

FUZZY IMBEDDING THEORY AND ITS APPLICATION*

Yingming Liu

Institute of Mathematics, Sichuan University,
Chengdu, China

ABSTRACT

This paper deals with the imbedding problem in the lattices with a topology. To be more precise, it touches upon the imbedding problem in L-fuzzy topological space, where L is a fuzzy lattice. Some fundamental results such as the fuzzy unit interval, Q-neighborhood structure and algebraic properties of union-preserving maps in lattices are collected. A pointwise characterization of fuzzy complete regularity is yielded by means of the Q-neighborhood structures and some algebraic properties of certain class of maps in lattices. The Weil theorem on fuzzy uniformity and the general imbedding theorem in the fuzzy basic cube are established. As applications of the imbedding theorem, a fuzzy version of the well-known Urysohn metrizable theorem and the general theory of the fuzzy Stone-Čech compactification are given.

KEYWORDS

L-Fuzzy topology; Q-neighborhood; union-preserving map; Fuzzy uniformity; Fuzzy imbedding theorem; Fuzzy metric space; Fuzzy Stone-Čech compactification; Lattice with a topology.

INTRODUCTION

According to Ehresmann's insight^[1], a lattice with the right distributivity property deserves to be studied as a generalized topological space in its own right. At his suggestion, some interesting results in this field have been obtained and their application to equivariant topology and homotopy theory have been done. A survey by Johnstone^[2] well described the situation. Just as shown in that survey, the pointless approach to this field is moving toward the so-called "pointed approach". Although the work on fuzzy topology are not mentioned in the survey, the research of fuzzy topology is exactly a field to investigate the topological structure of a rather general type of lattice. Moreover, in the fuzzy topology the transition from pointless one to pointed one has been completed successfully and has been turned it to a combination of both approaches. Some deeper result, such as the imbedding theorem, has been obtained. So on the one hand, the fuzzy topology is a fundamental part of fuzzy mathematics; on the other hand, in the traditional mathematics, it is also a field which seems to be worth our attention. We hope that this paper reflects this development in fuzzy topology via the establishment of the fuzzy imbedding theory.

Let L be a completely distributive lattice with an order reversed involution. A map from an ordinary set X to L is said to be an L-fuzzy set in X. The concept of fuzzy set, taking the ordinary set as a special case, provides a foundation for treating mathematics by the fuzzy phenomena which exist prevasively in our real world and for

*Project supported by the Science Fund of the Chinese Academy of Science.

building new branches of fuzzy mathematics. A topological structure have been introduced in the collection of all the L-fuzzy sets in X since 1968. Fuzzy topology, which is generally considered to be a generalization of ordinary general topology, is an animated area of fuzzy mathematics. In the research of fuzzy topology, besides many simple translations from general topology to this more general setting, some significant advances have been made^[3]. The most spectacular results appeared in the literature may be as follows: (1) the profound investigation on fuzzy uniformity and fuzzy metric dealt with by the so-called pointless approach^[4,5] and (2) introducing the concept of fuzzy points and its neighborhood structures and a lot of "pointed approach" works arising therefrom^[6-13]. Now, we try to combine these two aspects for building the important imbedding theorem. Some interesting applications of the imbedding theorem are also shown. For building the imbedding theorem we must first choose an enough nice space as standard space and look for some rather simple conditions under which a space is homeomorphic to a subspace of the standard space. Thus these simple conditions, which are usually concerned with the separation properties (e.g. completely regularity), imply a lot of nice properties shared by the standard space. This procedure is often applied to research works and usually yields much results. Now in the area of fuzzy topology, a nice enough space, namely fuzzy unit interval $I(L)$, have been given by Hutton^[4,15]. In this paper we shall concisely describe this space $I(L)$. In order to investigate the desired separation properties (fuzzy completely regularity and fuzzy sub- T_0), we need to make some preparations. Through an analysis on fuzzy membership relation in fuzzy set theory we shall introduce a new kind of neighborhood structure, i.e. Q -neighborhood which is different from the traditional neighborhood in topological space^[6,17]. Some algebraic operations and their properties on the class of union-preserving mappings in lattice are also explained. Thus after discussing the completely fuzzy regularity and sub- T_0 spaces, we shall state an n.i.s.c., in which an L-fuzzy topological space can be imbedded in the fuzzy basic cube $C(L)$ (i.e. the product of some fuzzy unit intervals). Along with the establishment of the fuzzy imbedding theorem, we shall apply this theorem to get a fuzzy version of well-known Urysohn metrization theorem and to expound a general theory of the fuzzy Stone-Čech compactification.

