

## System of equations in a linear lattice

Józef Drewniak

Department of Mathematics, Silesian University, Katowice, Poland

Set of all solutions of max-min system of equations is described.  
Presented algorithm is useful in determination of all solutions.

1. Introduction. Consideration of fuzzy relation equation (cf. [4]) can be restricted to the case similar to linear algebraic equation  $Ax = b$ , where addition and multiplication are changed for the lattice operations max and min.

Sanchez [4] gives a characterization of solvability of fuzzy relation equations in infinitely distributive lattice and presents formulas for the extremal solutions. Family of all solutions is described in a very simpler case of the lattice  $L = [0,1]$  and fuzzy relations on a finite set (cf. [2], [3]). Considerations from papers [2] and [3] can be generalized to the case of arbitrary bounded linear lattice. We rewrite some results from these papers and modify algorithm from paper [2].

2. Extremal solutions. Let  $L$  be a bounded linear lattice with bounds denoted by 0 and 1, and let  $m, n$  be fixed positive integers. We ask for solution  $x \in L^m$  of the system of equations  $x \circ A = b$ , i.e.

$$\max_{i \in I} \min(x_i, a_{ij}) = b_j \quad \text{for } j \in J, \quad (1)$$

where

$$A \in L^{m \times n}, \quad b \in L^n, \quad I = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, \quad J = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

The set of all such solutions we denote by  $X(A, b)$ . After Sanchez [4] we have

Theorem 1.  $X(A, b) \neq \emptyset$  iff  $u \circ A = b$ , where

$$u_i = \min_{j \in J} (a_{ij} \rightarrow b_j) \quad \text{for } i \in I, \quad (2)$$

$$a \rightarrow b = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a \leq b \\ b, & \text{if } a > b \end{cases} \quad \text{for } a, b \in L. \quad (3)$$

Furthermore solution (2) is the greatest one in  $X(A, b) \neq \emptyset$ .

Here  $X(A,b)$  is considered as poset (partially ordered set) with order relation " $\leq$ " restricted to  $X(A,b)$  from the lattice  $L^m$ , i.e.

$$(v \leq z) \Leftrightarrow (v_i \leq z_i \text{ for } i \in I) \text{ for } v, z \in L^m. \quad (4)$$

In general,  $X(A,b)$  does not form a sublattice in  $L^m$  and has not the least element (cf. [2]). So we must consider lower bounds of  $X(A,b)$  in the form of minimal elements of this set.

Definition 1 (cf. [1], p.4). Let  $X$  denote a subset of arbitrary poset.

A minimal element of  $X$  is an element  $z \in X$  such that

$$(x \leq z) \Rightarrow (x = z) \text{ for } x \in X. \quad (5)$$

Similarly,  $z \in X$  is a maximal element of  $X$  if

$$(z \leq x) \Rightarrow (x = z) \text{ for } x \in X. \quad (6)$$

Set of all minimal elements in  $X(A,b)$  we denote by  $X_0 = X_0(A,b)$ .

Example 1. Let  $m = 3, n = 4, L = [0, 7]$ ,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 4 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 7 & 6 \\ 2 & 0 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = [3, 3, 4, 4].$$

Formulas (2) and (3) gives  $u = [7, 4, 7]$  and we get  $u \circ A = b$ . Hence  $X(A,b) \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_0 = \{y, z\}$ ,  $y = [0, 4, 0]$ ,  $z = [0, 3, 4]$ .

3. Characterization of  $X(A,b)$ . We assume that  $X(A,b) \neq \emptyset$  for given  $A$  and  $b$ . Because of isotonicity of the lattice operations in (1) (cf. [1], p.9) we have (cf. [2])

Lemma 1.  $X(A,b)$  is a convex subset of  $L^m$ , i.e. for any  $y, z \in X(A,b)$ , the lattice interval

$$[y, z] = \{x \in L^m \mid y \leq x \leq z\} \quad (7)$$

is contained in  $X(A,b)$ .

In example 1 we have  $[y, u] \cup [z, u] \subset X(A,b)$ . We prove that any solution of (1) is contained in a certain lattice interval determined by  $z \in X_0$  and solution (2) (cf. theorem 2).

