Monatsber. Deut. Akad. Wiss. Berlin 11(1969)573-584.
- /4/ D.Klaua, Partielle Mengen und Zahlen, Monatsber. Deut. Akad. Wiss. Berlin 11(1969)585-599.
- /5/ M.Wygralak, Fuzzy cardinals based on the generalized equality of fuzzy subsets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 18(1986)143-158.
- /6/ M.Wygralak, Twofold fuzzy sets and their cardinality, a paper prepared for the First IFSA-EC and EURO-WG Workshop on Progress in Fuzzy Sets in Europe, November 25-27, 1986, Warsaw, Poland.
- /7/ L.A.Zadeh, Fuzzy probabilities and their role in decision analysis, in: Proc. IFAC Symp. on Theory and Applications of Digital Control, New Dehli (1982), preprint.