FIXED POINTS IN FUZZY METRIC SPACES

Mariusz Grabiec*

Abstract. In this note the well-known fixed point theorems of Banach and Edelstein are extended to fuzzy metric spaces in the sense of Kramosil and Michalek.

The Banach fixed point theorem states that each selfmapping T of a complete metric space (X,d) such that $d(Tx,Ty) < < k \cdot d(x,y)$ $(x \neq y, 0 < k < 1)$ has a unique fixed point. The assumption k < 1 is nonsuperfluous. With k = 1 the mapping of that sort need not have a fixed point. However, if X is compact, then T has a unique fixed point (Edelstein [3]).

In this note we extend fixed point theorems of Banach and Edelstein to contractive mappings of a complete and compact fuzzy metric space, respectively. We shall deal with fuzzy metric spaces introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [6]. Note there are at least five different concepts of a fuzzy metric space (cf. [1], [2], [4]-[6]).

We begin with some definitions.

- 1. <u>DEFINITION</u> ([8]). A binary operation *: [0, 1] * [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] is a (continuous) t-norm if ([0, 1], *) is an abelian (topological) monoid with the unit 1 such that $\alpha * b \leqslant c * d$ whenever $\alpha \leqslant c$ and $b \leqslant d$ (α , b, c, $d \in [0, 1]$).
- 2. <u>DEFINITION</u> ([6]). The 3-tuple (X, M, *) is a fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, M is a fuzzy set in $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:
- (2.1) M(x, y, 0) = 0,
- (2.2) M(x, y, t) = 1 for all t > 0 iff x = y,

^{*} Langiewicza 18/9, 61-502 Poznań, Poland

- (2.3) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t),
- (2.4) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t+s),$
- (2.5) $M(x, y, \cdot)$: $[0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left-continuous, for all $x, y, z \in X$ and t, s > 0.
- 3. <u>DEFINITION</u>. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is Cauchy if $\lim_n M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$ for each t > 0 and p > 0. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is convergent to $x \in X$ if $\lim_n M(x_n, x, t) = 1$ for each t > 0. Notation: $\lim_n x_n = x$. (Since * is continuous, it follows from (2.4) that the limit is uniquely determined).

A fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is called complete. It is called compact if every sequence contains a convergent subsequence.

4. LEMMA. $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. Suppose M(x, y, t) > M(x, y, s) for some 0 < t < s. Then $M(x, y, t) * M(y, y, s-t) \le M(x, y, s) < M(x, y, t)$. By (2.2), M(y, y, s-t) = 1, thus M(x, y, t) < M(x, y, t), a contradiction.

Note. Kramosil and Michalek [6] assumed actually * to be measurable only, and, consequently, they assumed $M(x, y, \cdot)$ to be non-decreasing. Note also that the condition (5.1) below is included in their definition of a fuzzy metric space.

- 5. THEOREM (fuzzy Banach contraction theorem). Let (X, M, *) be a complete fuzzy metric space such that
- (5.1) $\lim_{t\to\infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$. Let $T: X \to X$ be a mapping satisfying
- $(5.2) \quad M(Tx, Ty, kt) \geqslant M(x, y, t)$

for all $x, y \in X$ where 0 < k < 1. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and let $x_n = T^n x$ ($n \in IN$). By a simple induction we get

(5.3)
$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, kt) \ge M(x, x_1, \frac{t}{k^{n-1}})$$

for all n and t>0. Thus for any positive integer p we have $\mathbb{M}(\mathbf{x}_n,\mathbf{x}_{n+p},\mathbf{t}) \geqslant \mathbb{M}(\mathbf{x}_n,\mathbf{x}_{n+1},\frac{\mathbf{t}}{p}) * \overset{(p)}{\dots} * \mathbb{M}(\mathbf{x}_{n+p-1},\mathbf{x}_{n+p},\frac{\mathbf{t}}{p}) \geqslant$

$$\geq M(x, x_1, \frac{t}{pk^n}) * (p) * M(x, x_1, \frac{t}{pk^n})$$

by (5.3). According to (5.1) we now have

$$\lim_{n} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) \ge 1* (p) *1 = 1,$$

i.e., $\{\,\mathbf{x}_{n}^{}\}\,$ is Cauchy, hence convergent. Call the limit y. Thus we have

$$\begin{split} \text{M}(\text{Ty, y, t}) & \geq \text{M}(\text{Ty, } \text{Tx}_n, \frac{\text{t}}{2}) * \text{M}(\text{x}_{n+1}, \text{y}, \frac{\text{t}}{2}) \\ & \geq \text{M}(\text{y, x}_n, \frac{\text{t}}{2k}) * \text{M}(\text{x}_{n+1}, \text{y}, \frac{\text{t}}{2}) \longrightarrow 1*1 = 1 \end{split}$$

by (2.4). By (2.2) we get Ty = y, a fixed point. To show uniqueness, assume Tz = z for some $z \in X$. Then

$$1 \ge M(z, y, t) = M(Tz, Ty, t) \ge M(z, y, \frac{t}{k}) = M(Tz, Ty, \frac{t}{k})$$
$$\ge M(z, y, \frac{t}{k^2}) \ge \dots \ge M(z, y, \frac{t}{k^n}) \longrightarrow 1$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (2.2), z = y.

