

16

A FUZZY MODIFICATION OF THE MAXIMAL ERGODIC THEOREM

Emilioslav HARMAN, Liptovský Mikuláš

This paper is aimed to formulate and prove the fuzzy modification of the classical maximal ergodic theorem with respect to a fuzzy ordering of the range of an integrable function. The main idea of the proof of Theorem 1 given below is originally due to Garsia [1]. For detail studying of the classical cases see e.g. [2], [3], [4]. Other works of the related fuzzy topics are [5], [6], [7].

Let $(X, \mathcal{G}, \lambda, \tau)$ be a dynamical system, i.e. X is a non-empty set, \mathcal{G} is a σ -algebra on X , λ is a measure on \mathcal{G} and $\tau : X \rightarrow X$ is a measure λ -preserving transformation. Let $\mathcal{R} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ be a fuzzy relation of the linear ordering of the set of real numbers \mathbb{R} defined as follows:

$$R(1) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, x \neq y : \mathcal{R}(x, y) > 0 \iff \mathcal{R}(y, x) = 0 \\ \text{(antisymmetry and connectivity)}$$

$$R(2) \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{R}(x, z) \geq \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{R}(x, y) \mathcal{R}(y, z) \quad \text{(transitivity)}$$

Compatibility of the mentioned fuzzy-ordering by the natural ordering of the set \mathbb{R} is given by the following conditions:

$$R(3) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{R}(0, x) > 0 \iff x > 0$$

$$R(4) \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{R}(x, y) > 0 \implies \mathcal{R}(x+z, y+z) > 0$$

$$R(5) \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{R}(x, y) > 0, \mathcal{R}(y, z) > 0 \implies \mathcal{R}(x, z) \leq \mathcal{R}(x, y)$$

From the five conditions above it is easy to prove further properties of the relation \mathcal{R} :

$$R(6) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{R}(x, x) = 0$$

$$R(7) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{R}(x, x) \text{ is a nondecreasing function of the variable } x.$$

The cutting relation \mathcal{R} will be called a membership function of the above defined fuzzy ordering. A simple nontrivial example of the above mentioned relation \mathcal{R} is:

$$\mathcal{R}_\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{\alpha(y-x)} & ; x < y \\ 0 & ; x \geq y \end{cases} \quad \alpha > 0$$

Let $P = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; x < y\}$. Evidently $\chi_p(x, y)$ is the membership function of the natural (non-fuzzy) ordering of the set P . It is easy to see that $\chi_p(x, y)$ is the limit case of the membership function $\mathcal{R}_\alpha(x, y)$ of the fuzzy ordering because of

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}_\alpha(x, y) = \chi_p(x, y).$$

Let $f \in L_1(\lambda)$. Let us denote

$$\tau f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto f(\tau x)$$

$$(S_\tau f): X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto 0$$

$$(S_\tau^k f): X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto f(x) + f(\tau x) + \dots + f(\tau^{k-1} x) \quad \text{for } k=1, 2, 3, \dots$$

$$(S_\tau^n f): X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} (S_\tau^k f)(x) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\mu_n(x) = \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} \mathcal{R}[0, f(x) + f(\tau x) + \dots + f(\tau^{k-1} x)] \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Evidently μ_n is a membership function of an appropriate fuzzy subset of the set X . Moreover (due to R 7) μ_n is an \mathcal{F} -measurable bounded function.

Lemma 1. Let φ, ψ be functions defined on X such that $\forall x \in X: \varphi(x) \leq \psi(x)$. Then $(\tau \varphi)(x) \leq (\tau \psi)(x)$.

Proof. Straightforward.

Lemma 2. Let $f \in L_1(\lambda)$. Then

$$\int_X f d\lambda = \int_X \tau f d\lambda.$$

Proof. See [2], [3].

