

SOME PROPERTIES OF  $g_\lambda$ -MEASURE

Wenxiu HUA

Department of Mathematics,  
Huaiyin Teachers College,  
Jiangsu Province, China

In this paper, we proved in the first place the quasi-additivity of Sugeno's  $g_\lambda$ -measure. For the  $g_\lambda$ -measure on finite set  $X$ , we defined the characteristic function  $G_n(\lambda)$  of  $g_\lambda$ -measure, and its some properties are discussed. Finally, we are to show the relation between  $g_\lambda$ -measure and probability measure.

Keywords: Fuzzy measure, Characteristic function, Plausibility measure, Belief function.

1. The quasi-additivity of  $g_\lambda$ -measure

Let  $X$  is a non-empty set and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$ , if set function on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$   $g: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  has the following properties:

$$(i) \quad g(\emptyset) = 0, \quad g(X) = 1;$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{if } A, B \in \mathcal{B}, \text{ and } A \subset B, \text{ then } g(A) \leq g(B);$$

$$(iii) \quad \text{if } A_n \in \mathcal{B}, \text{ and } \{A_n\} \text{ is monotone, then } \lim g(A_n) = g(\lim A_n).$$

$g$  is called fuzzy measure on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ .<sup>[1]</sup>

If  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then

$$g(A \cup B) = g(A) + g(B) + \lambda g(A) \cdot g(B) \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\lambda \in (-1, \infty)$ , in this time, fuzzy measure  $g$  is called  $g_\lambda$ -measure on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ , and written  $g_\lambda$ .

We know that for arbitrary a family of disjoint subsets  $\{A_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $g_\lambda$ -measure is countably  $\lambda$ -additive fuzzy measure, that is

$$g_\lambda\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_\lambda(A_n) & \lambda=0 \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda g_\lambda(A_n)) - 1 \right] & \lambda \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Definition 1.1. Let  $X$  be an arbitrary set, if the sequence of sets  $\{A_n\}$  in  $X$  has the following properties:

(i)  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = X$ ;

(ii) for every  $i \neq j$ ,  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ .

$\{A_n\}$  is called a partition of  $X$ .

Theorem 1.1. If  $\{A_n\}$  is a partition of  $X$ ,  $g_\lambda$  is a  $g_\lambda$ -measure on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ , for  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log_{1+\lambda} [1 + \lambda g_\lambda(A_n)] = 1 \quad (1.3)$$

Proof. Since  $\{A_n\}$  is a partition of  $X$ , from (1.2), we have

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda g_\lambda(A_n)] = 1 + \lambda \quad (1.4)$$

We take logarithm for two sides of (1.4), it follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log_{1+\lambda} [1 + \lambda g_\lambda(A_n)] = 1$$

(1.3) is called quasi-additivity of  $g_\lambda$ -measure.

Besides, [8] put forward a new proposition: for  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $g_\lambda$ -measure has superadditivity; for  $-1 < \lambda < 0$ ,  $g_\lambda$ -measure has subadditivity; for  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $g_0$  has additivity.

## 2. The properties of $g_\lambda$ -measure on finite set

In this section,  $X$  denotes finite set.

Definition 2.1. Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ , if  $g_i = g_i(\{x_i\}) \in [0, 1]$ ,  $g_i(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . We say that  $g_i$  is fuzzy density on  $X$ .

It is easy to show [7], for  $\lambda \neq 0$ , if fuzzy density  $g_i$  on  $X$  satisfies equation:

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda g_i) - 1 \right] = 1 \tag{2.1}$$

then fuzzy density  $g_i$  can generate a  $g_\lambda$ -measure, that is, for arbitrary  $A \subset X$

$$g_\lambda(A) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ \prod_{x_i \in A} (1 + \lambda g_i) - 1 \right] \tag{2.2}$$

If  $g_\lambda$  is generated by  $g_i$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ ), we say that  $g_i = g_i(\{x_i\})$  is fuzzy distribution of  $g_\lambda$ , and  $g_\lambda$  is a unique  $g_\lambda$ -measure on  $(X, \mathcal{P}(X))$ .

**Definition 2.2.** If  $g_i$  is fuzzy distribution of  $g_\lambda$ , we say that

$$G_n(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \lambda g_i) - \lambda - 1 \tag{2.3}$$

is characteristic function of  $g_\lambda$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $g_i > 0$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ ) is fuzzy distribution of  $g_\lambda$ , then  $G_n(\lambda)$  has not different positive root; and if  $G_n(\lambda)$  has positive root  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i < 1$ .