6. LEMMA. If
$$\lim_{n} x_{n} = x$$
 and $\lim_{n} y_{n} = y$, then
$$\mathbb{M}(x, y, t - \epsilon) \leq \lim_{n} \mathbb{M}(x_{n}, y_{n}, t) \leq \mathbb{M}(x, y, t + \epsilon)$$

for all t > 0 and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{t}{2}$.

Froof. By (2.4), $M(x_n, y_n, t) \ge M(x_n, x, \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2}) * M(x, y, t-\mathcal{E}) *$ * $M(y, y_n, \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2})$. Thus, $\lim_n M(x_n, y_n, t) \ge 1 * M(x, y, t-\mathcal{E}) * 1 =$ = $M(x, y, t-\mathcal{E})$. On the other hand, $M(x, y, t+\mathcal{E}) \ge M(x, y_n, \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2}) *$ * $M(x_n, y_n, t) * M(y_n, y, \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2})$, hence $M(x, y, t+\mathcal{E}) \ge \lim_n M(x_n, y_n, t)$. So, the assertion follows.

- 7. COROLLARY. Let $\lim_{n} x_n = x$ and $\lim_{n} y_n = y$. Then:
- (7.1) $\lim_{n} M(x_n, y_n, t) \ge M(x, y, t)$ for all t > 0;
- (7.2) If $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is continuous, then $\lim_{n} M(x_n, y_n, t) = M(x, y, t)$ for all t > 0.
- 8. THEOREM (fuzzy Edelstein contraction theorem). Let (X, M, *) be a compact fuzzy metric space with M(x, y, •) continuous for all $x, y \in X$. Let $T: X \to X$ be a mapping satisfying

(8.1) M(Tx, Ty, t) > M(x, y, t)

for all $x \neq y$ and t > 0. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and $x_n = T^n \times (n \in \mathbb{N})$. Assume $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for each n (if not, $Tx_n = x_n$). Now, assume $x_n \neq x_m$ ($n \neq m$). For otherwise we get $M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) = M(x_m, x_{m+1}, t) > M(x_{m-1}, x_m, t) > \dots > M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)$ where m > n, a contradiction. Since X is compact, $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{x_n\}$. Let $y = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n$. We also assume that y, $Ty \notin \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ (if not, choose a subsequence with such a property). According to the above assumptions we may now write

$$M(Tx_{n_i}, Ty, t) > M(x_{n_i}, y, t)$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and t>0. Since $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is continuous for all x, y in X, by (7.2) we obtain

$$\lim_{i} M(Tx_{n_{i}}, Ty, t) \geqslant \lim_{i} M(x_{n_{i}}, y, t) = 1$$

for each t>0, hence

(8.2)
$$\lim_{i} Tx_{n_{i}} = Ty.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\lim_{i} T^{2} x_{n_{i}} = T^{2} y$$

(recall that $\text{Ty} \neq \text{Tx}_{n_i}$ for all i). Now, observe that $\text{M}(x_{n_1}, \text{Tx}_{n_1}, t) < \text{M}(\text{Tx}_{n_1}, \text{T}^2x_{n_1}, t) < \dots < \text{M}(x_{n_i}, \text{Tx}_{n_i}, t) < \dots < \text{M}(x_{n_i}, \text{Tx}_{n_i}, t) < \dots < \text{M}(x_{n_{i+1}}, \text{Tx}_{n_{i+1}}, t) < \dots < \text{M}(\text{Tx}_{n_{i+1}}, \text{T}^2x_{n_{i+1}}, t) < \dots < 1 \text{ for all } t > 0. \text{ Thus}$ $\{\text{M}(x_{n_i}, \text{Tx}_{n_i}, t)\}$ and $\{\text{M}(\text{Tx}_{n_i}, \text{T}^2x_{n_i}, t)\}$ (t>0) are convergent to a common limit (cf. [7]). So, by (8.2), (8.3) and (7.2) we get

$$M(y, Ty, t) = M(\lim_{n_i} x_{n_i}, T(\lim_{n_i} x_{n_i}), t)$$

= $\lim_{n_i} M(x_{n_i}, Tx_{n_i}, t)$

for all t>0. Suppose $y \neq Ty$. Then, by (8.1), M(y, Ty, t) < < M(Ty, T^2y , t) (t>0), a contradisction. Hence y = Ty, a fixed point. Uniqueness follows at once from (8.1).

References

- [1] G. Artico, R. Moresco, On fuzzy metrizability, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 107 (1985), 144-147.
- [2] Deng Zi-ke, Fuzzy pseudo metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 86 (1982), 74-95.
- [3] M. Edelstein, On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings, J. London Math. Soc. 37 (1962), 74-79.
- [4] M.A. Erceg, Metric spaces in fuzzy set theory, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 69 (1979), 205-230.
- [5] O. Kaleva, S. Seikkala, On fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 12 (1984), 215-229.
- [6] J. Kramosil, J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kibernetika 11 (1975), 336-344.
- [7] B. K. Ray, H. Chatterjee, Some results on fixed points in metric and Banach spaces, Bull. Acad. Polon. Math. 25 (1977), 1243-1247.
- [8] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 314-334.