18

Theorem 1. Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, \lambda, \tau)$ be a dynamical system, $f \in L_1(\lambda)$. Let the functions μ_n , $S_n^+ f$ have the above meaning. Then

$$\int_X \mu_n(x) f(x) d\lambda(x) + \int_X (1 - \mu_n(x)) (S_n^+ f)(x) d\lambda(x) \geq 0$$

Proof. By the definition of $S_n^+ f$ it follows $\forall x \in X$:

$$(S_n^+ f)(x) \geq (S_k f)(x) \text{ for } k=0, 1, 2, \dots, n. \text{ Due to Lemma 1}$$

$$\forall x \in X: (TS_n^+ f)(x) \geq (TS_k f)(x) = f(\tau x) + f(\tau^2 x) + \dots + f(\tau^k x)$$

for $k=0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ and then

$$f(x) + (TS_n^+ f)(x) \geq f(x) + f(\tau x) + \dots + f(\tau^k x) = (S_{k+1} f)(x).$$

Hence

$$f(x) + (TS_n^+ f)(x) \geq (S_k f)(x)$$

for $k=1, 2, \dots, n+1$ which follows

$$f(x) + (TS_n^+ f)(x) \geq \max_{1 \leq k \leq n+1} (S_k f)(x) \geq \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} (S_k f)(x)$$

for $x \in X$. Due to the definition of $\mu_n(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} [f(x) + (TS_n^+ f)(x)] \mu_n(x) &\geq \mu_n(x) \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} (S_k f)(x) = \\ &= \mu_n(x) \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} (S_k f)(x) = \mu_n(x) (S_n^+ f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

After a short arrangement and integrating of the last inequality with using Lemma 2 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \mu_n(x) f(x) d\lambda(x) &\geq \int_X \mu_n(x) [(S_n^+ f)(x) - (TS_n^+ f)(x)] d\lambda(x) = \\ &= \int_X [(S_n^+ f)(x) - (TS_n^+ f)(x)] d\lambda(x) - \\ &\quad - \int_X (1 - \mu_n(x)) [(S_n^+ f)(x) - (TS_n^+ f)(x)] d\lambda(x) = \\ &= \int_X (1 - \mu_n) (TS_n^+ f) d\lambda - \int_X (1 - \mu_n) (S_n^+ f) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(1 - \mu_n) TS_n^+ f$ is a nonnegative function on X , the theorem is proved. Q.E.D.

19

The following theorem can be assumed to be a limit case of the preceding one.

Theorem 2. Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, \lambda, \tau)$ be a dynamical system. Let $\mu(x) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} R[0, f(x) + f(\tau x) + \dots + f(\tau^{n-1}x)]$. Then

$$\int_X f(x) \mu(x) d\lambda(x) + \int_X (1 - \mu(x)) \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (S_n^+ f)(x) d\lambda(x) \geq 0.$$

Proof. The above theorem is a simple consequence of Theorem 1 and of the fundamental theorems of the theory of Lebesgue integral.

Q.E.D.

Let $\chi_E(x, y)$ be a membership function of the natural (non-fuzzy) ordering of the set of real numbers. If $R(x, y) = \chi_E(x, y)$, then $\mu(x)$ is the membership function of the nonfuzzy set

$$E = \{ x \in X ; \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : f(x) + f(\tau x) + \dots + f(\tau^{n-1}x) > 0 \}.$$

Evidently

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{iff } x \in E, \text{i.e. } \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (S_n^+ f)(x) > 0 \\ 0 & \text{iff } x \notin E, \text{i.e. } \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (S_n^+ f)(x) \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

and then $\int_X (1 - \mu(x)) \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (S_n^+ f)(x) d\lambda(x) = 0$. Hence

$$\int_X f(x) \chi_E(x) d\lambda(x) = \int_E f(x) d\lambda(x) \geq 0.$$

The last result is the assertion of the classical maximal ergodic theorem.

References

- [1] Garsia, A.M.: A simple proof of Eberhard Hopf's maximal ergodic theorem. J. Math. and Mech. 14(1965), 381 - 382.
- [2] Riesz, P.: Lectures on ergodic theory. Tokyo 1953.
- [3] Friedman, H. A.: Introduction to ergodic theory. Van Nostrand, New York 1970.

20

- [4] Kornfeld, - Sinaj, - Fomin, : Ergodičeskaja teoria. Nauka, Moskva 1980.
- [5] Ovchinnikov, S.V.: Structure of fuzzy binary relations. Fuzzy sets and systems 6(1981), 169 - 195.
- [6] Kaufmann, A.: Theory of fuzzy subsets. Academic Press, New York 1975.
- [7] Dubois, D. - Prade, H.: Fuzzy sets and systems. Theory and applications. Academic Press, New York 1980.