**Proof.** By (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_\lambda(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \lambda g_i) - 1 \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n g_i + \lambda \sum_{i_1=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^n g_{i_1} g_{i_2} + \\ &\quad \dots + \lambda^{n-1} g_1 g_2 \dots g_n \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g_\lambda(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}) = g_\lambda(X) = 1$   
hence

$$G_n(\lambda) = \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^n g_i - 1 \right) + \lambda^2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^n g_{i_1} g_{i_2} + \dots + \lambda^n g_1 g_2 \dots g_n$$

When  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $G_n(\lambda) = 0$  is equivalent to

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n g_i - 1 \right) + \lambda \sum_{i_1=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^n g_{i_1} g_{i_2} + \dots + \lambda^{n-1} g_1 g_2 \dots g_n = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

If (2.4) has two different positive roots:  $\lambda_1 > 0$ ,  $\lambda_2 > 0$ , and  $\lambda_2 < \lambda_1$ , then taking  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  into (2.4) respectively, furthermore making

subtraction, we get

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \sum_{i_1=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^n g_{i_1} g_{i_2} + \dots + (\lambda_1^{n-1} - \lambda_2^{n-1}) g_1 g_2 \dots g_n = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

Since  $\sum_{i_1=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^n g_{i_1} g_{i_2} > 0, \dots, g_1 g_2 \dots g_n > 0, \lambda_1^k - \lambda_2^k > 0 (k=1, \dots, n-1)$

the left-hand side of the (2.5) does not equal to zero, this is contradiction from assumed  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$ . Hence, (2.4) has not different positive roots, therefore, if  $G_n(\lambda)$  has positive root, it has only one.

Besides, we assume that (2.4) has a positive root  $\lambda > 0$ , owing to

$$\sum_{i_1=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^n g_{i_1} g_{i_2} > 0, \dots, g_1 g_2 \dots g_n > 0$$

we have  $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i < 1$ , which completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2. If  $g_i > 0 (i=1, \dots, n)$  is fuzzy distribution of  $g$ , then  $G_n(\lambda)$  has not different negative root; and if  $G_n(\lambda)$  has negative root  $\lambda < 0$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i > 1$ .

Proof. At first, we prove that  $G_n(\lambda)$  has not different negative root.

Since  $G_n(\lambda) = 0$  is equivalent to

$$1 + \lambda = 1 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n g_i + \lambda^2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^n g_{i_1} g_{i_2} + \dots + \lambda^n g_1 g_2 \dots g_n \quad (2.6)$$

we denote the right-hand side of (2.6) by  $K_n(\lambda)$ , we first use induction on  $n$  to prove  $K'_n(\lambda) > 0, K_n(\lambda) > 0$  when  $\lambda \in (-1, 0)$ .

Obviously, for  $n=2$ ,

$$K_2(\lambda) = 1 + \lambda(g_1 + g_2) + \lambda^2 g_1 g_2$$

$$K'_2(\lambda) = g_1(1 + \lambda g_2) + g_2(1 + \lambda g_1) > 0$$

$$K''_2(\lambda) = 2g_1 g_2 > 0$$

The conclusion is true.

Writing down

$$K_{k+1}(\lambda) = K_k(\lambda) \cdot (1 + \lambda g_{k+1})$$

we have

$$K'_{k+1}(\lambda) = K'_k(\lambda) \cdot (1 + \lambda g_{k+1}) + g_{k+1} \cdot K_k(\lambda) > 0$$

and

$$K''_{k+1}(\lambda) = K''_k(\lambda) \cdot (1 + \lambda g_{k+1}) + 2g_{k+1} K'_k(\lambda) > 0$$

So, if the proposition is valid for  $k$ , it is evidently also valid for  $k+1$ .

Hence,  $K_n(\lambda)$  is monotonically increasing function in the interval  $(-1, 0)$ , So,  $K_n(\lambda)$  must cross  $\lambda + 1$  just once in the interval  $(-1, 0)$ , that is, if  $G_n(\lambda)$  has negative root, then it has only one.