1. Preliminaries

In this paper, X and Y denote non-empty (ordinary) sets. I denotes unit interval $[0,1]$. $(L, \leq, \wedge, \vee, ')$ denotes a fuzzy lattice, i.e. a completely distributive lattice with an order-inversed involution. Its greatest element and the smallest element are denoted by 1 and 0 respectively. A map A from X to L is said to be an L-fuzzy set (or, simply, fuzzy set) in X . The collection of all the fuzzy sets in X , denoted by L^X , can be naturally seen as a fuzzy lattice $(L^X, \subseteq, \cap, \cup, ')$. Its lattice operations and involution are pointwise induced by the corresponding operations in the lattice L . The set $\{x \in X : A(x) > 0\}$ is called the support of A and is denoted by $\text{supp } A$. A L-fuzzy set is called a fuzzy point iff it takes the value 0 for all $y \in X$ except one, say $x \in X$. If its value at x is λ , we denote it by x_λ and λ is called its membership grade. A fuzzy topology for X is a subfamily \mathcal{T} of L^X which is closed under arbitrary suprema and finite infima. The pair (X, \mathcal{T}) always denotes a fuzzy topological space with topology \mathcal{T} . In this paper, if there is nothing to confuse, the adjective "fuzzy" is usually omitted in the corresponding fuzzy structures.

1.1. Fuzzy Unit Interval $I(L)$ ^[14,15]

Consider the set \tilde{I} of all monotonic decreasing map $\lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L$ for which $\lambda(t)=1$ for $t < 0$ and $\lambda(t)=0$ for $t > 1$. For $\lambda, \mu \in \tilde{I}$, λ and μ is equivalent iff $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$
 $\lambda(t+) = \mu(t+)$ and $\lambda(t-) = \mu(t-)$ (where $\lambda(t+) = \bigvee_{s>t} \lambda(s)$ and $\lambda(t-) = \bigwedge_{s<t} \lambda(s)$).
 Then the fuzzy unit interval $I(L)$ is the corresponding quotient set of \tilde{I} . The fuzzy topology \mathcal{T} on $I(L)$ is always defined by a subbase $\{L_t, R_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ where $L_t(\lambda) =$

$$\lambda(t^-) \text{ and } \lambda(t^+) = \lambda(t).$$

Under certain lattice conditions the fuzzy topology of $I(L)$ is like the topology of the ordinary unit interval. In fact, we have

Theorem 1. If L is orthocomplementary, i.e. for any $a \in L$, $a \wedge a' = 0$ and $a \vee a' = 1$, then there exists a natural 1-1 correspondence \mathcal{P} between the open sets in the usual topology for $[0,1]$ and the open sets in the fuzzy topology for $I(L)$ which preserves arbitrary union and finite intersections and can be defined as follows: for the open interval $(a,b) \subseteq [0,1]$, $\mathcal{P}((a,b)) = R_a \wedge L_b$.

Though there exists a good correspondence \mathcal{P} between the two families of open sets, the space $I(L)$ have some special and important topological properties which the real unit interval does not possess. See [8,15,23]. For instance, we indicate the following

Theorem 2. If L is orthocomplementary, then for $\alpha \in L$, $\alpha > 0$, $I(L)$ may not be a α^* -compact space.

For the case $\alpha = 1$, the proof of Theorem 2 is given in [15]. This proof with a slight modification is also suitable for the case $0 < \alpha < 1$.

[6,9,10,17]

Fuzzy Membership Relation and Q-neighborhood

The symbol \triangleleft denotes a fuzzy membership relation between the fuzzy points and the fuzzy sets. The notation " $x_\lambda \triangleleft A$ " means that there exists relation \triangleleft between the point x_λ and the set A . The negation of the relation \triangleleft is denoted by \ntriangleleft . For example, take "belonging to" relation \in as \triangleleft where $x_\lambda \triangleleft A$ iff $\lambda \leq A(x)$. For this relation \in , the following Multiple-choice principle is not valid.

Multiple-choice principle. Suppose that $\{A_i\}$ is a family of L -fuzzy sets. If $x_\lambda \triangleleft \bigvee \{A_i\}$ (the union of those A_i), then there exists a A_i such that $x_\lambda \triangleleft A_i$.

In fact, take $L = [0,1]$, $\lambda = 1$, $x \in X$ and $A_n = x_{1-\frac{1}{n}}$ ($n=2,3,\dots$). Obviously $x_\lambda \in \bigvee \{A_n\}$, but $x_\lambda \notin \text{any } A_n$. That is to say, the multiple-choice principle does not hold for the relation \in .