Now let us consider  $k \in I^n$ ,  $k = [k_1, \dots, k_n]$  and put

$$I_k = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\} \subset I, \quad J_k(i) = \{j \in J \mid i = k_j\} \text{ for } i \in I, \quad (8)$$

$$k(b) \in L^m, \quad k(b)_i = \begin{cases} \max_{j \in J_k(i)} b_j, & \text{if } i \in I_k \\ 0, & \text{if } i \notin I_k \end{cases} \quad \text{for } i \in I, \quad (9)$$

where formula (9) is a special case of mapping used for function image of fuzzy set (cf. Zadeh [5]), if we consider  $k \in I^n$  and  $b \in L^n$  as functions  $k: J \rightarrow I, b: J \rightarrow L$  (observe that  $J_k(i) = k^{-1}(\{i\})$  for  $i \in I$ ).

Since lattice  $L$  is linear, then for any  $j \in J$ , all elements of the set  $\{\min(x_i, a_{ij})\}_{i \in I}$  are comparable and this finite set has the greatest element for certain index  $i = k_j$ . Thus we have

$$\text{Lemma 2 (cf. [2]). For any } x \in X(A, b) \text{ there exists } k \in I^n, \text{ such that} \\ \min(x_{k_j}, a_{k_j, j}) = b_j \quad \text{for } j \in J. \quad (10)$$

Set of all  $k$  from lemma 2 is denoted by  $K(x) \subset I^n$  for  $x \in X(A, b)$ , i.e.

$$K(x) = \{k \in I^n \mid k \text{ fulfills (10)}\}. \quad (11)$$

Definition 2 (cf. [2], [3]). By a projection of solution  $x \in X(A, b)$  with respect to  $k \in K(x)$  we name  $x^k = k(b) \in L_0^n$ , i.e. (cf. (9))

$$x_i^k = \begin{cases} \max_{j \in J_k(i)} b_j, & \text{if } i \in I_k \\ 0, & \text{if } i \notin I_k \end{cases} \quad \text{for } i \in I, \quad (12)$$

where

$$L_0 = \{0, b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subset L. \quad (13)$$

Set of all projections of all solutions we denote by  $X_1 = X_1(A, b)$ .

Example 2. Let us consider solutions  $u, y, z$  from example 1. We have  $K(u) = \{k^1, \dots, k^{12}\}$ ,  $K(y) = \{k^9\}$ ,  $K(z) = \{k^{12}\}$ , where

$$k^1 = [2, 1, 1, 2], \quad k^2 = [2, 1, 1, 3], \quad k^3 = [2, 1, 2, 2], \quad k^4 = [2, 1, 2, 3], \\ k^5 = [2, 1, 3, 2], \quad k^6 = [2, 1, 3, 3], \quad k^7 = [2, 2, 1, 2], \quad k^8 = [2, 2, 1, 3], \\ k^9 = [2, 2, 2, 2], \quad k^{10} = [2, 2, 2, 3], \quad k^{11} = [2, 2, 3, 2], \quad k^{12} = [2, 2, 3, 3].$$

Then projections  $x^i = x^{k^i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 12$  have the form

$$x^1 = x^7 = [4, 4, 0], \quad x^2 = x^8 = [4, 3, 4], \quad x^3 = [3, 4, 0], \quad x^4 = x^5 = [3, 4, 4], \\ x^6 = [3, 3, 4], \quad x^9 = y = [0, 4, 0], \quad x^{10} = x^{11} = [0, 4, 4], \quad x^{12} = z = [0, 3, 4].$$

Let observe that minimal solutions  $y$  and  $z$  are special cases of projections, and all projections are solutions of (1) (cf. lemma 1).

Now we reprove (cf. [2])

Lemma 3. Any projection of solution is also a solution and we have

$$x^k \leq x, \quad k \in K(x^k) \quad \text{for } x \in X(A, b), \quad k \in K(x). \quad (14)$$

Proof. Let  $x \in X(A, b)$ ,  $k \in K(x)$ ,  $i \in I$ . If  $i \notin I_k$  then by (12)  $x_i^k = 0 \leq x_i$ .

