

SOLUTION TO A PROBLEM OF KIM AND ROUSH*

Peng Xian-tu

Dept. of Math., Jishou University,

Hunan, CHINA.

Abstract

We solve the second open problem of K.H.KIM and F.W.ROUSH [1] in this paper.

Key words: Fuzzy matrices, Permutation matrices.

The second open problem K.H.KIM and F.W.ROUSH put forward in their artical [1] is stated as follows:

For an idempotent fuzzy matrix E does there exist a permutation matrix P such that $F=PEP^T$ satisfies $f_{ij} \geq f_{ji}$ for $i > j$?

We shall prove the answer is 'yes'. In fact, we shall prove this fact is true for any transitive fuzzy matrix over a lattice.

Let $\{L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1\}$ be a completely distributive lattice such that $a \wedge b$ equals a or b (i.e. totally ordered). A matrix over L is called \wedge -L-fuzzy matrix, or fuzzy matrix for short. Let $L^{n \times m}$ denote the set of all $n \times m$ L-fuzzy matrices.

An $n \times n$ fuzzy matrix $\overset{b}{\vee}$ is called $\overset{a}{\vee}$ transitive fuzzy matrix if $D^2 \leq D$.

* This work was done, when the author was studying at Beijing Normal University during the first semester 1983, under the direction of Professor Wang Pei-zhuang.

By a short computation, we have the following result.

LEMMA: If
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_1 \\ a_2 & A_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A'_1 & a'_1 \\ a'_2 & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \in L^{n \times n}$$

is a transitive fuzzy matrix, then A_1, A'_1 and PA_1P^T are transitive fuzzy matrices for any permutation matrix P , where $a_1^T, a_2, a_1', a_2^T \in L^{n \times 1}$, $A_1, A'_1 \in L^{(n-1) \times (n-1)}$.

The main result is

THEOREM: For a transitive fuzzy matrix D there exists a permutation matrix P such that $F = PDP^T$ satisfies $f_{ij} \geq f_{ji}$ for $i > j$.

Proof: Using the inductive method on the order n of D .

$n = 2$, let
$$D = \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $d_{21} \geq d_{12}$, let
$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $d_{21} < d_{12}$, let
$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is straightforward to verify that the permutation matrix P is desired.

For the case $\sqrt[n]{n} \geq 3$, put
$$D = \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & d_1 \\ d_2 & D_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the LEMMA and inductive hypothesis, there exists a permutation matrix P_1 such that $P_1 D_1 P_1^T = (\bar{e}_{ij})$ satisfies $\bar{e}_{ij} \geq \bar{e}_{ji}$ for $i > j$.

Suppose
$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_1 \end{pmatrix} D \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_1^T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & d_1 P_1^T \\ P_1 d_2 & P_1 D_1 P_1^T \end{pmatrix} = (e_{ij}).$$

Then $e_{ij} \geq e_{ji}$ for $1 < j < i \leq n$.

(1) If $e_{1n} \leq e_{n1}$, then
$$E = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & e_1 \\ e_2 & e_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$
 satisfies $e_2 \geq e_1^T$. By inductive hypothesis, we have a

permutation matrix P_2 such that

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} P_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} E \begin{pmatrix} P_2^T & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P_2 E P_2^T & P_2 e_1 \\ e_2 P_2^T & e_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = (f_{ij})$$

satisfies $f_{ij} \geq f_{ji}$ for $i > j$, since $e_2 P_2^T \geq (P_2 e_1)^T$. That is to

say, $P = \begin{pmatrix} P_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_1 \end{pmatrix}$

is the desired permutation matrix for D .

(2) If $e_{in} > e_{n1}$, then $e_{ik} \geq e_{k1}$ must hold for $1 < k < n$.

If not, i.e., $e_{ik} < e_{k1}$ for some $1 < k < n$. By assumption and

the LEMMA, we have $e_{nk} \geq e_{kn}$ and $E^2 \leq E$. So that

$$e_{ij} \geq \bigvee_{m=1,2,\dots,n} (e_{im} \wedge e_{mj}) \geq e_{im} \wedge e_{mj}.$$

Suppose $e_{k1} \geq e_{in}$. Then

$$e_{nk} \geq e_{kn} \geq e_{k1} \wedge e_{in} = e_{in}$$

and

$$e_{k1} \geq e_{1k} \geq e_{in} \wedge e_{nk} = e_{in}.$$

Hence, we have two contradictory equalities:

$$e_{in} > e_{k1} \geq e_{nk} \wedge e_{k1} = e_{nk} \geq e_{kn}$$

$$e_{kn} \geq e_{k1} \wedge e_{in} = e_{in}.$$

Suppose $e_{k1} < e_{in}$. Then

$$e_{nk} \geq e_{kn} \geq e_{k1} \wedge e_{in} = e_{k1} > e_{1k},$$

we have a contradiction also

$$e_{1k} \geq e_{in} \wedge e_{nk} = e_{in} > e_{k1}.$$

Therefore $e_{k1} \leq e_{1k}$ holds for all $k = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$.

Let

$$P_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $P_n E P_n^T = \begin{pmatrix} E'_2 & e'_1 \\ e'_2 & e_{11} \end{pmatrix}$

satisfies $e'_2{}^T \geq e'_1$. By inductive hypothesis, there exists a permutation matrix P_2 such that

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} P_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E'_2 & e'_1 \\ e'_2 & e_{11} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_2^T & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P_2 E'_2 P_2^T & P_2 e'_1 \\ e'_2 P_2^T & e_{11} \end{pmatrix} = (f_{ij})$$

satisfies $f_{ij} \geq f_{ji}$ for $i > j$, since $e'_2{}^T P_2^T \geq (P_2 e'_1)^T$. Thus

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} P_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} P_n \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is desired.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Professor Wang Pei-zhuang and Mr. Peng Ming-hai for their directions and help.

Reference

- [1] K.H.KIM and F.W.ROUSH, Generalized Fuzzy Matrices, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 4(1980)293--315.