We assume that (2.4) has a negative root  $\lambda < 0$ . Let us define a sequence  $\{X_i\}$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n-1$ , of subsets of  $X$ :

$$X_i = \{x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_n\}$$

Since  $\{x_i\} \cup X_i = X$  and  $\{x_i\} \cap X_i = \emptyset$  then in compliance with the definition of  $g_\lambda$ , we have

$$g_i + g_\lambda(X_i) + \lambda g_i g_\lambda(X_i) = 1$$

As we assume  $\lambda < 0$ , which follows that

$$g_i + g_\lambda(X_i) > 1 \tag{2.7}$$

Further  $\{x_2\} \cup X_2 = X_1$  and  $\{x_2\} \cap X_2 = \emptyset$ , so we have

$$g_\lambda(X_1) = g_2 + g_\lambda(X_2) + \lambda g_2 g_\lambda(X_2)$$

$$\text{As } g_2 + g_\lambda(X_2) > g_\lambda(X_1) \tag{2.8}$$

then surely (2.7), (2.8), leads to

$$g_1 + g_2 + g_\lambda(X_2) > 1$$

Recurring and noting that  $X_{n-1} = X_n$  we will arrive finally to

$$g_1 + g_2 + \dots + g_n > 1$$

The proof of the theorem is complete.

**Theorem 2.3:** Let  $g_i \neq 0 (i=1 \dots n)$  is fuzzy distribution of  $g_\lambda$ , if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i = 1, \text{ then } g_\lambda \text{ is probability measure on } (X, \mathcal{P}(X)).$$

Proof: Let us define a sequence  $\{X_i\}$ ,  $i=1 \dots n-1$  of subsets of the set  $X$ :

$$X_i = \{x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_n\}$$

Since  $\{x_i\} \cup X_i = X$ ,  $\{x_i\} \cap X_i = \emptyset$ , then in compliance with the definition of  $g_\lambda$ . We have

$$g_1 + g_\lambda(X_i) + \lambda g_1 g_\lambda(X_i) = 1 \quad (2.9)$$

Similarly, we have

$$g_\lambda(X_i) = g_2 + g_\lambda(X_2) + \lambda g_2 g_\lambda(X_2) \quad (2.10)$$

We obtain

$$g_1 + g_2 + \lambda g_1 g_2 + (1 + \lambda g_1 + \lambda g_2 + \lambda^2 g_1 g_2) g_\lambda(X_2) = 1$$

from (2.9) and (2.10)

Recurring and noting that  $X_{n-1} = x_n$ , we will have finally to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i + \lambda \sum_{i_1=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^n g_{i_1} g_{i_2} + \dots + \lambda^{n-1} g_1 g_2 \dots g_n = 1$$

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i = 1$ , therefore

$$\lambda \sum_{i_1=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^n g_{i_1} g_{i_2} + \dots + \lambda^{n-1} g_1 g_2 \dots g_n = 0$$

Because  $g_i \neq 0$ , it follows that  $\lambda = 0$ , thus,  $g_\lambda$  is a probability measure on  $(X, \mathcal{P}(X))$ , hence the conclusion of this theorem holds.

Theorem 2.4: Let  $g_i \neq 0 (i=1 \dots n)$  is fuzzy distribution of  $g_\lambda$ ,

- (i) If  $G'_\lambda(0) > 0$ , then  $g_\lambda$  is a plausibility measure.
- (ii) If  $G'_\lambda(0) = 0$  then  $g_\lambda$  is a probability measure.
- (iii) If  $G'_\lambda(0) < 0$  then  $g_\lambda$  is a belief function.

Proof: (i) Since  $G'_n(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i - 1 > 0$ , by (2.4),  $G_n(\lambda)$  only has

negative root, i.e.  $-1 < \lambda < 0$ . Because of [7. Theorem 6.1.5],  $g_\lambda$  is a plausibility measure.

(ii) If  $G'_n(0) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^n g_{\lambda} - 1 = 0$ , then  $\sum_{\lambda=1}^n g_{\lambda} = 1$ , according to Theorem

2.3,  $g_{\lambda}$  is a probability measure.

(iii) If  $G'_n(0) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^n g_{\lambda} - 1 < 0$ , by (i) and (ii), it follows  $\lambda > 0$ , because of [7, Theorem 6.1.3], hence  $g_{\lambda}$  is a belief function.

By Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.4, we have  
Corollary 2.1:  $g_{\lambda}$  is a plausibility measure if and only if there exists a unique  $\lambda \in (-1, 0)$ .

$g_{\lambda}$  is a belief function if and only if there exists a unique  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ .

Theorem 2.5: Characteristic function  $G_n(\lambda)$  has the following properties:

(1)  $G_n(0) = 0$

(ii) If  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ , then we have  $G'_n(0) < 0$ .

(iii) If  $\lambda \in (-1, 0)$ , then we have  $G'_n(0) > 0$ .

Proof: The proof is immediate.

### 3. The Relation Between $g_{\lambda}$ -measure and Probability Measure

In [4], Wierzchon proved that a  $g_{\lambda}$ -measure produces exactly one probability measure on measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ , But he said, that the inverse is not true. In this section, we will prove that a probability measure can generate a  $g_{\lambda}$ -measure.