If  $i \in I_k$ , then  $i = k_j$  for  $j \in J_k(i)$  and by (10), (12) we get

$$x_i^k = \max_{j \in J_k(i)} b_j = \max_{j \in J_k(k_j)} \min(x_i, a_{ij}) \leq x_i.$$

Thus  $x^k \leq x$  and by isotonicity of max and min in (1) we get

$$x^k \circ A \leq x \circ A = b. \quad (15)$$

Now let  $s \in J$ . Using (1) and (10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (x^k \circ A)_s &= \max_{i \in I} \min(x_i^k, a_{is}) \geq \min(x_{k_s}^k, a_{k_s, s}) = \\ &= \min(\max_{j \in J_k(k_s)} b_j, a_{k_s, s}) \geq \min(b_s, a_{k_s, s}) = b_s, \end{aligned}$$

because  $b_s \leq a_{k_s, s}$  by (10). Therefore  $x^k \circ A \geq b$ , which together with (15) proves that  $x^k \in X(A, b)$ . Now above inequality changes for equality and we get

$$\min(x_{k_s}^k, a_{k_s, s}) = b_s \quad \text{for } s \in J,$$

which denote that (cf. (11))  $k \in K(x^k)$ . It finishes the proof of lemma 3.

Now we list some useful corollaries.

Corollary 1. As a direct ~~affirmative~~ consequence of lemma 3 and definition 2

we get

$$(x^k)^k = x^k \quad \text{for } x \in X(A, b), \quad k \in K(x), \quad (16)$$

$$X_1 = \{x \in X(A, b) \mid x^k = x \text{ for certain } k \in K(x)\}, \quad (17)$$

$$X_1 \subset X(A, b) \cap L_0^n. \quad (18)$$

Corollary 2.  $X_0 \subset X_1$  and

$$x^k = x \quad \text{for } x \in X_0, \quad k \in K(x). \quad (19)$$

Proof. If  $x$  is a minimal element of  $X(A, b)$  then by definition 1 and lemma 3 (cf. (5) and (14)) we get  $x^k = x$  for any  $k \in K(x)$ , i.e.  $x \in X_1$  and we get (19).

Corollary 3 (cf. [3]).  $X_0$  is the set of all minimal elements in  $X_1$ .

Proof. By above corollaries all elements of  $X_0$  are minimal in  $X_1$ . Let suppose that  $z \in X_1$  is a minimal element of  $X_1$ , and  $z \notin X_0$ , i.e. there exists  $x \in X(A, b)$  such that  $x < z$ . Then by lemma 3

$$x^k \leq x < z \quad \text{and} \quad x^k \in X_1 \quad \text{for } k \in K(x),$$

which is contradictory with definition 1. Therefore the only minimal elements of  $X_1$  are elements of  $X_0$ .

Corollary 4. Sets  $X_0$  and  $X_1$  are finite.

Lemma 4. For any  $x \in X(A, b)$  there exists  $z \in X_0$  such that  $z \leq x$ .

Proof. In finite poset any element is bounded below by minimal one (cf. [1], p. 4-5). Since any solution  $x \in X(A, b)$  is bounded below by its projections from finite set  $X_1$  (cf. lemma 3 and corollary 4), then any solution  $x$  is bounded below by certain minimal element  $z \in X_1$ , which is an element of  $X_0$  (cf. corollary 3).

As immediate consequence of lemmas 1 and 4 we write (cf. [2], [3])

Theorem 2. Let  $u$  denote solution (2), then

$$X(A, b) = \{x \in L^n \mid z \leq x \leq u \text{ for certain } z \in X_0\} = \bigcup_{z \in X_0} [z, u].$$

Above characterization reduces problem of determination of infinite family of all solutions to the determination of finite set  $X_0$ .

4. Characterization of  $X_0$ . Our assumption  $X(A, b) \neq \emptyset$  implies that  $X_0 \neq \emptyset$  (cf. lemma 4). We reprove (cf. [3])

Lemma 5. Let  $x, z \in X(A, b)$ , then

$$(x \leq z) \Rightarrow (K(x) \subset K(z)), \quad (20)$$

$$(x \leq z) \Rightarrow (x^k = z^k \text{ for } k \in K(x)). \quad (21)$$

Proof. If  $x \leq z$  and  $k \in K(x)$  then from (10) we get

$$b_j = \min(x_{k_j}, a_{k_j, j}) \leq \min(z_{k_j}, a_{k_j, j}) \leq \max_{i \in I} \min(z_i, a_{ij}) = b_j$$

and  $k \in K(z)$  by definition 2, which proves (20). Now any  $k \in K(x)$  gives projection (cf. definition 2)

$$x^k = z^k = k(b),$$

which proves (21).

Because of corollary 2 (cf. (19) and (21), cf. also [3]) we have

Corollary 5. For any  $z \in X_0$  there exists  $k \in K(u)$  such that  $u^k = z$ , where  $u$  denotes solution (2).