In ordinary set theory, the Multiple-choice principle is an evident and very useful fact for the ordinary "belonging to" relation. Hence the failure of this principle for relation \in in fuzzy set theory may be an important cause leading to the serious limitation of corresponding neighborhood structure — the traditional neighborhood system — in the research of fuzzy topological spaces.

Now we shall establish the following four principles, which seem intuitively to be evident, to determine the reasonable fuzzy membership relation.

I. Extension principle. Restricting the relation \triangleleft to the ordinary set theory, \triangleleft will become the usual belonging relation \in . Precisely, for any fuzzy lattice L , if p and A is an ordinary point and an ordinary subset in X respectively, then $p \triangleleft A$ iff $p \in A$.

Since the fuzzy sets (points) take the ordinary sets (points, resp.) as special case, it is natural to put forward the Extension principle.

For a fuzzy point $x_\lambda = p$ and a fuzzy set A , the relation $p \triangleleft A$ or not must be determined by a relation between $p(x) = \lambda$ and $A(x) = \mu$. Since there is only order relation and involution in L , the relation between λ and μ will be described by a system of formulae about λ and μ expressed in terms of the order relation and involution. (e.g. $\lambda \leq \mu$ and so on). In addition, this system of formulae will be valid not only for some pair of λ and $\mu = A(x)$, but for any fuzzy lattice L and any $\lambda \in L$ ($\lambda \neq 0$) and any $A(x)$ as well. According to the consideration above, we raise the following

II. Value set determination principle.

The fact that $x_\lambda \triangleleft A$ or not is completely determined by a system of formulae about λ and $A(x)$ expressed in terms of the order relation and involution. Moreover, the system of formulae is valid not only for some pair λ and $A(x)$, but for any fuzzy lattice L and any $\lambda \in L$ ($\lambda \neq 0$) and any $A(x)$ as well.

III. Quasi-coincidence minimum principle.

For any fuzzy point p , $p \triangleleft X$ and $p \not\triangleleft \emptyset$, where X and \emptyset denote the greatest L-fuzzy set and the least L-fuzzy set on X respectively.

IV. Quasi-coincidence principle.

III principle was just stated above.

Applying the principle I can be deduced from the principle II and III. But the principle II, III and IV are independent to each other.

A fuzzy point p in fuzzy point x_λ is said to be quasi-coincident (simple, Q-coincident) with A iff $\lambda \in A(x)$.

A fuzzy point p is said to be quasi-coincident relation (simply, Q-relation) is unique fuzzy membership relation satisfying the above four principles.

A fuzzy set A is said to be a quasi-coincident neighborhood (simple, Q-neighborhood) of a fuzzy point x_λ in (X, \mathcal{T}) iff there an open set $U \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $x_\lambda \in U$ and x_λ is quasi-coincident with U .

The quasi-coincident relation and the corresponding Q-neighborhood structure together play an important role in the research of many topics of fuzzy topology, such as compactness, product, product and quotient space, compactness, uniformity and imbedding, metrizable problem and fuzzy function space.

5. Topological Operations on Union-preserving Mappings in Fuzzy Topology and Fuzzy Uniformity

A fuzzy uniformity for a set X is a non-void family of subsets of $X \times X$ which satisfy certain requirements. Obviously each member D of the uniformity may be regarded as a map from $2^X \rightarrow 2^X$ by $D(U) = \{y : x \in U \text{ and } (x, y) \in D\}$. It is apparent that $D(U) \subseteq U$ and $D(U_\alpha) \subseteq D(U_\beta)$ for U and U_α in 2^X . Conversely, given $D: 2^X \rightarrow 2^X$ satisfying $D(U) \subseteq U$ and $D(U_\alpha) \subseteq D(U_\beta)$ for U and U_α in 2^X , we may define $\tilde{D} \subseteq X \times X$ containing the diagonal by $\tilde{D} = \{(x, y) : y \in D(x)\}$. Thus in defining a fuzzy uniformity, we take our basic elements of the fuzzy uniformity to be fuzzy maps $D: 2^X \rightarrow 2^X$ which satisfy: (1) D is increasing, i.e. for $U \subseteq V$, $D(U) \subseteq D(V)$, and (2) D is union-preserving, i.e. for $\lambda_i \in 2^X$,

we may define a fuzzy uniformity by a fuzzy uniformity we need to study some algebraic operations (composition operation and inverse operation) on the class of uniformity maps $D: 2^X \rightarrow 2^X$.

Let L_1 and L_2 be completely distributive lattices. Map $f: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ will be called union-preserving iff $a \geq b$ implies $f(a) \geq f(b)$ for any $a, b \in L_1$. Map $f: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ will be called union-preserving iff $f(\bigvee a_j) = \bigvee f(a_j)$ for any $a_j \in L_1$ ($\bigvee \in L_1$).