Theorem 3.1: Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$ , if  $g_{\lambda}$  is a  $g_{\lambda}$ -measure on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then

(i)  $g^{\lambda} = \frac{\log(1+\lambda g_{\lambda})}{\log(1+\lambda)}$  is a probability measure on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ .

(ii) If  $P$  is a probability measure on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then

$$g_{\lambda} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda}(1+\lambda)P$$

is a  $g_{\lambda}$ -measure on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ .

Proof: (i) See [3], [4].

(ii) Since  $P$  is a probability measure and  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then

$$g_\lambda(\emptyset) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda}(1+\lambda)P(\emptyset) = 0.$$

$$g_\lambda(\mathcal{X}) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda}(1+\lambda)P(\mathcal{X}) = 1.$$

we assume arbitrary  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} g_\lambda(A) + g_\lambda(B) + \lambda g_\lambda(A) \cdot g_\lambda(B) &= -\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda}(1+\lambda)P(A) - \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda}(1+\lambda)P(B) \\ &\quad + \lambda \left[ -\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda}(1+\lambda)P(A) \right] \left[ -\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda}(1+\lambda)P(B) \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda}(1+\lambda)P(A) + P(B) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda}(1+\lambda)P(A \cup B) \\ &= g_\lambda(A \cup B) \end{aligned}$$

Because  $P$  is a probability measure and  $f(x) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda}(1+\lambda)x$

is monotonically nondecreasing, obviously  $g_\lambda$  is continuous. therefore,  $g_\lambda$  is a  $g_\lambda$ -measure on  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$ .

In [1], Sugeno attempt to construct a  $g_\lambda$ -measure on the Borel field  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ , he use a distribution function of probability measure and define a set function  $\psi$  on every half open interval  $(a, b] \in \mathcal{B}$

$$\psi((a, b]) = \frac{h(b) - h(a)}{1 + \lambda h(a)} \quad \text{where } -1 < \lambda < \infty$$

and assert that  $\psi$  is a  $g_\lambda$ -measure on  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$ . In [1], it is nothing but to prove that  $g_\lambda$  is a  $g_\lambda$ -measure on semi-ring  $\mathcal{P} = \{(a, b] : -\infty < a \leq b < +\infty\}$ , yet he can not show that

the  $\psi$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  can be uniquely extended to  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$ . Using Theorem 3.1, we can introduce a  $g_\lambda$ -measure on  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$ , by a distribution function on  $X = \mathbb{R}$ .

Theorem 3.2: Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , if  $h(x): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with the following properties

- (i) If  $x \leq y$ , then  $h(x) \leq h(y)$ ;
- (ii)  $h(x)$  is right continuous;

$$(111) \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} h(x) = 0, \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} h(x) = 1.$$

then  $h(x)$  can introduce a  $g_\lambda$ -measure on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ .

Proof: By [9],  $h(x)$  is a distribution function on  $X$ , hence, there exist a random variable  $\xi$  on a probability space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, P)$ . his distribution function just is  $h(x)$ . But, for arbitrary  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ .  $P(\xi \in A)$  can be unique determined by the distribution function of  $\xi$ . So,  $h(x)$  can generate unique probability measure  $P$  on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ . By using Theorem 3.1 and let

$$g_\lambda = -\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda}(1+\lambda)P$$

where  $\lambda \in (-1, \infty)$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ . We get a  $g_\lambda$ -measure on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ . Thus, the conclusion of this theorem holds.

## References

- [1] Sugeno.M. Theory of Fuzzy Integrals and Its Applications, Ph.D. Tthesis, Tokyo. Inst. of Technol. Tokyo. 1974.
- [2] Dubois.D and Prade.H, Fuzzy Sets and Systems: Theory and Application, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [3] R.Kruse, A note on  $\lambda$  -Additive Fuzzy Measure, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 8(1982), 219-222.
- [4] S.T.Wierzchon, An Algorithm for Identification of Fuzzy Measure, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 9(1983), 67-71.
- [5] K.Leszczynski, P.Penczek and W.Grochulski, Sugeno's Fuzzy Measure and Clustering, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 15(1985), 147-158.
- [6] Weng Pei-zhuang; Theory of Fuzzy Sets and Its Applications, Shanghai Science technology Press, 1983.
- [7] Zhang Wenxiu; Foundations of Fuzzy Mathematics, Xian Jiaotong University Press, 1984.
- [8] Wang Zhenyuan, The Structure and Quasi-Probability of  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ -measure, Journal of Hebei University, 1(1983).
- [9] Yan Shijian, Foundations of Probability, Science Press, 1982.