Now we give a characterization of  $X_0$  different from that of corollary 3 (cf. [3])

Theorem 3. Set  $X_0$  can be described as

$$X_0 = \{x \in X(A, b) \mid x = x^k \text{ for any } k \in K(x)\} . \quad (22)$$

Proof. After corollary 2 any  $x \in X_0$  fulfils (19). Let suppose that an element  $x \in X(A, b)$  fulfils (19) and  $x \notin X_0$ , i.e. there exists  $z \in X(A, b)$ , such that  $z < x$ . Then by lemma 3 there exists  $k \in K(x)$  such that

$$x^k = z^k \leq z < x ,$$

which is contradictory to (19). So we have (22).

5. Determination of  $X_0$ . Observe that numeration of rows in system (1) can be permuted such that

$$b_n \leq \dots \leq b_2 \leq b_1 . \quad (23)$$

Under this assumption, for any  $z \in X(A, b)$  we form a binary matrix  $B = B(z)$ ,

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \min(z_i, a_{ij}) = b_j \\ 0, & \text{if } \min(z_i, a_{ij}) < b_j \end{cases} \quad \text{for } i \in I, j \in J . \quad (24)$$

Rows of this matrix will be denoted by

$$b^i = [b_{i1}, \dots, b_{in}] \quad \text{for } i \in I .$$

Corollary 6. After (10) we get the equivalence

$$(b_{ij} = 1) \Leftrightarrow \exists_{k \in K(z)} (i = k_j) \quad \text{for } i \in I, j \in J \quad (25)$$

We put the following modification of the algorithm from [2]:

Algorithm. Step 0.  $s := 1$ ,  $K := \emptyset$ ,  $a_j := 0$  for  $j \in J$ ,  $a := [a_1, \dots, a_n]$ .

Step 1. Choose an index  $k_s \in I$  such that

$$b_{k_s, s} = 1 . \quad (26)$$

Step 2.  $a := \max(a, b^{k_s})$ ,

$$x_{k_s} := b_s, \quad K := K \cup \{k_s\} , \quad (27)$$

$$k_j := k_s \quad \text{if } b_{k_s, j} = 1 \quad \text{for } j \in J, j > s . \quad (28)$$

Step 3. If  $P := \{j \in J \mid a_j = 0\} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $s := \max P$  and go back to Step 1.  
 Step 4.  $x_i := 0$  for  $i \in I \setminus K$  (end). (29)

By  $X(z)$  we denote set of all  $x \in L^m$  obtained from this algorithm. together with  $x \in L^m$  also  $k \in I^n$  is determined. We can omit (28) if we are interested in determination of  $x$  only. Similarly in determination of  $k$ , both (27) and (29) can be omitted.

Lemma 6. Let  $z \in X(A, b)$ . If  $x \in L^m$  and  $k \in I^n$  are determined by the algorithm, then

$$k \in K(z), x = z^k = x^k. \quad (30)$$

Proof. By (26) and (28)  $b_{k_s, s} = 1$  for  $s \in J$ , and corollary 6 implies that  $k \in K(z)$  (definition 2).

Under assumption (23) projection (12) reduces to the form  $z_i^k = b_s$  with  $s = \min J_k(i)$  for  $i \in I_k$ , and  $z_i^k = 0$  for  $i \notin I_k$ , thus after (27)-(29)  $x_i = z_i^k$  for  $i \in I$ , i.e.  $x = z^k$ . Now by lemma 3  $k \in K(z^k) = K(x)$  and from lemma 3 we get the right side of (30).

Corollary 7.  $X(z) \subset X_1$  for any  $z \in X(A, b)$ .

Lemma 7.  $X(z)$  contains all minimal solutions not greater than  $z_x$  for  $z \in X(A, b)$

Proof. Let  $z \in X(A, b)$ ,  $v \in X_0$  and  $v \leq z$ . After corollary 3 and lemma 5 there exists  $h \in K(v)$  such that  $v = v^h = z^h$ . We show that  $x = v$  can be obtained in the algorithm for  $B = B(z)$ .

Since  $v = v^h$ , then for any  $i \in I_h$  there exists  $j \in J$  such that  $i = h_j$ , i.e. (cf. lemma 1 and (24))  $b_{ij} = 1$ . Putting  $s = \min J_h(i)$  we get

$$v_i = v_i^k = \max_{j \in J_h(i)} b_j = b_s, \quad b_{is} = 1 \quad (31)$$

according to (23). So  $i = h_1$  can be chosen in the Step 1 of the algorithm for  $s = 1$  and we get

$$k_1 = h_1, \quad x_i = b_1 = v_i, \quad K = \{h_1\}, \quad a = b^{h_1}.$$

Successive repetitions in algorithm brings

$$k_s = h_s, \quad x_{k_s} = v_{k_s} \quad \text{for } s \in J$$

according to (27), (28) and (31). Thus algorithm gives  $x_i = v_i$  for  $i \in K$ .