A set $B \subseteq L$ is called the minimal set relative to a iff (1) $a \in B$, and (2) for every set $A \subseteq B$ satisfying $\sup A = a$ and for every $b \in B$, there exists $c \in A$ such that $b \leq c$.

It is not hard to infer that for each element $a \in L$, there exists a minimal set B relative to a .

Let L_1 and L_2 be complete lattices, $f: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ an order-preserving map. Define $f^*: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ by

$$f^*(a) = \bigwedge_{\sup B = a} \left[\bigvee_{b \in B} f(b) \right] \quad \forall a \in L_1.$$

Since L_2 satisfies the completely distributive law, then

(1) $f^*(a) = \bigwedge_{B \text{ minimal set relative to } a} \left(\bigvee_{b \in B} f(b) \right)$, where B is minimal set relative to a .

(2) $f^*(a) \leq f(a)$ for every $a \in L_1$, $f^*(a) \leq f(a)$.

(3) $f^*: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ is a union-preserving map.

(4) f^* is the greatest $g: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ which takes values less than or equal to that of f and is union-preserving.

Definition 5. Let L_1 and L_2 be the complete lattices, $f_1, f_2: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ be union-preserving maps. If L_1 satisfies completely distributive law, we define $f_1 \cap f_2, f_1 \wedge f_2: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ as

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1 \cap f_2)(a) &= f_1(a) \wedge f_2(a) \\ (f_1 \wedge f_2)^* &= (f_1 \cap f_2)^* \end{aligned} \quad a \in L_1$$

The map $f_1 \cap f_2: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ is called the intersection of f_1 and f_2 .

Since $f_1 \cap f_2$ is obviously order-preserving, $(f_1 \cap f_2)^*$ is well-defined. Thus by Proposition 1 the intersection $f_1 \wedge f_2$ is still union-preserving.

Theorem 4. Let L_1 and L_2 be completely distributive lattices, $f_1, f_2: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ be union-preserving maps. Then for each $a \in L_1$,

$$(f_1 \wedge f_2)^*(a) = f_1(a) \wedge f_2(a) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{a_1 \vee a_2 = a} [f_1(a_1) \vee f_2(a_2)] \right).$$

Furthermore, the above formula can be simplified as

$$(f_1 \wedge f_2)^*(a) = \bigwedge_{a_1 \vee a_2 = a} [f_1(a_1) \vee f_2(a_2)]$$

iff $f_1(0) = f_2(0)$.

The collection of all the union-preserving maps from L_1 to L_2 will denote $\mathcal{Q}(L_1, L_2)$.

When $L_1 = L_2$, we write simply $\mathcal{Q}(L_1, L_2)$ as $\mathcal{Q}(L)$.

Definition 6. Let $f: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ be union-preserving. Define its inverse $f^{-1}: L_2 \rightarrow L_1$ by

$$f^{-1}(a) = \inf \{ b \in L_1 : f(b) \leq a \} \quad a \in L_2$$

Theorem 5. Let $f: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ and $g: L_2 \rightarrow L_1$ be union-preserving maps. Then $g = f^{-1}$ iff

the following conditions hold:

- (1) When $a \neq 0$, $g(b) \leq a \iff f(a) \leq b$;
- (2) When $a = 0$, $g(b) = a = 1 \iff$ or $f(0) \not\leq b$ either $f(d) \leq b$ holds only for $d = 0$.

Furthermore, if $f(0) = 0$, the above result can be simplified as follows: $g = f^{-1}$ iff the following condition holds: $g(b) \leq a \iff f(a) \leq b$.

Proposition 2. Let L_1, L_2 and L_3 be fuzzy lattice, $f, g: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ and $h: L_2 \rightarrow L_3$ be union-preserving maps. Then

- (1) $f^{-1}: L_2 \rightarrow L_1$ is union-preserving map and $f^{-1}(0) = 0$.
- (2) $(f^{-1})^{-1} = f$ and if $f(0) = 0$, then $(f^{-1})^{-1} = f$.
- (3) If $f \leq g$, then $f^{-1} \leq g^{-1}$. Conversely if $f^{-1} \leq g^{-1}$ and $f(0) = g(0) = 0$, then $f \leq g$.
- (4) $(h \circ f)^{-1} = f^{-1} \circ h^{-1}$ and if $h(0) = 0$, then $(h \circ f)^{-1} = f^{-1} \circ h^{-1}$.
- (5) $(f \wedge g)^{-1} = f^{-1} \wedge g^{-1}$.