If  $i \notin K$ , then after (29)  $x_i = 0 \leq v_i$  and therefore  $x \leq v$ , but  $v$  is a minimal solution, whence  $x = v$ . This proves that  $v \in X(z)$ .

As a direct consequence for  $z = u$  we get

Theorem 4. Let  $u$  denote solution (2), then  $X_0 \subset X(u)$ .

We also get new characterizations of minimal elements

Theorem 5.  $X_0 = \{v \in X(A, b) \mid K(v) = \{v\}\}$ .

Proof. After theorem 3, for any  $v \in X_0$ ,  $K(v)$  contains only  $v$ . Now by lemma 7 for any  $z \in X(A, b)$ ,  $K(z)$  contains at least one minimal element, which finishes the proof.

Theorem 6.  $X_0$  is the set of all minimal elements in  $X(u)$ .

Proof. Elements of  $X_0$  are minimal in  $X(u)$  because of theorem 4 and corollary 7. Now by lemmas 4 and 7 another minimal element does not exist in  $X(u)$ .

Example 3. In our example 1 we make suitable permutation. Now

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 7 & 6 & 3 & 3 \\ 4 & 4 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = [4, 4, 3, 3],$$

and condition (23) is valid. For the solutions  $u$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  from example 1 we get

$$B(u) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B(y) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Application of algorithm gives  $X(u) = \{x^1, x^2, y, z\}$ ,  $X(y) = \{y\}$ ,  $X(z) = \{z\}$ , with notation from example 2. Similarly we get  $X(x^1) = \{x^1, y\}$ ,  $X(x^2) = \{x^2, z\}$ . We see, that minimal elements  $y$  and  $z$  can be distinguish in  $X(u)$  by theorem 5.

Now let us observe that permutation of columns of matrix  $A$  is not unique because  $b_1 = b_2$  and  $b_3 = b_4$ . Using matrix  $B(u)$  we can modify first permutation putting the following additional condition

$$(b_j = b_{j+1}) \Rightarrow \left( \sum_{i=1}^m b_{ij} \leq \sum_{i=1}^m b_{i,j+1} \right) \text{ for } j \in J. \quad (32)$$



Above used permutation not fulfils condition (32) which leads to the following modification

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 1 & 3 \\ 6 & 7 & 3 & 3 \\ 4 & 4 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = [4, 4, 3, 3], \quad B(u) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

New algorithm gives exactly two solutions:  $y$  and  $z$ .

6. Conclusion. Presented results allow us to determine all solutions of considered system of max-min equations (1). First, using theorem 1 we verify if  $X(A, b) \neq \emptyset$ . Next, using solution (2), algorithm produces  $X(u)$ . Minimal solutions can be distinguish in  $X(u)$  by application of theorem 6 (compare any two elements and omit greater element if exists), or theorem 5 (using double algorithm for successive results of the first algorithm omit such results  $v$ , which leads to  $K(v) \neq \{v\}$ ). After determination of  $X_0$ , set of all solutions is described by theorem 2.

Our consideration can be exactly repeated for dual system of min-max equations

$$\min_{i \in I} \max(x_i, a_{ij}) = b_j \quad \text{for } j \in J. \quad (1')$$

After transposition of "min" and "max" (also transpositions of " $\leq$ " and " $\geq$ ", "<" and ">", "0" and "1", "minimal element" and "maximal element", "the greatest element" and "the least element" <sup>are necessary</sup>). After this transposition all dual results are valid, and dual algorithm produces maximal solutions of system (1') (cf. definition 1).

#### References

- [1] G. Birkhoff, Lattice theory, 3rd ed., AMS Coll. Publ. 25, Providence, R.I. 1967.
- [2] E. Czogała, J. Dřewniak, W. Pedrycz, Fuzzy relation equations on a finite set, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 7 (1982) 89-101.
- [3] M. Higashi, G. J. Klir, A note on fuzzy relation equations, Fuzzy Sets and Systems (to appear).
- [4] E. Sanchez, Resolution of composite fuzzy relation equation, Information and Control 30(1967) 38-48.
- [5] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 8(1965) 338-353.