Note. The implications of Theorem 5 and Proposition 2 above are more general than the original ones given in [20]. The corresponding results in [20] hold only for the case when the union-preserving maps are normal, i.e. they maps 0 to 0.

Let the map $f: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ and $h: L_2 \rightarrow L_1$ be union-preserving. We can define a corresponding $\Omega: \mathcal{Q}(L_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(L_1)$ as follows: For each $g \in \mathcal{Q}(L_2)$, $\Omega(g)(a) = \text{Hg}(a) \in L_1$. We have

Proposition 3.

- (1) $\Omega(g) \in \mathcal{Q}(L_1)$,
- (2) $\Omega(g_i) \in \mathcal{Q}(L_2)$ ($i=1,2$),

$$\Omega(g_1 \wedge g_2) \leq \Omega(g_1) \wedge \Omega(g_2).$$

(C) $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{Q}(L_1)$ is σ -lattice-homomorphism-preserving and $H: L_2 \rightarrow L_1$ is finite intersection-

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{homomorphism-preserving and } \forall i \in \{1, 2\} \quad \mathcal{Q}(L_2) \ni \mathcal{Q}(L_1) \ni \mathcal{Q}(L_2) \\ & \mathcal{Q}(L_2) \ni \mathcal{Q}(L_1) \ni \mathcal{Q}(L_2) \end{aligned}$$

(D) $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{Q}(L_1)$ and H satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall a \in L_1 \quad \exists b \in L_2 \quad \text{such that } f_1(a) = b \\ & \forall a \in L_1 \quad \exists b \in L_2 \quad \text{such that } f_2(a) = b \\ & \forall a \in L_1 \quad \exists b \in L_2 \quad \text{such that } f_1(a) = b \\ & \forall a \in L_1 \quad \exists b \in L_2 \quad \text{such that } f_2(a) = b \\ & \forall a \in L_1 \quad \exists b \in L_2 \quad \text{such that } f_1(a) = b \\ & \forall a \in L_1 \quad \exists b \in L_2 \quad \text{such that } f_2(a) = b \end{aligned}$$

Now we can define the notion of fuzzy uniformity for X with the aid of these operators. The collection of all increasing and union-preserving maps in L^X is denoted by $\mathcal{H}(X)$.

Definition 4.1. Fuzzy quasi-uniformity on a set X is a subset \mathcal{D} of $\mathcal{H}(X)$ such that

- (1) $\mathcal{D} \neq \emptyset$.
- (2) $\forall D \in \mathcal{D} \quad \exists E \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ implies $E \in \mathcal{D}$.
- (3) $\forall D, E \in \mathcal{D} \quad \exists F \in \mathcal{D}$ implies $D \wedge E \in \mathcal{D}$.
- (4) $\forall D \in \mathcal{D}$ implies there exists $E \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $E \circ E \leq D$.

(5) $\forall D \in \mathcal{D}$ implies $D^{-1} \in \mathcal{D}$.

(6) $\forall D \in \mathcal{D}$ implies D generates a fuzzy topology \mathcal{T}_D as follows:

(7) $\forall D \in \mathcal{D}$ implies there exists $E \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $D(V) \subseteq U$, $U \in \mathcal{T}_D$.

(8) $\forall D \in \mathcal{D}$ implies (X, \mathcal{T}_D) is said to be fuzzy uniformizable iff there is a fuzzy uniformity \mathcal{D} such that $\mathcal{T}_D = \mathcal{T}$.

4.2. Fuzzy Uniformity, Regularity and Fuzzy Sub- \mathcal{T}_0 Spaces [4, 9]

Definition 4.2. A fuzzy uniformizable space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be a completely fuzzy regular space iff for each fuzzy point $e \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and each open fuzzy set U such that $e \in U$ there exists a family $\{W_\alpha\}$ such that $U = \bigcup \{W_\alpha\}$ and for each W_α ,

$$W_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_\alpha^{-1}(U_1) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_\alpha^{-1}(U_0) \subseteq U.$$

Theorem 4.3. A fuzzy uniformizable space is completely fuzzy regular iff it is completely fuzzy regular.

Proof. This is a generalization of the famous Weil theorem. It was first given in [4] but the proof is not correct in the original proof. A sound proof was given in [9]. Now

we shall prove the fuzzy completely regularity with the aid of some deeper results on fuzzy uniformity. At first, about the decomposition $\{W_\alpha\}$ of U in Definition 4.2 we

can say that for each W_α is open fuzzy set, namely we have

Lemma 4.4. (X, \mathcal{T}) is a completely fuzzy regular space iff for each $e \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and each open fuzzy set U such that $e \in U$ there exists a family $\{W_\alpha\}$ such that $U = \bigcup \{W_\alpha\}$ and continuous map $f: X \rightarrow I(I)$ satisfying

$$W_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_\alpha^{-1}(U_1) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_\alpha^{-1}(U_0) \subseteq U.$$

Proof. In (1), each open fuzzy set U is required to decompose into a family of fuzzy sets. These decompositions have some uncertainty which is inconvenient in applications. In particular, to find the imbedding map from a space to the standard space, we must give a correspondence between their points. In doing this we are introducing the concept of fuzzy point. By means of the \mathcal{Q} -neighborhood structure and the algebraic properties of union-preserving maps in lattices we get the following pointwise characterization of fuzzy complete regularity.

Theorem 4.5. (X, \mathcal{T}) is fuzzy completely regular space iff for each fuzzy point e and its \mathcal{Q} -neighborhood B , there exists a \mathcal{Q} -neighborhood A of e and a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow I(I)$ such that $A \subseteq f^{-1}(U_1) \subseteq f^{-1}(U_0) \subseteq B$.

Proof. By the pointwise characterization we can show that the property of fuzzy

space (X, \mathcal{F}) is productive. Precisely we have the following theorem. About the definition of product of fuzzy topological spaces and corresponding some elementary results we refer to [7].

Theorem 4. Suppose that for each $\alpha \in J$, $(X_\alpha, \mathcal{F}_\alpha)$ is a fuzzy completely regular space. Then their product space is also a fuzzy completely regular space.

As in the general topology, to establish the imbedding theorem, besides the completeness property, we have to add other separation requirement such as T_1 separation property. In the fuzzy set theory we introduced a new kind of separation as follows:

Definition 4. (X, \mathcal{F}) is said to be fuzzy sub- T_0 space iff for any pair of distinct fuzzy points x_λ, y_λ , there exists $\lambda \in I$ ($\lambda \neq 0$) such that for the fuzzy points x_λ and y_λ either $x_\lambda \notin \overline{y_\lambda}$ or $y_\lambda \notin \overline{x_\lambda}$.

As pointed out in (1) $I(L)$ is a sub- T_0 space, but it is neither quasi- T_0 nor fuzzy Hausdorff, T_1 (2). Any subspace of a sub- T_0 space is sub- T_0 .

Proposition 4. Let I be an index set. Suppose that for each $\alpha \in J$, $(X_\alpha, \mathcal{F}_\alpha)$ is a fuzzy completely regular space. Then:

- (1) If each $(X_\alpha, \mathcal{F}_\alpha)$ is sub- T_0 , then the product space (X, \mathcal{F}) is sub- T_0 .
- (2) If I is countably ordered, (X, \mathcal{F}) is sub- T_0 iff each $(X_\alpha, \mathcal{F}_\alpha)$ is sub- T_0 .
- (3) Any fuzzy T_0 -regular space is said to be a fuzzy Tychonoff space iff it is a sub- T_0 space. Hence, a subspace of a fuzzy Tychonoff space is still a Tychonoff space. By Theorem 4 and Proposition 5, it follows directly that the product space of some fuzzy completely regular spaces is still a fuzzy Tychonoff space. As $I(L)$ is a fuzzy uniform space, hence both $I(L)$ and the fuzzy basic cube $C(L)$ (the product space of $I(L)$) are fuzzy Tychonoff space.

[9]

Embedding Theorem

In this section we assume — the fuzzy basic cube $C(L)$ has been described and the uniformity and convergence — fuzzy completely regularity and sub- T_0 have been defined. To establish the imbedding theorem as follows:

Definition 5. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of continuous maps, where each member $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is a map from (X, \mathcal{F}) to (Y_f, \mathcal{F}_f) . Suppose that (Y, \mathcal{A}) is the product space of these spaces.

Definition 6. The map $E: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $E(x)_f = f(x)$, $x \in X$, is called the evaluation map.

Definition 7. \mathcal{F} distinguishes points iff for each pair of distinct points x and y there is $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$.

Definition 8. \mathcal{F} distinguishes points and closed sets iff for each closed fuzzy set A and each $e \in X$ satisfying $e \notin A$ there is f in \mathcal{F} such that $f(e) \notin \overline{f(A)}$.

Proposition 6. (The following lemma). Let \mathcal{F} be a family of continuous maps, each member f is a map from (X, \mathcal{F}) to (Y_f, \mathcal{F}_f) . Then:

- (1) The evaluation map E is fuzzy continuous.
- (2) If \mathcal{F} distinguishes points and closed sets, then E is an open map from X into (Y, \mathcal{A}) (endowed with relative fuzzy topology).
- (3) If \mathcal{F} distinguishes points, then the map E is one to one.

The previous theorem reduces the problem of embedding a space topologically in a fuzzy basic cube $C(L)$ to the problem of looking out enough many maps from the space to $I(L)$.

Proposition 7. Suppose that the family \mathcal{F} distinguishes points and closed sets. If (X, \mathcal{F}) is sub- T_0 , then \mathcal{F} distinguishes points.

Proposition 8. Suppose that the map $f: (X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow I(L)$ is fuzzy continuous, $A \in L^X$, $0 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $A \subseteq f^{-1}(R_0) \subseteq U$. If fuzzy point x_λ is Q -coincident with A , then $f(x_\lambda) \in \overline{f(A)}$.

Proposition 9. For (X, \mathcal{F}) be a fuzzy completely regular space. Then the family of all continuous maps from (X, \mathcal{F}) to $I(L)$ distinguishes points and closed sets.

It now follows the following as the chief result.

Theorem 11. (X, \mathcal{F}) is a fuzzy Tychonoff space iff (X, \mathcal{F}) can be imbedded in the fuzzy unit interval $I(L)$.

Fuzzy Metrization Problem [5,13,21]

Definition 11. A fuzzy pseudo-metric (simply, p-metric) on X is a family of maps $D_p: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ($\mathbb{R}^+ = (0, \infty)$) satisfying the following requirements:

- (1) $D_p(x, x) = 1$;
- (2) $D_p(x, y) = D_p(y, x)$ (symmetry-preserving and increasing).
- (3) $D_p(x, z) \leq D_p(x, y) \cdot D_p(y, z) \quad \forall r, s > 0$.
- (4) $D_p(x, y) \leq \lambda \Rightarrow D_p(x, y) \leq D_p(r, s)$.
- (5) $\bigcap_{\lambda \in L} D_p(x, y) = 1$.
- (6) $\bigcap_{\lambda \in L} D_p(x, y) = 1$.

A fuzzy pseudo-metric space (X, D_p) is called fuzzy metric space iff it is sub- T_0 space.

Theorem 12. The family of maps $\{D_p\}$ is also a symmetric base of certain fuzzy uniformity \mathcal{U} which generates the topology \mathcal{T}_D as the corresponding metric topology.

Theorem 13. Proposition 11 is just motivated by the well-known fact in general topology that a topological space is p-metrizable iff its uniformity has a countable base.

Proposition 12. A subspace of a fuzzy p-metric space is p-metrizable.

Theorem 14. Let (X, \mathcal{F}) is a fuzzy topological space. Consider a relation \sim between elements of X defined as $x \sim y$ iff $\forall \lambda \in L - \{0\}, x_\lambda \in \overline{Y}_\lambda$ and $y_\lambda \in \overline{X}_\lambda$.

It is easy to verify that \sim is an equivalence relation, hence there is a decomposition of X into a disjoint family of fuzzy quotient space $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$. It is easy to verify that $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ is a sub- T_0 space. We call $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ the associated sub- T_0 space of (X, \mathcal{F}) .

Theorem 15. Suppose that (X, \mathcal{F}) is a fuzzy topological space with countable topology. Then (X, \mathcal{F}) is fuzzy metrizable iff it is fuzzy completely regular space.

Theorem 16. (1) If (X, \mathcal{F}) is fuzzy metrizable, then (X, \mathcal{F}) is fuzzy completely regular space. (2) If (X, \mathcal{F}) is fuzzy completely regular space, then (X, \mathcal{F}) is fuzzy metrizable.

Fuzzy Stone-Čech Compactification (22)

Compactness has been thoroughly investigated in the general topology. But it seems that the Stone-Čech compactification in the area of fuzzy topology is not so effective as in general topology. Many kinds of fuzzy compactness have appeared in the literature. In this paper, the N-compactness introduced in [11] by Wang seems to be one of the most reasonable kind of compactness. The N-compactness is defined via fuzzy nets [6]. Notice that in the next paragraph we take $L=I$.

Definition 12. Let $L=I$. (1) Suppose that $S = \{S(n), n \in D\}$ is a fuzzy nets in (X, \mathcal{F}) , where D is a directed set and $S(n)$ is fuzzy point with the membership grade $\lambda_n \in S$. Define α as a fuzzy net $V(S) = \{\lambda_n, n \in D\}$ in the half open interval $(0, 1]$. If $V(S)$ converges to a real number $\alpha \in I - \{0\}$ with respect to usual topology of $(0, 1]$, then we say that S is an α -net. (2) Fuzzy set A is called N-compact iff each α -net contains at least a fuzzy cluster point with membership grade α in A . (3) When $A=X$ is N-compact, we call (X, \mathcal{F}) N-compact fuzzy topological space.

Theorem 17. The product space of some N-compact spaces is N-compact.

Theorem 18. When $L=I$, the fuzzy unit interval $I(L)$ and fuzzy basic cube $C(L)$ are N-compact.

Many discussions on the fuzzy Stone-Čech compactification appeared in the literature are restricted to a special kind of fuzzy topological space, called topologically generated space. Now, based on the imbedding theorem and some results about N-compactification

Definition 1.1. A fuzzy topological space (Y, \mathcal{U}) is said to be a compactification of (X, \mathcal{T}) if there exists a homeomorphism f from (X, \mathcal{T}) into (Y, \mathcal{U}) and there exists a closed fuzzy set B in (Y, \mathcal{U}) such that $f(X)=B$ and $\text{supp } B=Y$.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that (X, \mathcal{T}) is a fuzzy Tychonoff space. Then there exists a compactification $\beta(X)$ of (X, \mathcal{T}) such that each fuzzy continuous map from (X, \mathcal{T}) into a fuzzy L -sub $C(L)$ can be extended to $\beta(X)$.

References

- [1] Chang, R. C., Stattungen von lokalen Strukturen, Jber. Deutsch. Math. Verein., 69(1957), 19-21.
- [2] Chang, R. C., The point of pointless topology, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 8(1972), 1-6.
- [3] Chang, R. C. and Liu, Ying-ming, A survey of some aspects on the research work of fuzzy topology in China, (Ed. Wang P.P.), Advances in Fuzzy Set Theory and Applications, Pionam, 1983, pp. 31-36.
- [4] Chang, R. C., Uniformities on fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 69(1979), 164-171.
- [5] Chang, R. C., Metric spaces in fuzzy set theory, *ibid.*, 69(1979), 205-230.
- [6] Chang, R. C. and Liu, Ying-ming, Fuzzy topology I. Neighborhood structure of a fuzzy topological space, *ibid.*, 76(1980), 571-599.
- [7] Chang, R. C., Fuzzy topology II. Product and quotient spaces, *ibid.*, 77(1980), 103-114.
- [8] Chang, R. C., Compactness and Tychonoff theorem in fuzzy topological spaces. *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, 24(1981), 260-268. (in Chinese).
- [9] Chang, R. C., A comparative characterization of fuzzy completely regularity and fuzzy T_3 spaces in fuzzy topological spaces, *Scientia Sinica*, 26(1983), 103-114.
- [10] Chang, R. C., Generalized molecular lattices (I), *Sanshi Sida Xuebao*, 1(1977), 1-10.
- [11] Chang, R. C., Fuzzy compactness defined by fuzzy Nets, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 84(1981), 249-255.
- [12] Chang, R. C., On the T_3 problem, *Kexue Tongbao*, 28(1983), 836-838. (in Chinese).
- [13] Chang, R. C., Some problems on fuzzy metric spaces, *ibid.*, 28(1983), 644-646.
- [14] Chang, R. C., Regularity in fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 80(1981), 103-114.
- [15] Chang, R. C., A note on compactness in fuzzy unit interval, *Kexue Tongbao*, 28(1983), 33-35. (in Chinese).
- [16] Chang, R. C., Neighborhood structures in fuzzy topological spaces, *Kexue Tongbao*, 28(1983), 1242-1244.
- [17] Chang, R. C., An analysis on fuzzy membership relation in fuzzy set theory, *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, 11(1983), 24-27.
- [18] Chang, R. C., Intersection operation on union-preserving mappings in complete fuzzy molecular lattices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 84(1981), 249-255.
- [19] Chang, R. C., A note of Intersection operation on union-preserving mappings, *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, 3(1983), 2: 43-46. (in Chinese).
- [20] Chang, R. C., Inverse operation on union-preserving mappings in lattices and applications to fuzzy uniform spaces, *Proc. 12th Int. Symp. Multiple-Valued Logic*, 1982, 280-288.
- [21] Chang, R. C., Fuzzy metrization — an application of fuzzy imbedding theory, *Kexue Tongbao*, 29(1980), 125.
- [22] Chang, R. C., On the fuzzy Stone-Čech compactification, *Acta Math. Sinica* 26(1983), 288-291.
- [23] Chang, R. C., Separation axioms and the fuzzy real lines, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 11(1983), 163-183.
- [24] Chang, R. C., Compactness in fuzzy topological spaces, *Kexue Tongbao*, 29(1984), 125-127.